ORIGINAL

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

March 26, 2018 - 9:11 a.m. DAY 6 HEARING Concord, New Hampshire

> RE: DG 17-048 LIBERTY UTILITIES (ENERGYNORTH NATURAL GAS) CORP. d/b/a LIBERTY UTILITIES: Request for Change in Rates. (Hearing on the Merits)

PRESENT: Chairman Martin P. Honigberg, Presiding Commissioner Kathryn M. Bailey Commissioner Michael S. Giaimo

Sandy Deno, Clerk

APPEARANCES: Reptg. Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities: Michael J. Sheehan, Esq.

> Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: D. Maurice Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv. Brian D. Buckley, Esq. Pradip Chattopadhyay, Asst. Cons. Adv. James Brennan, Finance Director Office of Consumer Advocate

Reptg. PUC Staff: Paul B. Dexter, Esq. Alexander F. Speidel, Esq. Stephen Frink, Dir./Gas & Water Div. Al-Azad Iqbal, Gas & Water Division

Court Reporter: Susan J. Robidas, NH LCR No. 44

1 INDEX 2 WITNESS: AL-AZAD IQBAL 3 4 EXAMINATION 5 PAGE Redirect Examination by Mr. Dexter (cont'd) 5 6 7 Cross-examination by Mr. Kreis 19 Cross-examination by Mr. Sheehan 64 8 QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONERS: 9 10 Commissioner Bailey 99 11 Commissioner Giaimo 107 Chairman Honigberg 12 111 13 Redirect Examination by Mr. Dexter 121 * * 14 PAUL M. NORMAND 15 WITNESS: 16 EXAMINATION PAGE Direct Examination by Mr. Sheehan 17 128 18 Cross-examination by Mr. Dexter 130 Redirect Examination by Mr. Sheehan 19 189 * * 20 21 WITNESS: AL-AZAD IQBAL (RESUMED) 22 EXAMINATION PAGE 23 Direct Examination by Mr. Dexter (cont'd) 197 Cross-examination by Mr Sheehan 210 24

INDEX (cont'd) **REBUTTAL WITNESS:** STEVEN E. MULLEN EXAMINATION PAGE Rebuttal Direct Examination by Mr. Sheehan 216 Rebuttal Cross-examination by Mr. Dexter QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONERS: Commissioner Bailey Commissioner Giaimo Chairman Honigberg Rebuttal Redirect Examination by Mr. Sheehan 279

ĺ			
1		INDEX (cont'd)	
2	EXHIBI	TS	PAGE
3	66	Excerpt from "Decoupling Case Studies: Revenue Regulation	57
4		Implementation in Six States"	
5	67	Decoupling Case Studies: Revenue Regulation	61
6		Implementation in Six States (full study)	
7	68	Company Response to DG 17-048	77
8		Staff 4-25	
9	70	Company Response to DG 17-048 Staff 3-17	155
10	71	Company Response to DG 17-048	173
11		Staff 7-9	
12	72	Company Response to DG 17-048 Staff Tech 1-45	201
13 14	73	Excerpt from DG 15-353 Winter 2015-2016 COG Hearing	212
15	74	Excerpt from Order No. 25,833	212
16	75	Liberty Utilities and iNATGAS DCF Analysis	212
17	76	Excerpt from DG 14-155	212
18	77	Settlement Agreement	01.0
19 20	77	Company Response to DG 16-812 Staff 3-4	212
20 21			
∡⊥ 22			
22			
23			
	س (G 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-1	8}
	ر ل	c = 1, $c = 0$, $c = 0$, $c = 1$, c	

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We're here this morning to continue the EnergyNorth Gas 3 This is Day 6, I think, of the rate case. 4 5 hearing. Mr. Iqbal is still on the stand. Is there anything we need to do before questioning 6 7 resumes? 8 [No verbal response] CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: 9 All right. Mr. Dexter, I assume you have the microphone. 10 11 MR. DEXTER: Well, when we finished Friday, I had asked Mr. Iqbal a 12 question about his concerns with the monthly 13 14 aspects of the weather -- I'm sorry --15 decoupling adjustment that's incorporated into 16 the settlement, and he had answered a sentence 17 with a lot of adjectives. And I'd like to ask him to explain each of those adjectives, and 18 that would be my final question. 19 20 AL-AZAD IQBAL, PREVIOUSLY SWORN 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION (cont'd) 22 BY MR. DEXTER: 23 So, Mr. Iqbal, do you recall the question Q. that we ended with last week? 24 {DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

Yes, I do. 1 Α. Do you recall that we were talking about the 2 Q. monthly adjustment aspect of the decoupling 3 mechanism embodied in the settlement? You do 4 recall that? 5 6 Α. Yes, I do. 7 And could you summarize, briefly, the answer 0. 8 that you gave. And then if you'd like to add something to those various elements of 9 concern that you laid out in those answers, 10 11 I'd like you to do that now. 12 The one-sentence answer I give is it's Α. Yes. ineffective, costly, unclear, unnecessary, 13 counterproductive on the goal of energy 14 15 efficiency. And there are simpler solution 16 of cash flow issue right now. Let's start with ineffective. 17 Now, the whole idea of this monthly 18 19 adjustment is to give the customer some cash 20 flow benefit, that they will get some money 21 when the bill is higher. But if I remember, 22 one of our question to the Company witness 23 was that, when we are doing it, how much cash flow problem we are actually addressing. 24 If

{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

you look at Company side, it's addressing 1 almost all of their cash flow issue because 2 all their revenue is coming from delivery 3 But on the customer side, the cash rate. 4 flow is almost less than 2 percent of their 5 whole monthly cash flow. So, to address 6 somebody's, one party's 100 percent cash flow 7 8 and other party's 2 percent -- if you want me 9 to explain why I'm saying 2 percent, I can explain -- but the whole idea is that it 10 11 doesn't help any customer. There is another reason why it doesn't 12 help, that even that 2 percent, their 13 14 expenses on gas bill, 50 percent of that is 15 fixed cost. So we are addressing actually 16 one percent of their cash flow issue. And we 17 are saying that we are doing the same thing, addressing Company's 100 percent cash flow 18 issue and customer's 1 percent cash flow 19 20 issue. And we are going through all of these hurdles in between. 21 22 Second of all, the way we are giving it, 23 if you look at Exhibit 61, that is the bill. It is totally uncertain for the customer that 24

{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1	how much or whether they will get a charge or
2	a refund, because all depends on the weather.
3	And customer cannot plan on this particular
4	cash flow benefit, so which is uncertain,
5	totally uncertain, even if they don't know
6	percentage-wise what that percent might they
7	get back or might they have to pay. So how
8	does this it is another layer of
9	uncertainty you are putting on the customer
10	and saying that it's good for you.
11	Another issue is that's another
12	related to regulatory issue. Commission's
13	practice is to let the customer know exactly
14	how much they are going to pay for each unit
15	of their usage. I couldn't find any instance
16	where it is fluid-like, this item, normal
17	weather adjustment. Commission doesn't know
18	what is going to happen next at the end of
19	the month. Company doesn't know. Customer
20	doesn't know. So it's almost like we are
21	giving a totally uncertain approving
22	totally uncertain item in their bill and
23	saying that that could help them.
24	And another issue, I think Company
	{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1	witness, Mr. Therrien, actually pointed out
2	five reasons why we should not be doing it.
3	And one of their solution he provided is
4	we'll talk about it, Company and Commission,
5	and customer will be educated on this issues.
6	But if you look at those problems, those are
7	beyond customer issue. Those are regulatory
8	issue. Those are audit issue. Those are not
9	just talking to the customer and does not
10	solve those things.
11	And it is unclear, as I said. I just
12	explain how it is unclear. It's unclear for
13	the Company, it is unclear for the regulator,
14	it is unclear for the customer. And let's
15	look at it as customer point of view.
16	The customer might get a refund or a
17	charge in two different month, depending on
18	the weather, if they use the same amount of
19	energy or gas, because if one month the
20	weather is colder, if they use 100 unit, they
21	might get a refund. And the next month, for
22	any let's assume that they are using same
23	amount, 100 unit. They might get a charge
24	because it was hotter than the normal. So

1		it's totally confusing for the customer, and
2		there is no way they can predict it.
3	Q.	Mr. Iqbal, did you have additional concerns
4		about the weather about the monthly
5		weather-normalization aspect of the
6		decoupling proposal?
7	Α.	Yeah, I'm looking at my notes. Yeah, I have
8		a couple.
9		The point I was making, that
10		currently if you'll remember, Company
11		witness actually talked about the budget
12		billing. Budget billing actually takes care
13		of all uncertainty for the customer because
14		they know exactly how much they are paying
15		each month.
16		The reason behind the position from
17		the Company witness is that it blunts the
18		energy efficiency price signal. And on
19		Friday I said how I I talked about how
20		price signal is reversed in their proposal.
21		And here, the budget billing, we are not
22		proposing the budget billing should be
23		mandatory, everybody should go through this
24		budget billing.

1 Q. You are not proposing that.

2 Α. No, we are not proposing that. We are saying what budget billing does, gives the customer 3 to decide what they want to do, how much risk 4 tolerance they have. And based on that, they 5 can go for budget billing or they can go for 6 7 monthly. And if you look at the participation of budget billing, it's lower 8 9 teens percentage.

So, from the -- if you look that way, 10 11 that will be argument that budget billing actually dampen the price signal, is not 12 really that effective because most of the 13 14 customer are not going for budget billing. 15 So it all depends on the risk tolerance. And 16 not only that, they can choose that way they 17 want to go, through budget billing or not. Here, we are forcing everybody to go through 18 19 this confusing mechanism. If Company is 20 proposing that we want to give the customer 21 to choose that, hey, this is a good idea, you 22 can choose this methodology which might help 23 your cash flow. Here, they're not giving that option. They're forcing everybody to go 24

through this confusing -- which, at Company 1 level, it is confusing for them, it is 2 complicated for them. And they are forcing 3 everybody, every customer to go through this 4 weather-normalization adjustment. 5 So I have -- the idea of budget billing 6 is giving us option, and here we are taking 7 8 away their option and introducing a confusing rate mechanism. And it is costly. Even the 9 Company said it will take at least \$50,000. 10 11 That's not the only cost. Think about the 12 customer. They have to spend a lot of time just to understand what is going on there. 13 Think about the auditor, because it is 14 15 moving. Actually, effectively, the delivery 16 rate, the distribution rate is moving for 17 each customer each month. It's not a fixed rate anymore. Effectively, it is moving for 18 19 each customer each month, and it also depends 20 on when the bill was rendered, how many cold days were there, how many warm days were 21 22 So it is almost impossible for any there. professional auditor -- sorry -- auditor to 23 24 figure it out what is happening. Right now,

1	Company has a levelized billing system. They
2	don't have the budget billing. They have a
3	levelized billing. What they do, I think
4	if I'm wrong, Company can correct me that
5	they do three months' averaging of customer's
6	bill. And just introducing these
7	complicacies into this budget billing, from
8	my what I know about this, from my
9	colleagues around here, that the budget
10	billing participation went down because it's
11	not a fixed number anymore. So people are
12	not comfortable about it.
13	And I think the last part I'll make
14	let's think about this: If this type of
15	mechanism was implemented in any other
16	consumption situation, like let's say a
17	restaurant, okay. These are our rates for
18	these things, for these food. If we sell
19	more food, you might get some money back.
20	But if we sell less, we'll charge you more.
21	Would anyone go to that restaurant?
22	Everybody wants I think and here, we are
23	introducing uncertainty for every customer.
24	I think the counterproductive issue we
	$\{DG \ 17-048\}[Day \ 6 \ Hearing]\{03-26-18\}$

1		talked about Friday, when we explained that
2		it goes against the idea of price signal, it
3		will reward more consumption than less
4		consumption and
5	Q.	So, Mr. Iqbal, if you've got an additional
6		point, I'd ask you to make that now rather
7		than repeat anything you've already said.
8		And if you've completed, then I would ask you
9		to just make that final comment right now.
10	Α.	The final comment I have, that during the
11		discussion of Company witness, they talked
12		about that even I repeated it on Friday at
13		the end of my testimony that the
14		settlement eliminated weather for the Company
15		and for the customer. It doesn't. It
16		eliminates the weather for the Company
17		because, remember, we talked about the cash
18		flow for the Company is 100 percent. Beyond
19		that, they if we look at the issue that
20		Company has with customer as a combined unit,
21		yes, it does, because Company cannot keep the
22		money they over-collect, or they have to
23		or the customer has to pay for the
24		under-collection. But that's not the risk
		$\int DG 17 - 0.48 \int Day 6 Hearing \int 0.3 - 26 - 18 \int 0.3 - 26 \int 0.3 - $

of -- that's the risk Company face. 1 But the 2 customer doesn't face that. Customer, even if you do a sample survey in this room, all 3 these regulators and all these professional 4 5 there, I can guarantee you that most of us don't know how much Company made last year, 6 what was the revenue last year for the 7 8 Company. So the reality, the risk reality for the Company is -- for the Company is 9 reflected here, but the risk reality for the 10 11 individual customer is not the same. They still have to pay for more every month. 12

And we have another wrinkle into that. 13 14 But the question is that how do you -- what 15 would be the equivalent for the Company risk 16 reduction and the customer risk reduction? 17 That will be Company's revenue by customer is based on normalized sales for the test year. 18 Equivalent to do the same risk reduction for 19 20 the customer, the Company have to set 21 normalized uses for each customer during this 22 part of the test year because they know their 23 usage. And they can normalize that usage and 24 can tell customer that every month,

1		generally, you use 100 unit, and normalized
2		use is 105, and this would be your rate. And
3		that will be the fixed for the rest of the
4		period. And it will be reconciled when
5		Company would be reconciled at the end of the
6		year, whether overall they over-collected or
7		under-collected. In this case, customer will
8		have the same idea that, okay, we fix we
9		knew exactly how we have to pay it, how much
10		we have to pay each month based on my
11		normalized usage on test year. So at the end
12		of the year, we can reconcile that. So in
13		that case, we are doing the same for the
14		utility and same for the customer. Without
15		that, the current proposal doesn't reduce
16		customer's risk. But we are not proposing
17		that. The Company is not proposing that.
18		That's another issue that's a total
19		different impact for the goal we are going
20		for the decoupling and energy efficiency. I
21		think that concludes my
22	Q.	Okay. Thank you, Mr. Iqbal.
23	A.	One last one. I can I apologize. This is
24		the last one.
	L	

1During the Company witness, Mr. Johnson2talks about that there is a difference3between electric company and gas company.4Electric company is saturated. There is no5competitor who can deliver electricity in6the future, if by chance, there are7distributed electric system, if it comes8fruitful.9For the gas company, they have10competitors. So, taking away weather-related11risk for gas company is giving a upper hand12for the gas company compared to the13competitors because they still have to face14that, weigh the risk.15Q. And those competing with the Company.16So what we are doing, that we are giving19up our hand for the gas utility, taking away
 between electric company and gas company. Electric company is saturated. There is no competitor who can deliver electricity in the future, if by chance, there are distributed electric system, if it comes fruitful. For the gas company, they have competitors. So, taking away weather-related risk for gas company is giving a upper hand for the gas company compared to the competitors because they still have to face that, weigh the risk. Q. And those competing that you're referring to are oil and propane companies M Whoever is competing with the Company. So what we are doing, that we are giving
 Electric company is saturated. There is no competitor who can deliver electricity in the future, if by chance, there are distributed electric system, if it comes fruitful. For the gas company, they have competitors. So, taking away weather-related risk for gas company is giving a upper hand for the gas company compared to the competitors because they still have to face that, weigh the risk. Q. And those competitors that you're referring to are oil and propane companies A. Whoever is competing with the Company.
 competitor who can deliver electricity in the future, if by chance, there are distributed electric system, if it comes fruitful. For the gas company, they have competitors. So, taking away weather-related risk for gas company is giving a upper hand for the gas company compared to the competitors because they still have to face that, weigh the risk. Q. And those competitors that you're referring to are oil and propane companies A. Whoever is competing with the Company. So what we are doing, that we are giving
 the future, if by chance, there are distributed electric system, if it comes fruitful. For the gas company, they have competitors. So, taking away weather-related risk for gas company is giving a upper hand for the gas company compared to the competitors because they still have to face that, weigh the risk. Q. And those competitors that you're referring to are oil and propane companies A. Whoever is competing with the Company. So what we are doing, that we are giving
 distributed electric system, if it comes fruitful. For the gas company, they have competitors. So, taking away weather-related risk for gas company is giving a upper hand for the gas company compared to the competitors because they still have to face that, weigh the risk. Q. And those competitors that you're referring to are oil and propane companies A. Whoever is competing with the Company. So what we are doing, that we are giving
 fruitful. For the gas company, they have competitors. So, taking away weather-related risk for gas company is giving a upper hand for the gas company compared to the competitors because they still have to face that, weigh the risk. Q. And those competitors that you're referring to are oil and propane companies A. Whoever is competing with the Company. So what we are doing, that we are giving
 For the gas company, they have competitors. So, taking away weather-related risk for gas company is giving a upper hand for the gas company compared to the competitors because they still have to face that, weigh the risk. Q. And those competitors that you're referring to are oil and propane companies A. Whoever is competing with the Company. So what we are doing, that we are giving
10 competitors. So, taking away weather-related 11 risk for gas company is giving a upper hand 12 for the gas company compared to the 13 competitors because they still have to face 14 that, weigh the risk. 15 Q. And those competitors that you're referring 16 to are oil and propane companies 17 A. Whoever is competing with the Company. 18 So what we are doing, that we are giving
11 risk for gas company is giving a upper hand 12 for the gas company compared to the 13 competitors because they still have to face 14 that, weigh the risk. 15 Q. And those competitors that you're referring 16 to are oil and propane companies 17 A. Whoever is competing with the Company. 18 So what we are doing, that we are giving
 12 for the gas company compared to the 13 competitors because they still have to face 14 that, weigh the risk. 15 Q. And those competitors that you're referring 16 to are oil and propane companies 17 A. Whoever is competing with the Company. 18 So what we are doing, that we are giving
13 competitors because they still have to face 14 that, weigh the risk. 15 Q. And those competitors that you're referring 16 to are oil and propane companies 17 A. Whoever is competing with the Company. 18 So what we are doing, that we are giving
14 that, weigh the risk. 15 Q. And those competitors that you're referring 16 to are oil and propane companies 17 A. Whoever is competing with the Company. 18 So what we are doing, that we are giving
 15 Q. And those competitors that you're referring 16 to are oil and propane companies 17 A. Whoever is competing with the Company. 18 So what we are doing, that we are giving
16 to are oil and propane companies 17 A. Whoever is competing with the Company. 18 So what we are doing, that we are giving
17A. Whoever is competing with the Company.18So what we are doing, that we are giving
18 So what we are doing, that we are giving
19 up our hand for the gas utility, taking away
20 the weather-related risk, and we are not
21 doing that for the other competitors. What
22 will that do? It will hamper free market.
23 It is against the whole idea of free market
24 competition, which will make utility more

1		efficient. If we are giving them upper hand
2		compared to the competitor, they will more or
3		less to be efficient. In the optimal
4		market Dr. Johnson actually talked about
5		it. The market will not be optimal. It
6		applies to that, too, that the market will
7		not be optimal for particular heating market.
8		Heating fuel will not be optimal because one
9		party has a upper hand because they don't
10		have to face weather-related risk, but all
11		the other parties have to deal with it. I
12		think that concludes me.
13	Q.	Thank you, Mr. Iqbal.
14		MR. DEXTER: Staff has no further
15		questions.
16		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Sheehan.
17		MR. SHEEHAN: I'll defer to the
18		OCA to cross on the topic of decoupling, and I
19		will ask Mr. Iqbal questions on the training
20		center.
21		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. So
22		are you going to do training center?
23		MR. SHEEHAN: Mr. Kreis asked to
	1	
24		go first.

Γ

1		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Kreis.
2		MR. KREIS: Thank you, Mr.
3		Chairman.
4		CROSS-EXAMINATION
5	BY M	IR. KREIS:
6	Q.	Good morning, Mr. Iqbal.
7	A.	Good morning.
8	Q.	I'd like to start with your written prefiled
9		testimony.
10	A.	Let me get my yeah, I got it.
11	Q.	Okay. Beginning on Bates Page 8 of your
12		prefiled testimony, you trace the history of
13		revenue decoupling at the New Hampshire PUC,
14		and you noted that the Commission first
15		considered that issue in Docket No. DE
16		07-064. That was an investigation of energy
17		efficiency rate mechanisms; correct?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Q.	And you would agree that the result of the
20		investigation was that the Commission closed
21		the docket and determined that it would
22		handle rate design issues related to energy
23		efficiency on a company-by-company basis?
24	A.	Yes.

1	Q.	The docket, you would agree, didn't claim to
2		be an overall examination of rate design
3		issues, just an examination of the question
4		of what mechanisms might best facilitate the
5		objectives of what were then known as the
6		CORE Energy Efficiency Program?
7	Α.	Yes. One of the objectives was that one you
8		just mentioned.
9	Q.	Were there any other objectives in that
10		docket?
11	Α.	I don't have that in front of me, so I
12		cannot but there might be. But I cannot
13		tell you that there is at this time.
14	Q.	As you testified on Friday, in its final
15		order in that docket, DE 07-064, the
16		Commission discussed the issue of decoupling
17		in the context of what it might or might not
18		do for the objective of energy efficiency.
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	Would you agree with me, subject to check, by
21		reading Pages 20 to 22 of that order, that
22		the Commission observed, back in 2009, that
23		there were three possible approaches to
24		revenue decoupling: Performance incentives,
L		$\int DG 17 - 0.48 \int Day 6 Hearing \int 0.3 - 26 - 18 \int 0.3 - 26 \int 0.$

1		rate design by more accurately aligning the
2		Company's actual fixed costs with a fixed
3		charge component of rates, and a so-called
4		rate-reconciling adjustment mechanism?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	And would you agree that in this case, the
7		settlement called for the last of those three
8		options, a rate-reconciling adjustment
9		mechanism?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	And would you also agree, subject to check,
12		that when the Commission discussed the
13		possibility of a rate-reconciling adjustment
14		mechanism, one of the possibilities that the
15		Commission referenced in that order was a
16		so-called "comprehensive model"?
17	Α.	I guess so.
18	Q.	And would you also agree that the Commission
19		described this comprehensive model as
20		"pertaining to all or nearly all sales volume
21		fluctuations, such as volume fluctuations
22		associated with energy efficiency programs,
23		price changes, weather changes, economic
24		fluctuations," et cetera?
	·	

 A. Subject to check, yes. Q. And is that in fact what the settlement agreement calls for the Commission to approve here? A. I'll just look at my MR. KREIS: Mr. Chairman, I'm trying to ask "Yes" or "No" questions here. BY MR. KREIS: Q. Is that in fact what the settlement agreement calls for here, a comprehensive approach to revenue decoupling? A. It is a comprehensive approach, and we are talking about merits of that approach. Q. To your recollection, did the Commission indicate, back in 2009, that it didn't like or would not approve or review with any particular skepticism such a comprehensive approach? A. I don't recall if they approve or disapprove. But I understand that was a generic docket. 			
 agreement calls for the Commission to approve here? A. I'll just look at my MR. KREIS: Mr. Chairman, I'm trying to ask "Yes" or "No" questions here. BY MR. KREIS: Q. Is that in fact what the settlement agreement calls for here, a comprehensive approach to revenue decoupling? A. It is a comprehensive approach, and we are talking about merits of that approach. Q. To your recollection, did the Commission indicate, back in 2009, that it didn't like or would not approve or review with any particular skepticism such a comprehensive approach? A. I don't recall if they approve or disapprove. 	1	Α.	Subject to check, yes.
 here? A. I'll just look at my MR. KREIS: Mr. Chairman, I'm trying to ask "Yes" or "No" questions here. BY MR. KREIS: Q. Is that in fact what the settlement agreement calls for here, a comprehensive approach to revenue decoupling? A. It is a comprehensive approach, and we are talking about merits of that approach. Q. To your recollection, did the Commission indicate, back in 2009, that it didn't like or would not approve or review with any particular skepticism such a comprehensive approach? A. I don't recall if they approve or disapprove. 	2	Q.	And is that in fact what the settlement
 A. I'll just look at my MR. KREIS: Mr. Chairman, I'm trying to ask "Yes" or "No" questions here. BY MR. KREIS: Q. Is that in fact what the settlement agreement calls for here, a comprehensive approach to revenue decoupling? A. It is a comprehensive approach, and we are talking about merits of that approach. Q. To your recollection, did the Commission indicate, back in 2009, that it didn't like or would not approve or review with any particular skepticism such a comprehensive approach? A. I don't recall if they approve or disapprove. 	3		agreement calls for the Commission to approve
 MR. KREIS: Mr. Chairman, I'm trying to ask "Yes" or "No" questions here. BY MR. KREIS: Q. Is that in fact what the settlement agreement calls for here, a comprehensive approach to revenue decoupling? A. It is a comprehensive approach, and we are talking about merits of that approach. Q. To your recollection, did the Commission indicate, back in 2009, that it didn't like or would not approve or review with any particular skepticism such a comprehensive approach? A. I don't recall if they approve or disapprove. 	4		here?
 trying to ask "Yes" or "No" questions here. BY MR. KREIS: Q. Is that in fact what the settlement agreement calls for here, a comprehensive approach to revenue decoupling? A. It is a comprehensive approach, and we are talking about merits of that approach. Q. To your recollection, did the Commission indicate, back in 2009, that it didn't like or would not approve or review with any particular skepticism such a comprehensive approach? A. I don't recall if they approve or disapprove. 	5	A.	I'll just look at my
 BY MR. KREIS: 9 Q. Is that in fact what the settlement agreement calls for here, a comprehensive approach to revenue decoupling? 12 A. It is a comprehensive approach, and we are talking about merits of that approach. 14 Q. To your recollection, did the Commission indicate, back in 2009, that it didn't like or would not approve or review with any particular skepticism such a comprehensive approach? 19 A. I don't recall if they approve or disapprove. 	6		MR. KREIS: Mr. Chairman, I'm
 9 Q. Is that in fact what the settlement agreement calls for here, a comprehensive approach to revenue decoupling? 12 A. It is a comprehensive approach, and we are talking about merits of that approach. 14 Q. To your recollection, did the Commission indicate, back in 2009, that it didn't like or would not approve or review with any particular skepticism such a comprehensive approach? 19 A. I don't recall if they approve or disapprove. 	7		trying to ask "Yes" or "No" questions here.
 10 calls for here, a comprehensive approach to 11 revenue decoupling? 12 A. It is a comprehensive approach, and we are 13 talking about merits of that approach. 14 Q. To your recollection, did the Commission 15 indicate, back in 2009, that it didn't like 16 or would not approve or review with any 17 particular skepticism such a comprehensive 18 approach? 19 A. I don't recall if they approve or disapprove. 	8	BY M	R. KREIS:
11 revenue decoupling? 12 A. It is a comprehensive approach, and we are talking about merits of that approach. 14 Q. To your recollection, did the Commission indicate, back in 2009, that it didn't like or would not approve or review with any particular skepticism such a comprehensive approach? 19 A. I don't recall if they approve or disapprove.	9	Q.	Is that in fact what the settlement agreement
 12 A. It is a comprehensive approach, and we are 13 talking about merits of that approach. 14 Q. To your recollection, did the Commission 15 indicate, back in 2009, that it didn't like 16 or would not approve or review with any 17 particular skepticism such a comprehensive 18 approach? 19 A. I don't recall if they approve or disapprove. 	10		calls for here, a comprehensive approach to
13 talking about merits of that approach. 14 Q. To your recollection, did the Commission 15 indicate, back in 2009, that it didn't like 16 or would not approve or review with any 17 particular skepticism such a comprehensive 18 approach? 19 A. I don't recall if they approve or disapprove.	11		revenue decoupling?
14 Q. To your recollection, did the Commission 15 indicate, back in 2009, that it didn't like 16 or would not approve or review with any 17 particular skepticism such a comprehensive 18 approach? 19 A. I don't recall if they approve or disapprove.	12	Α.	It is a comprehensive approach, and we are
15 indicate, back in 2009, that it didn't like 16 or would not approve or review with any 17 particular skepticism such a comprehensive 18 approach? 19 A. I don't recall if they approve or disapprove.	13		talking about merits of that approach.
<pre>16 or would not approve or review with any 17 particular skepticism such a comprehensive 18 approach? 19 A. I don't recall if they approve or disapprove.</pre>	14	Q.	To your recollection, did the Commission
17 particular skepticism such a comprehensive 18 approach? 19 A. I don't recall if they approve or disapprove.	15		indicate, back in 2009, that it didn't like
<pre>18 approach? 19 A. I don't recall if they approve or disapprove.</pre>	16		or would not approve or review with any
19 A. I don't recall if they approve or disapprove.	17		particular skepticism such a comprehensive
	18		approach?
20 But I understand that was a generic docket.	19	Α.	I don't recall if they approve or disapprove.
	20		But I understand that was a generic docket.
21 Q. But as you note in your testimony here, and	21	Q.	But as you note in your testimony here, and
22 I'm talking about your prefiled testimony	22		I'm talking about your prefiled testimony
23 again, the Commission said, back in 2009,	23		again, the Commission said, back in 2009,
24 that there could be a potential to	24		that there could be a potential to

1		inappropriately shift risks from shareholders
2		to customers.
3	А.	Can I refer to which line you are talking
4		about in my testimony?
5	Q.	I'm talking about Page 22 of the Commission's
6		order back in Docket No. 07-064. And, you
7		know, I guess I can move on because the
8		Commission can look back at that order.
9		Would you agree that this risk shifting
10		that we're talking about kind of curved
11		because of reduced earnings volatility?
12	Α.	Can you elaborate what do you mean by "risk
13		earnings volatility"?
14	Q.	Well, I mean that I think it's fair to say
15		that shareholders prefer stable earnings
16		rather than volatile earnings, and
17		shifting stabilizing the revenue stream to
18		the Company through revenue decoupling could
19		have the effect of reducing earnings
20		volatility by providing a steadier stream of
21		earnings that the utility can pay out to
22		shareholders. That's what I mean.
23	Α.	What I understand, the Commission has to
24		decide on the return, reasonable return,

1		opportunity to do achieve reasonable
2		return. That could be achieved different
3		way. But the way you are describing here is
4		it might be one of the way. But there is
5		no from my perspective, from what I know,
6		there is no principle which says that
7		Commission has to provide a certainty that
8		utility would get certain level of return.
9	Q.	Okay. The next event that you discussed in
10		your history of revenue decoupling in your
11		prefiled testimony is Docket No. 15-157.
12		Yes?
13	A.	Yes, 137.
14	Q.	Yes, 157.
15	A.	It's 37 I think.
16		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: One
17		thirty-seven.
18	BY M	R. KREIS:
19	Q.	Yes, Docket No. 15-137. That was the docket
20		in which the Commission adopted the Energy
21		Efficiency Research Standard; correct?
22	A.	Yes.
23	Q.	Did you skip over any piece of history when
24		it comes to revenue decoupling here in New
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1		Hampshire?
2	Α.	I might. But this is what I found. My
3		testimony talks actually explain itself.
4		There might be other instance I missed. It's
5		possible.
6	Q.	Well, are you familiar with Docket No. DG
7		10-017, the EnergyNorth rate case filed in
8		2010 by this Company's former owner, National
9		Grid?
10	A.	I'm not sure I was involved in that docket,
11		but
12	Q.	I didn't ask you if you were involved in that
13		docket. I asked if you recall that that
14		docket happened.
15	Α.	I guess so. I haven't reviewed that.
16	Q.	Okay. Do you recall the Company proposed
17		their revenue decoupling plan in that docket?
18	A.	I just said I didn't review that, so I cannot
19		recall which I didn't review.
20	Q.	So you wouldn't remember that Staff filed
21		testimony in response to that decoupling
22		proposal?
23	Α.	Again, I didn't review that.
24	Q.	And you wouldn't recall whether the Staff
ļ		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

		26
1		witnesses, Mr. Naylor and Mr. Franz,
2		expressed fundamental objections to the whole
3		concept of revenue decoupling?
4	A.	I didn't review that. But that's possible.
5	Q.	So do you recall how the decoupling issue got
6		resolved in the 2010 rate case?
7		MR. DEXTER: I would object to
8		the question. The witness has stated four times
9		that he did not review that docket in preparing
10		his testimony. If Mr. Kreis wants to include
11		this in a closing statement, I think that would
12		be a more appropriate place to review Commission
13		precedent.
14		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Kreis,
15		now you got me interested. Ask him if it would
16		surprise him if the Commission resolved the
17		issue as follows.
18		MR. KREIS: Thank you, Mr.
19		Chairman.
20	BY M	R. KREIS:
21	Q.	Would it surprise you if you were to discover
22		that in the 2010 EnergyNorth rate case, the
23		Company withdrew its decoupling proposal,
24		settled the case and then moved out of New
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1	Hampshire?
2	MR. SPEIDEL: Badgering the
3	witness. This is ridiculous. I'm sorry.
4	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Speidel,
5	that's out of order. Mr. Dexter knows how to
6	protect his witness if he feels it's important.
7	Mr. Kreis is entitled to make his point through
8	cross-examination. Thank you.
9	A. Can you repeat your question, please?
10	BY MR. KREIS:
11	Q. I asked if it would surprise you if you were
12	to discover that at the conclusion of
13	well, that Docket number let me get back
14	to the docket number Docket No. DG 10-017
15	was resolved with the respect to decoupling
16	by the Company withdrawing its decoupling
17	proposal, settling the case and then leaving
18	the state.
19	A. If you are saying that they settled, and
20	because of decoupling they left the state,
21	I'm not sure if you have substantial support
22	for that. There might be other issue, like
23	rate of return and all other issue. And
24	might have internal issue. But if there is

1		no study or no investigation, without any
2		investigation I cannot agree to your
3		conclusion.
4	Q.	Fair enough. But wouldn't it be fair to say,
5		looking back at that docket and everything
6		else that has ever transpired here with
7		respect to revenue decoupling, that in
8		contrast to the Commission, which has
9		expressed open-mindedness about decoupling,
10		the agency's Staff has historically been
11		opposed to the idea?
12	Α.	The first part of your question that has
13		conclusion you actually draw from your
14		reading of that history. But yes. The
15		second part, yes, I was involved in the
16		electric division before we dealt with energy
17		efficiency and decoupling. It's consistent
18		with the Staff position that decoupling is
19		not a good idea.
20	Q.	Okay. Now let's take a look at Docket No.
21		15-137, the Energy Efficiency Resource
22		Standard. And as I said, that is the docket
23		in which the Commission approved the concept
24		of an Energy Efficiency Resource Standard.
	ι	$\int DC 17 - 0.48 \int D_{24} C H_{22} = 26 - 18 \int C 17 - 0.48 \int D_{24} C H_{22} = 26 - 18 \int C 17 - 0.48 \int C 17 - 0.48$

1 Yes? 2 Α. Yes. Would you agree that the essence of an Energy 3 Q. Efficiency Resource Standard is that the 4 utilities, as the administrators of 5 ratepayer-funded energy efficiency programs, 6 7 commit to achieving a specified percentage of reduction in their sales as a result of those 8 9 programs? 10 Α. Yes. And you would also agree that this creates a 11 0. problem for utilities when their revenue is 12 13 directly tied to how many units of electricity or natural gas they sell? 14 15 Yes and no, because there are models in Α. 16 different states. When you're forcing a 17 utility to go against their own interests selling more and asking them to demand 18 19 something in that regard, yes, they have a 20 reasonable concern that they should be able 21 to have a reasonable opportunity to get their 22 return on their investment. But the rest of 23 your question is conclusion you draw from 24 your perspective.

1	Q.	Docket No. DE 15-137 was resolved by a
2		settlement agreement. You would agree with
3		me, would you not, that the settlement
4		agreement at least assumes that the so-called
5		"through-put incentive" is something that
6		needed to be addressed, and it addressed that
7		issue by adopting what is known as a "lost
8		revenue adjustment mechanism"?
9	A.	What do you mean by "through-put incentive"?
10	Q.	I mean that the fundamental objective of
11		decoupling is to eliminate the incentive that
12		utilities have to maximize the number of
13		units of either electricity or natural gas
14		that they sell to customers as they seek to
15		obtain or maximize return on shareholder
16		investment.
17	Α.	Can you refer to which particular line of
18		this order actually talks about through-put
19		incentive?
20	Q.	Mr. Iqbal, I'm afraid it's my job to ask the
21		questions and your job to answer.
22	Α.	Okay. Without reviewing that order, I cannot
23		agree with that, because through-put
24		incentive is not the concern of the
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1		Commission. Commission has to provide
2		reasonable opportunity to get a return on
3		their investment.
4	Q.	So your testimony is that what I just
5		described as the "through-put incentive" is
6		not something that the Commission should be
7		concerning itself with?
8	A.	As long as Commission Commission can do
9		whatever Commission wants to do. I cannot
10		tell Commission what they want to do. So if
11		you're saying that there is a limit of what
12		Commission can do, I cannot I think that's
13		above my pay grade.
14	Q.	You're familiar with the lost revenue
15		adjustment mechanism in Docket No. 15-137.
16		Yes?
17	A.	Yes, I do.
18	Q.	Would you agree, yes or no, that the lost
19		revenue adjustment mechanism is itself a form
20		of revenue decoupling, that is, with respect
21		to the energy efficiency programs, the
22		connection between sales and revenue is
23		severed, at least to some extent?
24	Α.	I think that is not the case, that there is
I		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1		not separate sales and revenue. It is lost
2		revenue recovery LRAM itself is
3		self-explanatory. There is nothing to add to
4		that. Lost I mention that last time, yes.
5		MR. DEXTER: Lost revenue
6		adjustment mechanism.
7	A.	Yeah, lost revenue. And when you are talking
8		about lost revenue, it doesn't mean that
9		revenue should be stabilized. It's that
10		because of the policy decision, they are
11		losing some revenue. Commission is going to
12		address that. But that doesn't mean that it
13		has to the Commission has to address the
14		overall revenue and the sales.
15	BY M	R. KREIS:
16	Q.	Would you also agree that as part of the
17		approved settlement in Docket 15-137, each of
18		the electric and natural gas utilities agreed
19		to make a proposal to replace the lost
20		revenue adjustment mechanism with something
21		better in a future rate case?
22	A.	I do. And I also know that Staff position
23		was that lost revenue recovery methodology
24		goes one way. It's not symmetrical. And
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1		that's the only reason, from my recollection,
2		that Staff actually added a decoupling
3		mechanism, which would be symmetrical both
4		way. This lost revenue recovery, I think Mr.
5		Johnson and Mr. Therrien also addressed that
6		issue, that it is only one way that it
7		increase their revenue. But when they
8		over-collect, it doesn't get to come back to
9		the customer. That's the weakness of the
10		lost revenue recovery method. And
11		decoupling, the beauty of decoupling,
12		depending on how you are doing it, that
13		concern is eliminated.
14	Q.	Mr. Iqbal, when Liberty proposed decoupling
15		in this docket, would you agree that the
16		Company actually did that ahead of the
17		schedule required by Docket No. 15-137?
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	In your prefiled testimony, you said at
20		Bates Page 11, and I'm reading now, "The
21		Company's proposal adjusts for all impacts on
22		revenue e.g., the economy, energy
23		efficiency, weather, et cetera which is
24		well beyond the efficiency and

1		conservation-related sales reductions. It
2		also eliminates all risk, except the risk of
3		management inefficiency." And it's fair,
4		having listened to your testimony on Friday
5		and again this morning, it's fair to say that
6		you have the same objection to the modified
7		proposal reflected in the settlement
8		agreement. Yes?
9	Α.	It's actually made worse because of the
10		monthly adjustment.
11	Q.	Okay. And wouldn't you agree that
12		"inefficient management," as you use that
13		term in your prefiled, is precisely what the
14		Staff is trying to get Liberty to focus on,
15		given all the concerns in various proceedings
16		that have been pending here about poor
17		planning and cost overruns?
18	Α.	That's one of our concern.
19	Q.	And when you talk about risks being shifted
20		from shareholders to customers in a manner
21		that you don't like, you've talked about the
22		weather risk. What other risks are we
23		talking about?
24	Α.	Like inflation.
		[DG 17 049][Date 6 Waaming][02 26 19]

		•
1		(Court Reporter interrupts.)
2	A.	Inflation one of the risks they have. That's
3		one of the example. There could be other.
4		I'm not trained economist. There is lots of
5		economists. They can find out there might be
6		other economic component which is not
7		included in the proposal.
8	Q.	So the one that you thought of is inflation.
9		Is the way that the settlement treats that
10		risk symmetrical or asymmetrical?
11	Α.	Inflation, just like weather, everybody faces
12		the same inflation. So, symmetrical, in the
13		sense they are facing same inflation impact
14		on customer facing that and the Company is
15		facing that could be totally different.
16	Q.	You said at Bates 11 of your prefiled
17		testimony that the original Company proposal
18		was flawed because it does not
19		weather-normalize their revenue adjustments,
20		and you recommended weather normalization so
21		that the risk of colder or warmer
22		temperatures will stay with the Company.
23		Aren't colder temperatures actually a benefit
24		to the Company by increasing their

1		distribution revenue?
2	A.	Under what rate mechanism? Depends on
3		ratemaking.
4	Q.	So my question was, don't colder temperatures
5		provide a benefit to the Company under the
6		current rate mechanism by increasing the
7		Company's distribution revenue?
8	Α.	Yes, I agree with that. But they have no
9		policy actually of address or related to that
10		weather-related revenue increase. There's
11		not any policy for that increase or decrease.
12	Q.	So your answer to my question is "Yes."
13	Α.	Yes.
		And your proposal, which involves
14	Q.	And your proposar, which involves
14 15	Q.	weather-normalizing the revenue adjustment,
	Q.	
15	Q.	weather-normalizing the revenue adjustment,
15 16	Q. A.	weather-normalizing the revenue adjustment, simply takes weather out of the revenue
15 16 17		weather-normalizing the revenue adjustment, simply takes weather out of the revenue decoupling process. Yes?
15 16 17 18	Α.	weather-normalizing the revenue adjustment, simply takes weather out of the revenue decoupling process. Yes? Yes.
15 16 17 18 19	Α.	<pre>weather-normalizing the revenue adjustment, simply takes weather out of the revenue decoupling process. Yes? Yes. And if I understood your testimony on Friday</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20	Α.	<pre>weather-normalizing the revenue adjustment, simply takes weather out of the revenue decoupling process. Yes? Yes. And if I understood your testimony on Friday correctly, the reason you want to do that is</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Α.	<pre>weather-normalizing the revenue adjustment, simply takes weather out of the revenue decoupling process. Yes? Yes. And if I understood your testimony on Friday correctly, the reason you want to do that is that you believe that weather effects are an</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Α.	<pre>weather-normalizing the revenue adjustment, simply takes weather out of the revenue decoupling process. Yes? Yes. And if I understood your testimony on Friday correctly, the reason you want to do that is that you believe that weather effects are an entirely separate matter from the revenue</pre>

		57
1		MR. KREIS: Mr. Chairman, it
2		would help me if you would instruct the witness
3		to answer my "Yes" or "No" questions with the
4		word either "Yes" or "No."
5		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Well, he did
6		give you a "Yes" or "No" to that one and then
7		wanted to add something. And as a general
8		proposition, that's okay. I think thus far,
9		notwithstanding some concerns earlier, the two
10		of you seem to be communicating fairly well with
11		each other. So I'm going to allow him to
12		continue.
13		MR. KREIS: Okay.
14	Α.	Can you repeat your question?
15	BY M	IR. KREIS:
16	Q.	Well, you testified on Friday, and I just
17		want to make sure I'm understanding you, is
18		the reason you want to sort of drop weather
19		out of the revenue decoupling equation
20		altogether is that weather is an entirely
21		separate matter from the revenue lost to
22		ratepayer-funded energy efficiency?
23	A.	Yes.
24	Q.	And you think the Company should or you
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1		think the Commission, that is, should confine
2		any decoupling plan it adopts to simply
3		addressing the objective of all
4		cost-effective energy efficiency.
5	А.	That's up to the Commission.
6	Q.	But I'm talking about what your
7		recommendation to the Commission is. And if
8		I understand it correctly, you think the
9		Commission, to the extent it is willing or
10		interested in adopting a revenue decoupling
11		plan, it should confine the revenue
12		decoupling plan's objectives to correcting
13		for the effect of ratepayer-funded energy
14		efficiency.
15	Α.	The way we the proposal from our
16		perspective, not only the ratepayer-funded
17		energy efficiency, it takes care of other
18		energy efficiency, standard change, economic
19		change, everything. So we are not saying
20		that if your question is that our proposal
21		not to go beyond the ratepayer-funded energy
22		efficiency program, that is not our proposal.
23	Q.	Mr. Iqbal, are you familiar with the
24		Regulatory Assistance Project?

1	Α.	Yes, I think we had a report with Friday.
2	Q.	Would you agree that the purpose of the
3		Regulatory Assistance Project is to provide
4		unbiased advice to regulators, state
5		regulators in particular?
6	Α.	I don't know about their goal or policy or
7		their mandate.
8	Q.	Are you aware that the Regulatory Assistance
9		Project has an active advisory relationship
10		with the New Hampshire PUC?
11	Α.	I don't know. Maybe.
12	Q.	I'd like to have you look at Exhibit 59,
13		which is the Revenue Decoupling Guide that
14		has already been marked.
15	Α.	I got it.
16	Q.	Okay. Just looking at Page 35 of Exhibit 59
17		of the Revenue Decoupling Guide, would you
18		agree with me that it says there on Page 59
19		[sic], and I'm reading now, "Some states have
20		preserved the existing burden of weather risk
21		in a decoupling environment by
22		weather-normalizing actual unit sales before
23		computing the new price under limited
24		decoupling. This has the effect of fully
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

Γ

		40
1		exposing the utility and its customers to
2		weather risk."
3	A.	Which page?
4		MR. DEXTER: Can I ask the
5		Consumer Advocate what page he's reading from?
6		I thought he said Page 59.
7		MR. KREIS: I know I said Page
8		35.
9		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I think he
10		said 35. And I, too, would like to be directed
11		more precisely to where on that page you want us
12		all to look.
13		MR. KREIS: You have to give me a
14		second because I am okay. I'm reading from
15		the paragraph that is one, two, three paragraphs
16		up from the bottom of that page. Sorry. I
17		extracted that little excerpt in my notes and
18		then I wasn't working from the exhibit myself.
19		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So, the
20		second line of that paragraph, the sentence that
21		starts, "Some states have"
22		MR. KREIS: Yes, exactly.
23	BY M	R. KREIS:
24	Q.	Okay. So you would agree that the Regulatory
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1 2		Assistance Project in that little analysis
2		ASSISTANCE FIOJECT IN CHAL IILLIE ANALYSIS
4		there is discussing risk that accrues to both
3		customers and the utility.
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	So if the Company were to or if the
6		revenue decoupling plan approved by a
7		Commission were to take weather risk away
8		from the Company, that would also tend to
9		stabilize the earnings of the Company; would
10		it not?
11 .	Α.	Yeah, any risk you take away from anyone's
12		earning, that will stabilize their earning.
13	Q.	Yes. So that would also potentially help
14		customers, to the extent the Company becomes
15		less risky, which would allow a lower return
16		on equity and also perhaps the Company to
17		adopt a more leveraged capital structure.
18		Yes?
19	Α.	That's a possibility. But if you look at the
20		experience of decoupling, full decoupling,
21		there is no support that it reduces the rate
22		of return. Just like you have testimony in
23		this docket and some other dockets that
24		and the whole idea, you are saying that we

1		have to stabilize, and risk reduction will
2		help the customer. These are subjective
3		matter. It might, it might not. And it
4		might have other effect we haven't talked
5		about right now.
6	Q.	So you agree that it could justify a lower
7		return on equity. And could it also justify
8		a more leveraged capital structure because it
9		would be easier for the Company to borrow
10		money if it adopted a revenue decoupling
11		plan?
12	Α.	That would help for lots of other reason,
13		too, not only revenue decoupling.
14	Q.	Okay. Looking at Page 45 of Exhibit 59 I
15		just have to look where on the page I'm
16		reading from because, again, I extracted my
17		quote into my notes.
18	Α.	I think it's 12.3.
19	Q.	So you would agree with me, at the end of
20		12.3 it says, "Decoupling mitigates earnings
21		risk for utilities and expense risk for
22		consumers, making both better off, and in the
23		process it creates the earnings stability to
24		justify a lower overall cost of capital which
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1		reduces absolute costs to consumers." You
2		don't necessarily agree with that statement?
3	Α.	No, I don't.
4	Q.	Are you
5	Α.	I explain that this morning.
6	Q.	Are you aware of the recent decision in Maine
7		about Northern Utilities granting that
8		utility a 9.5 percent return on equity?
9	A.	I'm not sure we can discuss that because the
10		settlement we are talking about oh, you're
11		talking about Maine?
12	Q.	Yes.
13		MR. DEXTER: Northern Utilities
13 14		MR. DEXTER: Northern Utilities
_	А.	
14		in Maine.
14 15		in Maine. Oh, I didn't review that order.
14 15 16	вү м	in Maine. Oh, I didn't review that order. R. KREIS:
14 15 16 17	вү м	in Maine. Oh, I didn't review that order. R. KREIS: But you have reviewed the agreement in this
14 15 16 17 18	вү м	in Maine. Oh, I didn't review that order. R. KREIS: But you have reviewed the agreement in this case, the settlement agreement, and you know
14 15 16 17 18 19	вү м	<pre>in Maine. Oh, I didn't review that order. R. KREIS: But you have reviewed the agreement in this case, the settlement agreement, and you know and agree, right, that the settlement</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	вү м	<pre>in Maine. Oh, I didn't review that order. R. KREIS: But you have reviewed the agreement in this case, the settlement agreement, and you know and agree, right, that the settlement agreement here calls for return on equity of</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY M Q.	<pre>in Maine. Oh, I didn't review that order. R. KREIS: But you have reviewed the agreement in this case, the settlement agreement, and you know and agree, right, that the settlement agreement here calls for return on equity of 9.4 percent.</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY M Q. A.	<pre>in Maine. Oh, I didn't review that order. R. KREIS: But you have reviewed the agreement in this case, the settlement agreement, and you know and agree, right, that the settlement agreement here calls for return on equity of 9.4 percent. Yes.</pre>

1		of this risk mitigation, might only become
2		apparent over time and that it could take
3		several years to reflect the effect of
4		revenue decoupling in the Company's return on
5		equity or its capital structure?
6	A.	That's, I think, what your witness also
7		discussed about it. But revenue decoupling
8		is almost 15 years old. If it is not still
9		internalized, I don't know why it would be
10		internalized, because every time we are
11		looking at rate of return, we are looking for
12		similar companies, whether those are
13		decoupled or not. And as you can see from
14		your witness on revenue requirement
15		sorry rate of return and our witness on
16		rate of return, it seems like it didn't move
17		at all.
18	Q.	Are you familiar with how the settlement
19		agreement pending here treats the Company's
20		fixed charges?
21	A.	Yes, I am.
22	Q.	And you would agree with me that the
23		settlement calls for moving the R1 and R3
24		fixed charge to \$14.88; correct?
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

Γ

		45
1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	And that's actually \$2 lower than the current
3		R1 customer charge.
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	And that compares rather favorably, would you
6		agree, to the Company's original proposal of
7		a fixed charge of \$21.50 for R1 customers and
8		\$25.50 for R3 customers?
9	Α.	Talking about favorable for the Company or
10		the customer?
11	Q.	Well, I'm the Consumer Advocate, so I'm
12		talking about favorable for the consumer.
13	Α.	I cannot presume that because some of your
14		position in this docket actually goes against
15		consumer interests. So that's why I was
16		asking.
17		MR. KREIS: Mr. Chairman, I would
18		ask that that response be stricken from the
19		record.
20		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I think you
21		invited that response, Mr. Kreis.
22	BY M	R. KREIS:
23	Q.	Would you agree that higher fixed charges is
24		another form of revenue decoupling because it
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1		loosens the connection between sales and
2		revenue in an asymmetrical way that is
3		favorable to the Company?
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	So, could you or could one reasonably
6		conclude that, to the extent a decoupling
7		plan reduces risk to shareholders, reducing
8		fixed charges builds some of that risk back
9		in?
10	A.	I think the fixed charge on the scheme of
11		decoupling is a component. But crediting all
12		this benefit of decoupling on the fixed
13		charge changes might be overdoing it.
14	Q.	Finally, with respect to weather adjustment,
15		you would agree, would you not, that the
16		Company's current rate design
17		weather-normalizes distribution revenue by
18		making adjustments twice a year?
19	A.	When you are talking about "twice a year,"
20		what do you mean by that?
21	Q.	Well, I mean the Company
22		weather-normalizes there is already a
23		weather adjustment process in the Company's
24		current rate design.

1	A.	Yes. Can I go back to that? Weather
2		normalized to centigrade, but afterwards
3		nothing happens.
4	Q.	Yes. You're aware that Paragraph L of the
5		settlement agreement requires, not permits,
6		but requires Liberty to come back in for a
7		rate case in 2021, if not sooner?
8	A.	As long as I remember, yes.
9	Q.	And would you agree with me that the
10		Commission could reasonably conclude that the
11		reason for such a requirement is to provide
12		an opportunity to re-examine the decoupling
13		mechanism adopted here, in the event it
14		provides unreasonably large windfalls to
15		shareholders?
16	Α.	I don't think that particular aspect of the
17		settlement actually does that. Every time
18		Commission review a rate case, they can do
19		that assessment at that time.
20	Q.	When the Company comes back for that next
21		rate case in 2021, if not sooner, would the
22		PUC be free to abandon decoupling or change
23		it completely in that rate case?
24	Α.	That's up to the Commission.
		$[p_{2}, 1_{2}, 0.49]$

1	Q.	So could the Commission reasonably conclude
2		now that the decoupling plan in the
3		settlement agreement is something of an
4		experiment?
5	Α.	I reviewed the decoupling document. Nowhere
6		in any of the testimony or any of the witness
7		actually mention that, that this is a test
8		case.
9	Q.	In your testimony on Friday and then again
10		this morning, you mentioned price signals.
11		And I think I heard you say that you don't
12		like real-time weather normalization because
13		it would encourage customers to use more
14		natural gas when the weather is colder than
15		normal, which is just when you would want to
16		send them the price signal that reminds them
17		to conserve more. Did I get that right?
18	A.	It will give that indication of when we are
19		saying that when you use more, you might get
20		the credit back.
21	Q.	Do you have any evidence that natural gas
22		customers who use natural gas for heating
23		respond to price signals in that fashion?
24	A.	Everybody respond to price signal.
		$\{DG, 17-048\}$ [Day 6 Hearing] $\{03-26-18\}$

1	Q.	Do you have actual evidence that everybody
2		responds to price signals?
3	A.	That's basic economics.
4	Q.	Basic economics?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	Basic economic theory.
7	A.	Yeah.
8	Q.	Do you agree that, to the extent customers
9		respond to price signals, the response is a
10		function of the overall cost of the service
11		that they receive?
12	Α.	Yes, exactly my point you are making when you
13		are saying that this adjustment doesn't make
14		sense. It doesn't stabilize the customer's
15		cash flow.
16	Q.	So, in other words, customers wouldn't
17		isolate one charge on the bill and decide
18		that that's the price signal they're going to
19		respond to.
20	Α.	Customer might. That's why all this
21		information we are putting in the bill, so
22		customer can review those and take that
23		decision. So you're saying the customer is
24		not reviewing this particular item in their
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

			5
1		bill? I don't agree with that.	
2	Q.	Did you hear Mr. Therrien and Dr. Johnson	
3		point out the other day that commodity	
4		charges will still go up in response to cold	
5		weather that is, the commodity charges on	
6		Liberty Utilities bills?	
7	A.	It depends on the demand and supply.	
8	Q.	Wouldn't the economic theory that you just	
9		referred to suggest that in times of cold	
10		weather, the commodity charges on customer	
11		bills would increase?	
12	А.	Yeah, that's a reasonable assumption.	
13	Q.	And did you hear Mr. Therrien and Dr. Johnson	
14		point out that those increases will more than	
15		offset any increases during cold weather	
16		arising out of the real-time weather	
17		normalization of the distribution charges?	
18	Α.	Then why do you do this real-time adjustment	
19		anyway?	
20		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You want to	I
21		try that answer again? I don't think that was	
22		responsive to the question.	
23	Α.	Okay. Repeat the question.	
24	BY M	R. KREIS:	

1	Q.	Well, my question was, really, did you hear
2		Mr. Therrien and Dr. Johnson state here that
3		the increases to the commodity charges as the
4		result of cold weather would more than offset
5		any decreases to the commodity charges to
6		the distribution charges, that is, that the
7		weather-normalization mechanism would produce
8		on customer bills?
9	Α.	I remember that. And my point on that, that
10		if that is the case, then why do you go
11		through this painful way to refund this
12		miniscule amount to the customer. I agree
13		with that. And that's one of the reason I
14		don't agree with this mechanism.
15	Q.	I understand.
16		I think the last thing I want to cover
17		with you is the two case studies that you
18		drew the Commission's attention to on Friday.
19		And just to refresh everybody's memory, I
20		think those case studies are Exhibit No. 65.
21		Those two case studies are a part of a larger
22		document from the Regulatory Assistance
23		Project entitled, "Decoupling Case Studies:
24		Revenue Regulation Implementation in Six
l		$\int DC [17-0.48] \int Day 6 Happing [(02-26-18)]$

			54
1		States"; correct?	
2	Α.	Yes.	
3	Q.	And Exhibit 65 is just an excerpt from that	
4		Regulatory Assistance Project document.	
5	A.	Yes.	
6	Q.	Do you recall that the same case studies are	
7		appended to the document that is marked as	
8		Exhibit No. 59?	
9	A.	Can you refer to the page number?	
10	BY N	MR. KREIS:	
11	Q.	Well, the point I'm making, and maybe I can	
12		just say this: I just want the Commission to	
13		note that the six case studies that the	
14		witness or the Staff has excerpted are	
15		actually also appended to the Decoupling	
16		Guide that is Exhibit 59. We just didn't	
17		reproduce those for the Commission. So,	
18		really, we're looking at an overall	
19		examination by the Regulatory Assistance	
20		Project of this question of decoupling,	
21		relying in part on some case studies.	
22		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I understar	nd
23		what you're saying, I think. I want to repeat	
24		it and make sure that Staff and the Company	

1	agree with what you just said, that Exhibit 59
2	and Exhibit 65 really have the same source and
3	are from the same time; that when the Regulatory
4	Assistance Project prepared its report, part of
5	it was what we now have as 59, another part of
6	it is what we have as 65. And there's more
7	because obviously 65 is just an excerpt from
8	something.
9	MR. KREIS: Indeed. Exactly.
10	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. Mr.
11	Dexter, Mr. Sheehan, you agree with what Mr.
12	Kreis just said?
13	MR. SHEEHAN: Yes, sir.
14	MR. DEXTER: I don't know, but
15	I'll accept it.
16	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you.
17	MR. KREIS: And I have to
18	confess, I don't know why the regulatory
19	Assistance Project is essentially repackaging
20	the same information in different documents.
21	Maybe just trying to be helpful. Maybe it's
22	doing different work for different clients. I
23	really don't know. And I don't think the record
24	needs to resolve that one way or the another.
	[DG, 17, 048][Dev. 6, Hearing1[02, 26, 18]]

1	Α.	On that I think that I can help. They
2		actually refer to, when they're doing case
3		study, they actually refer to the original
4		report.
5	BY M	R. KREIS:
6	Q.	The two case studies that you brought to the
7		Commission's attention on Friday were the
8		Idaho Power Company, and it's marked as Page
9		18 or excuse me Idaho Power Company
10		marked as Page 11, actually Page 18 in the
11		appendix to the document that is partially
12		included in Exhibit 59. So, it's Page 11 for
13		the Idaho Power Company's case study and Page
14		14 for the Maryland, Baltimore Gas and
15		Electric study. Yes?
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	And just so it's clear, both of those
18		decoupling plans concern electric rates and
19		electric customers and not natural gas rates
20		and natural gas customers. Yes?
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	And your point, I think, was that the
23		so-called "weather risk" was left with the
24		Company in the case of Idaho Power Company,
ļ		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

		55
1		but was adjusted in the case of the Baltimore
2		Gas and Electric Company in a manner you
3		regard as similar to what we're proposing
4		here.
5	A.	That's my understanding.
6	Q.	Yes.
7		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Kreis,
8		before you continue, something you did confused
9		me, okay.
10		MR. KREIS: Sorry.
11		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You made a
12		reference to Page 18, I think, of Exhibit 59?
13		MR. KREIS: The problem is that
14		we didn't reproduce the appendix when we created
15		Exhibit 59. There's an appendix to that
16		document which we could provide you if you
17		wanted. But it really is duplicative of what
18		we're looking at here, the two case studies that
19		Mr. Iqbal referenced. And I don't see a need
20		for you to look at all six case studies or
21		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And is what
22		you're telling me that Page 18 of that appendix,
23		if I had it in front of me, would be the Idaho
24		study that is in front of me marked as Page 11

1	of Exhibit 65?
2	MR. KREIS: Yes, that's exactly
3	right.
4	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
5	For a moment there, I thought you were referring
6	me to something that is part of what I have as
7	Exhibit 65.
8	MR. KREIS: And what I am
9	inadvertently imposing on you, Mr. Chairman, is
10	my own confusion, because I've been looking at
11	these case studies, but they are a different
12	documents and in different forms, and I'm just
13	trying to get it all straight.
14	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
15	You're a carrier of confusion as well.
16	MR. KREIS: Yes, exactly. I'm a
17	perpetrator of confusion, and I definitely
18	apologize.
19	BY MR. KREIS:
20	Q. So you drew the Commission's attention to the
21	table which also appears in the appendix to
22	what is Exhibit 59. But here in Exhibit 65,
23	it's the last page of that exhibit. It's
24	marked as Page 37. And I think, if I'm

1		remembering correctly, you drew the
2		Commission's attention to that table because
3		your point was well, if you can tell me,
4		what was the point of drawing the
5		Commission's attention to that table?
6	A.	The point was the idea that the decoupling
7		mechanism the Company in the settlement is
8		proposing, and decoupling mechanism, what we
9		are talking about from the Staff perspective,
10		the point we are making here, that it doesn't
11		impact the ultimate utility performance in
12		saving the energy. So the whole idea of
13		decoupling is energy efficiency. And it
14		shows that energy efficiency savings from
15		both model almost similar. If you look at
16		the other models, still it seems like there
17		is no impact on their performance,
18		irrespective to the decoupling mechanism.
19	Q.	Would you agree that the impact that you were
20		just discussing in that chart is the impact
21		of ratepayer-funded energy efficiency
22		programs?
23	A.	That's my understanding.
24	Q.	So it doesn't purport to measure the overall
		[DG 17 049][Date 6 Waaming][02 26 19]

{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

		58
1		impact of any efforts the Company might have
2		undertaken that would have had the effect of
3		reducing the Company's sales to customers.
4	A.	I don't know about that.
5		MR. KREIS: With the Commission's
6		indulgence, I think I have another exhibit that
7		I'd like to hand out. Somebody will have to
8		tell me what the next exhibit number is.
9		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Sixty-six.
10		(The document, as described, was
11		herewith marked as Exhibit 66 for
12		identification.)
13	BY M	R. KREIS:
14	Q.	Okay. With respect to Exhibit 66, you would
15		agree with me, Mr. Iqbal, that this is
16		Page 35 from the document that you excerpted
17		in order to create Exhibit 65?
18	A.	I think so.
19	Q.	Would you agree with that proposition,
20		subject to check?
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	And would you look at the paragraph, or the
23		section on Page 35 that's marked
24		"Complementary Policies."
	L	{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

I am there. 1 Α. And would you look at the last sentence in 2 Q. the first paragraph. 3 4 Α. Yes. And would you agree with me that it says that 5 Q. Idaho does not have an Energy Efficiency 6 7 Resource Standard, but rather has energy 8 efficiency objectives that are developed 9 through an integrated resource plan process --10 11 (Court Reporter interrupts.) 12 I was just reading this sentence. Q. Sure. "Only Idaho does not have" -- and I assume 13 14 this means only Idaho, out of the states that 15 the Regulatory Assistance --16 MR. DEXTER: Objection. I don't 17 think we need counsel's assumption as to what this means. If he'd like to phrase a question 18 for my witness to answer, I'd appreciate that. 19 20 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I got it, 21 Mr. Dexter. I agree with you. 22 Mr. Kreis, just go back to 23 reading the sentence. And actually, you were paraphrasing it before. 24

MR. KREIS: Fair enough. 1 It says, "Only Idaho does not have an Energy 2 Efficiency Resource Standard, but energy 3 efficiency objectives are developed through an 4 5 integrated resource plan process. Energy spending at IPC" -- which I assume means Idaho 6 7 Power Company -- "has increased dramatically in 8 recent years." 9 So you would agree with me, would you not, that what the Regulatory 10 11 Assistance Project is saying here with respect to its case studies is that Idaho is 12 different than the other states that it 13 studied because that state does not have an 14 15 Energy Efficiency Resource Standard? 16 MR. DEXTER: Objection. There's 17 absolutely no foundation for the witness to make that conclusion on the basis of what Mr. Kreis 18 has read into the record. 19 20 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: If he 21 doesn't know, he'll say he doesn't know. 22 I think that's it what says here. But I Α. 23 would say that that actually proves our 24 point, that irrespective to the decoupling

1	mechanism, the Company is actually encouraged
2	to invest more in energy efficiency without
3	any mandate from their commission.
4	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Kreis,
5	how long a document is the appendix that was
6	part of the report that's Exhibit 59 and was
7	excerpted in 65 and now 66?
8	WITNESS IQBAL: I can help on
9	that.
10	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Kreis is
11	on it.
12	MR. KREIS: I can tell you the
13	answer to that question. It is 85 pages long
14	which I guess is why we didn't produce it for
15	you.
16	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Well, it's
17	just become Exhibit 67.
18	MR. KREIS: We will be happy to
19	produce it for you.
20	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you.
21	(The document, as described, was
22	herewith marked as Exhibit 67 for
23	identification.)
24	BY MR. KREIS:
	{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1	Q.	Okay. Mr. Iqbal, you heard Mr. Therrien and
2		Dr. Johnson testify on Friday that, with
3		respect to energy efficiency, real-time
4		weather normalization is useful in orienting
5		the entirety of the utility's' organization
6		with direction and conservation and
7		efficiency?
8	A.	That's their conclusion, yes.
9	Q.	But it's not one you agree with?
10	A.	No.
11	Q.	Finally, if you I guess I'll skip that. I
12		think just a couple of questions that arose
13		out of your closing comments on direct.
14		You talked about the difficulties that
15		the auditors would have in figuring out how
16		the real-time weather-normalization
17		adjustments were made. Are you yourself an
18		auditor?
19	A.	No, I'm not. And particularly those concern
20		is raised by Mr. Therrien. He's not an
21		auditor either.
22	Q.	So you really have no way of knowing whether
23		the auditors would find it impossible or
24		difficult to retrace the steps of the
ļ		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

		05
1		real-time weather normalization, would you?
2	А.	An auditor can answer that question. I
3		cannot.
4	Q.	Right.
5		Finally, I think the very last thing you
6		said, and I think the very last question I'll
7		ask, is you talked about or you testified
8		that one of the reasons you don't like
9		real-time weather normalization is that it
10		provides an advantage to the Company
11		vis-a-vis its unregulated competitors;
12		correct?
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	You're aware, are you not, that the
15		Commission's statutory role is to serve as
16		the arbiter between the interest of the
17		customers of regulated utilities and the
18		shareholders of regulated utilities? Yes?
19		MR. DEXTER: Objection. I don't
20		think this witness's role is to describe for the
21		Consumer Advocate what the Commission's role is.
22		If the
23		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Overruled.
24		He can answer.
	<u></u>	{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

		64
1	Α.	Can you repeat the question, please?
2	BY M	R. KREIS:
3	Q.	Well, I was just asking if you are aware that
4		the Commission's job by statute is to serve
5		as the arbiter between the interests of
6		customers of regulated utilities and
7		shareholders of regulated utilities.
8	Α.	That's one of the effect of the Commission.
9		MR. KREIS: Mr. Chairman, I think
10		those are all the questions that I have.
11		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Sheehan.
12		CROSS-EXAMINATION
13	BY M	IR. SHEEHAN:
14	Q.	Good morning, Mr. Iqbal.
15	Α.	Good morning.
16	Q.	We get to change topics back to the training
17		center.
18	A.	Yeah.
19	Q.	Your testimony with regard to the training
20		center was that the Company should recover
21		zero in costs related to the training center;
22		correct?
23	A.	Costs related to the training center, yes.
24	Q.	And that number that the Company requested
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

 was about \$500,000 per year. A. Subject to check, yes. Q. Your expertise in this docket is as a financial analyst; correct? A. As an analyst. Q. Your expertise in this docket is not on the utility's practices of training its employees. A. No. Q. So you cannot offer opinions on what is appropriate training or inappropriate training; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you cannot offer opinions on whether we do too much or too little training. A. I think the question the couple questions you are asking, yes, I cannot, but the Company could. Q. And you cannot offer an opinion as to whether we should train certain employees on certain topics and other employees on other topics. Those are all questions outside the scope of your financial analysis expertise; is that correct? 			(
 3 Q. Your expertise in this docket is as a 4 financial analyst; correct? 5 A. As an analyst. 6 Q. Your expertise in this docket is not on the 9 utility's practices of training its 8 employees. 9 A. No. 10 Q. So you cannot offer opinions on what is 11 appropriate training or inappropriate 12 training; correct? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. And you cannot offer opinions on whether we 15 do too much or too little training. 16 A. I think the question the couple questions 17 you are asking, yes, I cannot, but the 18 Company could. 19 Q. And you cannot offer an opinion as to whether 19 we should train certain employees on certain 10 topics and other employees on other topics. 11 Those are all questions outside the scope of 13 your financial analysis expertise; is that 	1		was about \$500,000 per year.
 financial analyst; correct? A. As an analyst. Q. Your expertise in this docket is not on the utility's practices of training its employees. A. No. Q. So you cannot offer opinions on what is appropriate training or inappropriate training; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you cannot offer opinions on whether we do too much or too little training. A. I think the question the couple questions you are asking, yes, I cannot, but the Company could. Q. And you cannot offer an opinion as to whether we should train certain employees on certain topics and other employees on other topics. Those are all questions outside the scope of your financial analysis expertise; is that 	2	Α.	Subject to check, yes.
 A. As an analyst. Q. Your expertise in this docket is not on the utility's practices of training its employees. A. No. Q. So you cannot offer opinions on what is appropriate training or inappropriate training; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you cannot offer opinions on whether we do too much or too little training. A. I think the question the couple questions you are asking, yes, I cannot, but the Company could. Q. And you cannot offer an opinion as to whether we should train certain employees on certain topics and other employees on other topics. Those are all questions outside the scope of your financial analysis expertise; is that 	3	Q.	Your expertise in this docket is as a
 G. Your expertise in this docket is not on the utility's practices of training its employees. A. No. Q. So you cannot offer opinions on what is appropriate training or inappropriate training; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you cannot offer opinions on whether we do too much or too little training. A. I think the question the couple questions you are asking, yes, I cannot, but the Company could. Q. And you cannot offer an opinion as to whether we should train certain employees on certain topics and other employees on other topics. Those are all questions outside the scope of your financial analysis expertise; is that 	4		financial analyst; correct?
 vitility's practices of training its employees. A. No. Q. So you cannot offer opinions on what is appropriate training or inappropriate training; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you cannot offer opinions on whether we do too much or too little training. A. I think the question the couple questions you are asking, yes, I cannot, but the Company could. Q. And you cannot offer an opinion as to whether we should train certain employees on certain topics and other employees on other topics. Those are all questions outside the scope of your financial analysis expertise; is that 	5	Α.	As an analyst.
 8 employees. 9 A. No. 10 Q. So you cannot offer opinions on what is appropriate training or inappropriate training; correct? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. And you cannot offer opinions on whether we do too much or too little training. 16 A. I think the question the couple questions you are asking, yes, I cannot, but the Company could. 19 Q. And you cannot offer an opinion as to whether we should train certain employees on certain topics and other employees on other topics. Those are all questions outside the scope of your financial analysis expertise; is that 	6	Q.	Your expertise in this docket is not on the
 9 A. No. 9 A. No. 9 A. No. 9 Q. So you cannot offer opinions on what is appropriate training or inappropriate training; correct? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. And you cannot offer opinions on whether we do too much or too little training. 16 A. I think the question the couple questions you are asking, yes, I cannot, but the Company could. 19 Q. And you cannot offer an opinion as to whether we should train certain employees on certain topics and other employees on other topics. 12 Those are all questions outside the scope of your financial analysis expertise; is that 	7		utility's practices of training its
 Q. So you cannot offer opinions on what is appropriate training or inappropriate training; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you cannot offer opinions on whether we do too much or too little training. A. I think the question the couple questions you are asking, yes, I cannot, but the Company could. Q. And you cannot offer an opinion as to whether we should train certain employees on certain topics and other employees on other topics. Those are all questions outside the scope of your financial analysis expertise; is that 	8		employees.
 appropriate training or inappropriate training; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you cannot offer opinions on whether we do too much or too little training. A. I think the question the couple questions you are asking, yes, I cannot, but the Company could. Q. And you cannot offer an opinion as to whether we should train certain employees on certain topics and other employees on other topics. Those are all questions outside the scope of your financial analysis expertise; is that 	9	A.	No.
 training; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you cannot offer opinions on whether we do too much or too little training. A. I think the question the couple questions you are asking, yes, I cannot, but the Company could. Q. And you cannot offer an opinion as to whether we should train certain employees on certain topics and other employees on other topics. Those are all questions outside the scope of your financial analysis expertise; is that 	10	Q.	So you cannot offer opinions on what is
 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. And you cannot offer opinions on whether we do too much or too little training. 15 do too much or too little training. 16 A. I think the question the couple questions you are asking, yes, I cannot, but the Company could. 18 Company could. 19 Q. And you cannot offer an opinion as to whether we should train certain employees on certain topics and other employees on other topics. 20 Those are all questions outside the scope of your financial analysis expertise; is that 	11		appropriate training or inappropriate
 14 Q. And you cannot offer opinions on whether we do too much or too little training. 16 A. I think the question the couple questions you are asking, yes, I cannot, but the Company could. 19 Q. And you cannot offer an opinion as to whether we should train certain employees on certain topics and other employees on other topics. 22 Those are all questions outside the scope of your financial analysis expertise; is that 	12		training; correct?
 do too much or too little training. A. I think the question the couple questions you are asking, yes, I cannot, but the Company could. Q. And you cannot offer an opinion as to whether we should train certain employees on certain topics and other employees on other topics. Those are all questions outside the scope of your financial analysis expertise; is that 	13	Α.	Yes.
 16 A. I think the question the couple questions you are asking, yes, I cannot, but the Company could. 19 Q. And you cannot offer an opinion as to whether we should train certain employees on certain topics and other employees on other topics. 22 Those are all questions outside the scope of your financial analysis expertise; is that 	14	Q.	And you cannot offer opinions on whether we
17 you are asking, yes, I cannot, but the 18 Company could. 19 Q. And you cannot offer an opinion as to whether 20 we should train certain employees on certain 21 topics and other employees on other topics. 22 Those are all questions outside the scope of 23 your financial analysis expertise; is that	15		do too much or too little training.
 18 Company could. 19 Q. And you cannot offer an opinion as to whether 20 we should train certain employees on certain 21 topics and other employees on other topics. 22 Those are all questions outside the scope of 23 your financial analysis expertise; is that 	16	Α.	I think the question the couple questions
 19 Q. And you cannot offer an opinion as to whether 20 we should train certain employees on certain 21 topics and other employees on other topics. 22 Those are all questions outside the scope of 23 your financial analysis expertise; is that 	17		you are asking, yes, I cannot, but the
 we should train certain employees on certain topics and other employees on other topics. Those are all questions outside the scope of your financial analysis expertise; is that 	18		Company could.
21 topics and other employees on other topics. 22 Those are all questions outside the scope of 23 your financial analysis expertise; is that	19	Q.	And you cannot offer an opinion as to whether
Those are all questions outside the scope of your financial analysis expertise; is that	20		we should train certain employees on certain
23 your financial analysis expertise; is that	21		topics and other employees on other topics.
	22		Those are all questions outside the scope of
24 correct?	23		your financial analysis expertise; is that
	24		correct?

1	Α.	I think that's the work of the Company, not
2		the Commission analyst like me.
3	Q.	The Staff does have within its portfolio of
4		people a safety division; correct?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	And you're aware that, generally at least,
7		what the safety division's expertise is;
8		correct?
9	Α.	I have a vague idea, yeah.
10	Q.	And would you agree that the safety division
11		probably is qualified to offer opinions on
12		training appropriateness, quality, frequency
13		and those kinds of training topics? Would
14		you agree?
15	Α.	Yes. And in my testimony I didn't comment on
16		the appropriateness.
17	Q.	Understood. And in this case, there is no
18		testimony from the safety division, period.
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	There is no testimony either supporting or
21		objecting to the training methods adopted by
22		the Company; correct?
23	Α.	When you are talking about "training
24		methods," what do you mean by that?
I		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1 0. I'll move on. And so the issue that you focused on in 2 your testimony, again, was an economic issue. 3 And I'd like to point your attention first to 4 Staff's initial position in this docket at 5 the prehearing conference when the parties 6 were each allowed to make a statement of the 7 8 issues they intended to explore. Counsel for Staff said, "Staff will be 9 looking at the significant increase in rate 10 11 base from last case." This is from the transcript of prehearing conference. 12 "We believe that to be a key issue in this case 13 that requires examination. 14 The Concord 15 training center in particular is of concern 16 to the Staff" -- and here's the part I want 17 to draw your attention to -- "not necessarily in concept as much as it is in the amount of 18 19 the training center as we understand its 20 current cost versus its projected cost when 21 it was first mentioned to the Staff several 22 years ago." Do you recall that? I recall that. That was the preliminary 23 Α. position of the Staff. 24 That was not the

{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

			e
1		final position.	
2	Q.	Understood. I just wanted to and you were	
3		the only witness that offered testimony on	
4		the reasonableness of the Company's request	
5		for recovery of the training center cost;	
6		correct?	
7	Α.	Yes.	
8	Q.	And you chose not to offer any evidence on	
9		the actual cost incurred to build the	
10		training center; correct?	
11	Α.	Yes.	
12	Q.	So you did not go through what makes up that	
13		\$500,000 revenue requirement request and say,	
14		for example, Line 7 should be deleted, Line 8	
15		is okay, Line 10 you didn't do that kind	
16		of analysis here.	
17	Α.	That was not my scope of my testimony.	
18	Q.	Your testimony was, and your recommendation	
19		is, the initial decision to build the	
20		training center was flawed, and therefore,	
21		everything that followed that initial	
22		decision was imprudent; correct?	
23	Α.	That's your conclusion. I think to certain	
24		extent, yes.	
	μ		

1	Q.	I'm sorry. Was that what your basic opinion
2		is?
3	Α.	Yes. My testimony explain itself. So you
4		can summarize it any way you want. But
5		that's my point, that my testimony speaks for
6		itself.
7	Q.	And so understanding that's your position, if
8		the Company had spent \$1 million or
9		\$2 million or \$3 million, and it turned out
10		to be \$4 million, it would not affect your
11		opinion. That didn't go into your analysis;
12		correct?
13	A.	No. Yes.
14		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Wait. You
15		just said both "No" and "Yes." They can't both
16		be right?
17		WITNESS IQBAL: Yes.
18	BY M	R. SHEEHAN:
19	Q.	And the core of your opinion, as I understand
20		it, is that the Company did not adequately
21		look at the other options to the training
22		center when it decided to go forward with the
23		training center.
24	Α.	That's one of my observation, yes.

1Q.And you were told through discovery what options the Company did consider; correct?3A.Yes.4Q.And if you could pull up the data request that you introduced as Exhibit 36 I'm sorry. These are in your testimony. I'm sorry.6sorry. These are in your testimony. I'm sorry.7sorry.8MR. DEXTER: I'm sorry. Which exhibit are you looking at now?10MR. SHEEHAN: This would be another attachment to Mr. Iqbal's testimony at Bates 69. And we'll be moving between a couple			7
 A. Yes. Q. And if you could pull up the data request that you introduced as Exhibit 36 I'm sorry. These are in your testimony. I'm sorry. MR. DEXTER: I'm sorry. Which exhibit are you looking at now? MR. SHEEHAN: This would be another attachment to Mr. Iqbal's testimony at 	1	Q.	And you were told through discovery what
 Q. And if you could pull up the data request that you introduced as Exhibit 36 I'm sorry. These are in your testimony. I'm sorry. MR. DEXTER: I'm sorry. Which exhibit are you looking at now? MR. SHEEHAN: This would be another attachment to Mr. Iqbal's testimony at 	2		options the Company did consider; correct?
5 that you introduced as Exhibit 36 I'm 6 sorry. These are in your testimony. I'm 7 sorry. 8 MR. DEXTER: I'm sorry. Which 9 exhibit are you looking at now? 10 MR. SHEEHAN: This would be 11 another attachment to Mr. Iqbal's testimony at	3	A.	Yes.
 6 sorry. These are in your testimony. I'm 7 sorry. 8 MR. DEXTER: I'm sorry. Which 9 exhibit are you looking at now? 10 MR. SHEEHAN: This would be 11 another attachment to Mr. Iqbal's testimony at 	4	Q.	And if you could pull up the data request
<pre>7 sorry. 8 MR. DEXTER: I'm sorry. Which 9 exhibit are you looking at now? 10 MR. SHEEHAN: This would be 11 another attachment to Mr. Iqbal's testimony at</pre>	5		that you introduced as Exhibit 36 I'm
8 MR. DEXTER: I'm sorry. Which 9 exhibit are you looking at now? 10 MR. SHEEHAN: This would be 11 another attachment to Mr. Iqbal's testimony at	6		sorry. These are in your testimony. I'm
 9 exhibit are you looking at now? 10 MR. SHEEHAN: This would be 11 another attachment to Mr. Iqbal's testimony at 	7		sorry.
10MR. SHEEHAN: This would be11another attachment to Mr. Iqbal's testimony at	8		MR. DEXTER: I'm sorry. Which
11 another attachment to Mr. Iqbal's testimony at	9		exhibit are you looking at now?
	10		MR. SHEEHAN: This would be
12 Bates 69. And we'll be moving between a couple	11		another attachment to Mr. Iqbal's testimony at
	12		Bates 69. And we'll be moving between a couple
13 of those data requests.	13		of those data requests.
14 A. Yes, I'm there.	14	Α.	Yes, I'm there.
15 BY MR. SHEEHAN:	15	BY M	R. SHEEHAN:
16 Q. And this is just an example of one data	16	Q.	And this is just an example of one data
17 request and response in which you asked, with	17		request and response in which you asked, with
18 regard to Bates 69, about one of the options	18		regard to Bates 69, about one of the options
19 available to the Company, and that was to	19		available to the Company, and that was to
20 complete its training through exclusively	20		complete its training through exclusively
21 on-the-job training; correct?	21		on-the-job training; correct?
22 A. I didn't say about my question doesn't	22	Α.	I didn't say about my question doesn't
23 mention that exclusively, on-the-job	23		mention that exclusively, on-the-job
24 training.	24		training.

1	Q.	Read the first paragraph of your request on
2		Bates 69.
3	A.	I was on the wrong page. Sorry. Yes, I'm
4		there.
5	Q.	And this particular answer was the Company's
6		explanation why it did not want to rely
7		exclusively on on-the-job training; correct?
8	A.	Yeah, that's the answer from the Company.
9	Q.	And again, going back to the exchange we just
10		had a few minutes ago, you have no basis or
11		expertise to challenge the Company's
12		conclusion that exclusively using on-the-job
13		training is inappropriate for the Company's
14		needs.
15	A.	On that point, I asked the Company, one of
16		the data requests where I asked that is there
17		any report, study or standard. And Company
18		couldn't provide any of those. I think this
19		is the question we are talking about, if you
20		look at it, Please provide analysis, rules,
21		standard, et cetera, which support this
22		conclusion. And Company couldn't provide
23		any.
24	Q.	But you don't have the basis to say that

 relying exclusively on on-the-job training is sufficient for Liberty Utilities. You can't say that; correct? A. I cannot. That's why I asked this question, that if Company can say that. Q. The Company did say that. A. I asked for the support for that. Q. I understand. But the Company did say that, and you don't have the basis to challenge that conclusion; correct? A. Without support of any statement, I have a problem with that. Q. In fact, they did describe it, not with studies, but with an explanation that's in front of us here of all the shortcomings of relying exclusively on on-the-job training; correct? A. Just being limited, not being that if these are the reason one company is going for the training center and another company is not, that raised that issue that what is the support for that. If one can do on-the-job training and meet their requirement and then other company says that's not good enough, 			
 3 say that; correct? 4 A. I cannot. That's why I asked this question, 5 that if Company can say that. 6 Q. The Company did say that. 7 A. I asked for the support for that. 8 Q. I understand. But the Company did say that, 9 and you don't have the basis to challenge 10 that conclusion; correct? 11 A. Without support of any statement, I have a problem with that. 12 Q. In fact, they did describe it, not with 14 studies, but with an explanation that's in front of us here of all the shortcomings of relying exclusively on on-the-job training; correct? 18 A. Just being limited, not being that if 19 these are the reason one company is going for the training center and another company is not, that raised that issue that what is the support for that. If one can do on-the-job training and meet their requirement and then 	1		relying exclusively on on-the-job training is
 A. I cannot. That's why I asked this question, that if Company can say that. Q. The Company did say that. A. I asked for the support for that. Q. I understand. But the Company did say that, and you don't have the basis to challenge that conclusion; correct? A. Without support of any statement, I have a problem with that. Q. In fact, they did describe it, not with studies, but with an explanation that's in front of us here of all the shortcomings of relying exclusively on on-the-job training; correct? A. Just being limited, not being that if these are the reason one company is going for the training center and another company is support for that. If one can do on-the-job training and meet their requirement and then 	2		sufficient for Liberty Utilities. You can't
 that if Company can say that. Q. The Company did say that. A. I asked for the support for that. Q. I understand. But the Company did say that, and you don't have the basis to challenge that conclusion; correct? A. Without support of any statement, I have a problem with that. Q. In fact, they did describe it, not with studies, but with an explanation that's in front of us here of all the shortcomings of relying exclusively on on-the-job training; correct? A. Just being limited, not being that if these are the reason one company is going for the training center and another company is not, that raised that issue that what is the support for that. If one can do on-the-job training and meet their requirement and then 	3		say that; correct?
 6 Q. The Company did say that. 7 A. I asked for the support for that. 8 Q. I understand. But the Company did say that, and you don't have the basis to challenge that conclusion; correct? 11 A. Without support of any statement, I have a problem with that. 13 Q. In fact, they did describe it, not with studies, but with an explanation that's in front of us here of all the shortcomings of relying exclusively on on-the-job training; correct? 18 A. Just being limited, not being that if these are the reason one company is going for the training center and another company is not, that raised that issue that what is the support for that. If one can do on-the-job training and meet their requirement and then 	4	Α.	I cannot. That's why I asked this question,
 A. I asked for the support for that. Q. I understand. But the Company did say that, and you don't have the basis to challenge that conclusion; correct? A. Without support of any statement, I have a problem with that. Q. In fact, they did describe it, not with studies, but with an explanation that's in front of us here of all the shortcomings of relying exclusively on on-the-job training; correct? A. Just being limited, not being that if these are the reason one company is going for the training center and another company is not, that raised that issue that what is the support for that. If one can do on-the-job training and meet their requirement and then 	5		that if Company can say that.
 8 Q. I understand. But the Company did say that, and you don't have the basis to challenge that conclusion; correct? 11 A. Without support of any statement, I have a problem with that. 13 Q. In fact, they did describe it, not with studies, but with an explanation that's in front of us here of all the shortcomings of relying exclusively on on-the-job training; correct? 18 A. Just being limited, not being that if these are the reason one company is going for the training center and another company is not, that raised that issue that what is the support for that. If one can do on-the-job training and meet their requirement and then 	6	Q.	The Company did say that.
 and you don't have the basis to challenge that conclusion; correct? A. Without support of any statement, I have a problem with that. Q. In fact, they did describe it, not with studies, but with an explanation that's in front of us here of all the shortcomings of relying exclusively on on-the-job training; correct? A. Just being limited, not being that if these are the reason one company is going for the training center and another company is not, that raised that issue that what is the support for that. If one can do on-the-job training and meet their requirement and then 	7	Α.	I asked for the support for that.
 10 that conclusion; correct? 11 A. Without support of any statement, I have a 12 problem with that. 13 Q. In fact, they did describe it, not with 14 studies, but with an explanation that's in 15 front of us here of all the shortcomings of 16 relying exclusively on on-the-job training; 17 correct? 18 A. Just being limited, not being that if 19 these are the reason one company is going for 20 the training center and another company is 21 not, that raised that issue that what is the 22 support for that. If one can do on-the-job 23 training and meet their requirement and then 	8	Q.	I understand. But the Company did say that,
 A. Without support of any statement, I have a problem with that. Q. In fact, they did describe it, not with studies, but with an explanation that's in front of us here of all the shortcomings of relying exclusively on on-the-job training; correct? A. Just being limited, not being that if these are the reason one company is going for the training center and another company is not, that raised that issue that what is the support for that. If one can do on-the-job training and meet their requirement and then 	9		and you don't have the basis to challenge
12 problem with that. 13 Q. In fact, they did describe it, not with 14 studies, but with an explanation that's in 15 front of us here of all the shortcomings of 16 relying exclusively on on-the-job training; 17 correct? 18 A. Just being limited, not being that if 19 these are the reason one company is going for 20 the training center and another company is 21 not, that raised that issue that what is the 22 support for that. If one can do on-the-job 23 training and meet their requirement and then	10		that conclusion; correct?
 Q. In fact, they did describe it, not with studies, but with an explanation that's in front of us here of all the shortcomings of relying exclusively on on-the-job training; correct? A. Just being limited, not being that if these are the reason one company is going for the training center and another company is not, that raised that issue that what is the support for that. If one can do on-the-job training and meet their requirement and then 	11	Α.	Without support of any statement, I have a
14 studies, but with an explanation that's in 15 front of us here of all the shortcomings of 16 relying exclusively on on-the-job training; 17 correct? 18 A. Just being limited, not being that if 19 these are the reason one company is going for 20 the training center and another company is 21 not, that raised that issue that what is the 22 support for that. If one can do on-the-job 23 training and meet their requirement and then	12		problem with that.
15 front of us here of all the shortcomings of 16 relying exclusively on on-the-job training; 17 correct? 18 A. Just being limited, not being that if 19 these are the reason one company is going for 20 the training center and another company is 21 not, that raised that issue that what is the 22 support for that. If one can do on-the-job 23 training and meet their requirement and then	13	Q.	In fact, they did describe it, not with
16 relying exclusively on on-the-job training; 17 correct? 18 A. Just being limited, not being that if 19 these are the reason one company is going for 20 the training center and another company is 21 not, that raised that issue that what is the 22 support for that. If one can do on-the-job 23 training and meet their requirement and then	14		studies, but with an explanation that's in
<pre>17 correct? 18 A. Just being limited, not being that if 19 these are the reason one company is going for 20 the training center and another company is 21 not, that raised that issue that what is the 22 support for that. If one can do on-the-job 23 training and meet their requirement and then</pre>	15		front of us here of all the shortcomings of
 A. Just being limited, not being that if these are the reason one company is going for the training center and another company is not, that raised that issue that what is the support for that. If one can do on-the-job training and meet their requirement and then 	16		relying exclusively on on-the-job training;
19 these are the reason one company is going for 20 the training center and another company is 21 not, that raised that issue that what is the 22 support for that. If one can do on-the-job 23 training and meet their requirement and then	17		correct?
20 the training center and another company is 21 not, that raised that issue that what is the 22 support for that. If one can do on-the-job 23 training and meet their requirement and then	18	Α.	Just being limited, not being that if
 not, that raised that issue that what is the support for that. If one can do on-the-job training and meet their requirement and then 	19		these are the reason one company is going for
22 support for that. If one can do on-the-job 23 training and meet their requirement and then	20		the training center and another company is
23 training and meet their requirement and then	21		not, that raised that issue that what is the
	22		support for that. If one can do on-the-job
other company says that's not good enough,	23		training and meet their requirement and then
	24		other company says that's not good enough,

1		then the new company has to provide the
2		support. And that's what we asked in this
3		data request.
4	Q.	But even if we provided support that was
5		satisfactory to you, you are still not the
6		expert to say I accept that support.
7	Α.	If the support is provided, with my other
8		expert we can review that.
9	Q.	And since you did not get the support that
10		you thought you should have gotten, you had
11		the option of calling your colleagues in the
12		safety department and saying we need your
13		help.
14	Α.	If the Company had support, they should have
15		provided it. That's my point.
16	Q.	The next data request is Bates 59 in the same
17		document. And this discusses another option
18		available to the Company that was reviewed in
19		discovery, and that is whether there were
20		options or information available from our
21		neighboring utilities; correct?
22	Α.	Page 59?
23	Q.	Correct. Should be Request Staff 4-24.
24	Α.	Yes, I'm there.
	-	

		74
1	Q.	And this is the answer where the Company
2		describes its outreach to Unitil, the Co-Op,
3		Green Mountain Power and Eversource, with the
4		answers that the Company got from those
5		companies; correct?
6	Α.	Yes.
7	Q.	And again, the conclusion of this answer is:
8		A, these other companies do not have
9		something we could use; and B, it describes
10		what, in some ways, what they offer their own
11		employees. Correct?
12	Α.	That's what it says.
13	Q.	Next one to look at is Bates 62. And this is
14		where you asked for a financial analysis of
15		efficiencies that we, in a prior document,
16		said would be gained by the use of a training
17		center; correct?
18		MR. DEXTER: Could I ask that the
19		witness be allowed to view the answer or review
20		the document?
21		MR. SHEEHAN: The document is the
22		next one.
23		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I don't
24		think he has any questions about the document.
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1		He's just getting it out there. It was a little
2		distracting, but
3		MR. DEXTER: Could I ask counsel
4		to repeat the question, please.
5		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Sure.
6	BY M	R. SHEEHAN:
7	Q.	Looking at Bates 62, which is in response to
8		Staff 4-26, this is you asking, or Staff
9		asking for some financial and economic
10		analyses of the efficiency gain described
11		here, and you're making a reference to Mr.
12		Mullen's testimony.
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	And there's an answer there. In effect, it
15		would be too complicated to engage in such
16		kind of a spreadsheet, financial analysis,
17		given all the variables involved; correct?
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	And that's why the Company, according to Mr.
20		Mullen's testimony in this answer, did not do
21		that kind of economic analysis; correct?
22	Α.	I think I answered this question in my
23		testimony, that I don't agree with that.
24	Q.	Okay. The next one to look at is Bates 56,
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1		and it goes into Bates 57, which is a request
2		in another docket, Staff 2-3. And the
3		respondent is a person named Mark Smith. Do
4		you recall who Mark Smith is?
5	Α.	I think so.
6	Q.	He was
7	Α.	He's a nice person.
8	Q.	He was the human resources manager for
9		Liberty.
10	Α.	I guess so, yeah.
11	Q.	And this is a similar question asked in 2016
12		for the cost benefit analysis done by the
13		Company in deciding to go forward with the
14		training center; correct?
15	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	And again, he lists at a high level the cost
17		and benefits that the Company considered, but
18		again did not engage in what I'm paraphrasing
19		as a spreadsheet analysis of those costs and
20		<pre>benefits; correct?</pre>
21	Α.	It is a spreadsheet analysis. If you look at
22		the attachment, it is a spreadsheet analysis.
23	Q.	Okay. But not to the detail that you were
24		looking for. Is that fair to say?

It is fairly detailed. 1 Α. 2 0. Okay. MR. DEXTER: 3 I'm sorry. Did the witness say, "It is fairly detailed"? 4 5 WITNESS IQBAL: Yes, because if you look at Page 58, there's number's of 6 7 trainees, hourly overtime expenditure, average daily travel. I think this is the details. 8 And this type of details I used in my table, too. 9 Okay. So you did get some costs involved in 10 0. 11 the training of Liberty employees; correct? 12 This is the cost benefit analysis. Α. Yes. And I want to also point out that my 13 14 critique on this particular analysis was done 15 in previous docket, and our point was that 16 this analysis doesn't make sense at all. 17 Q. You agree that we can't today do an analysis that you think should have been done five 18 19 years ago. 20 This is the analysis you provided, and it Α. 21 didn't say when it is done. 22 Turning to the document that was just Okay. 0. 23 handed out, which is a response to Staff 4-25. And that will be marked as --24

{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: 68.
2		(The document, as described, was
3		herewith marked as Exhibit 68 for
4		identification.)
5		MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.
6	BY M	R. SHEEHAN:
7	Q.	This is yet another question and answer
8		concerning the Company's ability to use a
9		building in its Manchester facility, which
10		was one of the options considered by the
11		Company; correct?
12	Α.	Correct.
13	Q.	And this is the answer that says we could not
14		use the Manchester facility because any
15		needed renovations would run into
16		environmental issues because this particular
17		location is a site of manufactured gas
18		pollution; correct?
19	Α.	That's what it says.
20	Q.	Do you have any reason to dispute that?
21	Α.	I don't have any reason to dispute that. But
22		I will add that these are the type of
23		facilities the previous company actually
24		performed their training activities. That's
	<u>.</u>	{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1		my understanding.
2	Q.	And again, going back to our earlier
3		exchange, you can't tell me that the current
4		training is inappropriate as compared to the
5		training done by the prior company; correct?
6	Α.	What do you mean by "inappropriate"?
7	Q.	If Liberty today chooses to do training that
8		cannot be accommodated in a building in
9		Manchester, for example, even though a prior
10		version of Liberty did do training in that
11		building, you can't tell us, the Commission,
12		that that was a good or a bad decision by
13		Liberty with regard to the type and quality
14		of training.
15	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	So these few data requests we went through
17		mentions some of the options available to
18		Liberty when it decided to build a training
19		center, including exclusive reliance on
20		on-the-job training, what other utilities
21		were doing or had available, other buildings
22		that Liberty may have in its portfolio. And
23		you would agree that the Company concluded
24		that none of those were viable options;

1 correct? That's Company conclusion. 2 Α. Were there any other options the Company 3 Q. should have included or should have reviewed, 4 in your opinion? 5 I detail that in my testimony. 6 Α. 7 Okay. 0. 8 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Off the 9 record. 10 (Discussion off the record) CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We're going 11 to take a 10-minute break. 12 13 (Brief recess was taken at 10:38 a.m., and the hearing resumed at 10:58 a.m.) 14 15 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Sheehan. 16 MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. 17 BY MR. SHEEHAN: I understand, Mr. Iqbal, from your testimony 18 Q. that you don't think the Company did 19 20 appropriate analyses before making this 21 decision. I want you to assume that we did, 22 that we did an analysis of all these options 23 we just talked about, an analysis that you find to be appropriate, and it came to a 24 {DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

		8
1		ranking, in effect, of the costs of all these
2		options. Okay?
3	A.	I disagree with that, that conclusion, that
4		my analysis only looked at
5	Q.	No, no. You're misunderstanding. I want you
6		to assume that we did an analysis that you
7		would find acceptable. Okay? I know we
8		didn't, according to you. I want you to
9		assume
10	Α.	Hypothetical we're talking about.
11	Q.	Yes. And so you now have in front of you
12		four stacks of paper for our various options:
13		one analysis of using the Manchester site,
14		one analysis of using exclusively on-the-job
15		training, one analysis of RFP-ing out
16		training services, whatever. And we have
17		them all stacked up next to you. And one for
18		the training center as we built. Now I want
19		you to assume that the training center was
20		not the cheapest option. Is your testimony
21		that we must choose the less expensive
22		option?
23	Α.	Without going into the details of all these
24		options, I cannot conclude.
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1	Q.	Okay. Would you agree that, if that scenario
2		played out, that the Company would have a
3		right to choose the more expensive option if
4		it provided better training?
5	A.	Still talking about hypothetical. Without
6		going into the details, I cannot comment on
7		that.
8	Q.	We do have some information about costs at
9		this time; correct?
10	A.	Some.
11	Q.	And we've been through it a little bit
12		before. And if you turn to Page 25 of your
13		testimony, it's that chart listed
14		"EnergyNorth or titled "EnergyNorth
15		Training Costs."
16	Α.	Table 2.
17	Q.	Correct. You there?
18	Α.	Yeah.
19	Q.	And Mr. Mullen testified about 4,000 hours
20		being added. And then I think you testified
21		that that's probably not the right number.
22		The more appropriate number to add would be
23		1900 hours; correct?
24	Α.	I'm not sure about that, because of the 1900
l		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1		hours Exhibit 62, this 1900 hours you are
2		talking about based on Exhibit 62 and 63.
3		And I say that on Friday that we are not sure
4		what you're saying here is incremental to
5		what you provided in the response of the
6		total training cost yearly.
7	Q.	I believe the evidence is and correct me
8		if you disagree that if you look at the
9		Hours column on Table 2 and let's just
10		focus on 2016 the number is 2,756;
11		correct?
12	Α.	Correct.
13	Q.	I believe that number came from certain data
14		requests that you posed to the Company asking
15		for training hours information; correct?
16	A.	I think I provided that
17	Q.	I'm not asking you to find it. But that's
18		how the number came about.
19	A.	No, I have problem with your characterization
20		what I asked.
21	Q.	Okay.
22	A.	I think several time we ask all that
23		training-related cost and information in
24		different dockets. And last docket we asked
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

		8
1		about this, details about from '13 to '15
2		2015 cost, and Company provided that. And
3		that was part of my testimony in that docket,
4		which is Bates Page 46. And this year I just
5		updated the EnergyNorth part.
6	Q.	Fair to say there have been dozens of data
7		requests regarding the training center
8		through the four dockets it's now been
9		reviewed in?
10	Α.	I can agree with that.
11	Q.	The first EnergyNorth rate case, the 16-560
12		contract case, the Granite State case from
13		last year and the current case?
14	A.	I think Mr. Mullen's rebuttal testimony
15		actually spent a lot of time on that.
16	Q.	And so sometimes a question would be asked
17		with one focus and the answer would be
18		provided, and then the information may not be
19		what you wanted, so there would be a
20		follow-up question. So, fair to say there
21		was some talking past each other through this
22		discovery process? Would that be fair?
23	Α.	Yeah. We are trying to understand what's
24		going on.

1	Q.	I understand. So what's your understanding
2		of what is included in the 2756 hours that is
3		in your Table 2?
4	Α.	If you look at Page 46, it says Actual Cost,
5		Management, Uni and Environment.
6	Q.	And those were for the mandated training;
7		correct?
8	Α.	Those are the total training. That's my
9		understanding. Because my question was the
10		total training cost for those three years,
11		and I asked to update that. My
12		understanding, those are the training, each
13		item. There is one item I didn't include,
14		that's safety and some other stuff.
15	Q.	So the 1900 hours you're saying today is
16		what, the so-called extra, "1900 extra
17		hours"?
18	Α.	First of all, I don't know "extra." Second
19		of all, if you look at the training
20		performed, it is very detailed document. The
21		Excel sheet has lots of information. So it
22		seems like those are the training. But from
23		my understanding, from my as I said that,
24		I'm not sure these are incremental. If these
		$\{DG \ 17-048\}$ [Day 6 Hearing] $\{03-26-18\}$

1		are incremental, then my question would be
2		what are the actuals then. So I'm confused
3		when you are saying that these are the
4		trainings we performed in 2016 at training
5		center, and that doesn't include these 2756
6		hours. I don't know what to make of that.
7	Q.	Okay. And going to the first column,
8		Training Costs, there's a number of \$237,000;
9		correct?
10	A.	Correct.
11	Q.	And you said the other day that that number
12		should well, let me ask you. What do you
13		think is in that number?
14	Α.	I just said that it is the corresponding
15		number or corresponding cost the Company
16		provided for those type of training I just
17		talked about.
18	Q.	Is it your understanding whether the wages
19		for employees attending training is in that
20		figure?
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	What leads you to that conclusion?
23	Α.	Can you clarify? What do you mean by that?
24	Q.	You understand that the Company has two
I		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1		full-time trainers.
2	A.	I do.
3	Q.	You understand that their cost would be
4		included in training cost; correct?
5	A.	My understanding, those are included in these
6		numbers.
7	Q.	And would it be a real rough estimate to say
8		their salary and benefits are roughly
9		\$100,000 each?
10		MR. DEXTER: Objection. I
11		actually asked that question of Mr. Mullen, and
12		Mr. Mullen wouldn't answer it. So I think it's
13		patently unfair for Mr. Sheehan to ask Mr. Iqbal
14		that question.
15		MR. SHEEHAN: I'm asking what the
16		witness thinks is in a number that is in his
17		testimony.
18	A.	Only thing I can say
19		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Hang on,
20		hang on.
21		MR. DEXTER: I asked that exact
22		question of Mr. Mullen, what's the approximate
23		salary and benefits of the two trainers, and he
24		wouldn't tell me, he couldn't tell me. He
		DG 17-048[Day 6 Hearing] $03-26-18$

		8
1		didn't answer. So I object to that same
2		question being asked of this witness.
3		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I don't know
4		that that's what Mr. Sheehan asked. I may have
5		misheard the question but can you repeat the
6		question? I may be confused.
7		MR. SHEEHAN: I'm trying to ask
8		if that \$237,000 includes the training wages or
9		not. And to do that, I'm asking if it includes
10		the trainers' salary that might comprise the
11		bulk of the \$237,000.
12		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And you're
13		just asking for the witness's understanding of
14		the number that he put in his testimony.
15		MR. SHEEHAN: Correct.
16		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Overruled.
17	BY M	R. SHEEHAN:
18	Q.	So, just to start off, Mr. Iqbal, having
19		heard the exchange we just had, if the
20		trainers' salary and benefits are in your
21		number is the trainers' salary and
22		benefits in that \$237,000 number, if you
23		know?
24	Α.	I'm looking for a data response which
		$\{DG, 17-048\}$ [Day 6 Hearing] $\{03-26-18\}$

{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1		actually is source of this table. And as far
2		as I remember, that has a column which is
3		trainer cost. But if you're implying that
4		those two trainers' cost is included in
5		237,000, I disagree, because those are two
6		electric and I think I better look at the
7		responses, the source matter on this
8		particular
9	Q.	Okay. And you raise a valid point. If
10		there's two trainers the Company has, one is
11		electric and one is gas; correct?
12	A.	That's my understanding.
13	Q.	So it would only be appropriate, if the
14		trainer's cost were in this column, that it
15		would only be the gas trainer.
16	A.	Yes, on that I have to I think I have to
17		add one more thing, that these trainers are
18		included from 2013 to 2015 because trainer
19		when Company was training at National Grid
20		facility, at that time Company actually hired
21		these two trainer. And one of the data
22		request in a previous docket I asked about
23		the cost for National Grid trainers' cost.
24		And the response I got is that those costs we
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1		never paid, so it's not reflected in this
2		number. But their internal trainers number
3		included. That's my understanding. That's
4		what Commission sorry. That's what
5		Company told me at that time. And I don't
6		have anything which I can dispute. I just
7		trust Company response.
8	Q.	So it's your understanding that the Company
9		has had full-time trainers on staff since
10		2013 or so?
11	A.	That's my understanding.
12	Q.	And it's your understanding that those
13		trainer costs are included in the column you
14		have listed in Table 2, Training Cost.
15	Α.	I think the source material would tell you
16		what is included or is not included. So if
17		you want I select one item from that, you can
18		do that. But if I say that that is only cost
19		of training, there is payroll cost, there is
20		travel cost, there are other cost included in
21		there.
22	Q.	Where I'm going with this, Mr. Iqbal, and I'm
23		not trying to be clever, is you testified the
24		other day that if you add employees to the
L		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1		Hours column, you need to add in the time of
2		their salary into the Training Cost column;
3		correct?
4	Α.	Exactly.
5	Q.	And my question is: The chart you have
6		doesn't seem to have enough money in the
7		Training Cost category to account for 2700
8		hours of employee wages. You follow?
9	Α.	If that is the case, it's all on the Company.
10		I relied on the Company. If Company is
11		saying that their data was wrong, that's not
12		my problem. And if that is the case, I have
13		to redo the I think one of the tech
14		session we talked about it, that Company
15		raise the issue that this number doesn't
16		reflect everything. Then we have to rely on
17		the Company. We don't have the data. We
18		cannot
19	Q.	I heard you the first time.
20		So, going back to the 1900 hours, I
21		understand you're saying you're not sure if
22		that is incremental or not.
23	A.	It doesn't say incremental.
24	Q.	Let's assume for a moment it is. Okay?
Į		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1	Α.	Okay.
2	Q.	Then you would add 1900 to the 2700 in your
3		column, and that would be about 4600 hours of
4		<pre>training; correct?</pre>
5	A.	Hypothetically, yes.
6	Q.	And if you carry through the existing math,
7		that would lower the training cost per hour,
8		correct, because you have more hours with the
9		same dollars?
10	A.	That's what I dispute on Friday, yes.
11	Q.	I understand. I'm just walking you through
12		it.
13	A.	Okay.
14	Q.	And then if you were to add in the employee
15		hours, if that's the case, then that would
16		increase the training cost per hour back up a
17		bit; correct?
18	A.	Hypothetically, yes.
19	Q.	Okay. I'm going to give you some
20		hypothetical numbers so we can have something
21		in front of us. They're hypothetical. I
22		understand you may not agree with them.
23		If you were to add 1900 hours to what's
24		there, and you take the 600,000 total
l		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1		training cost and divide by the new number of
2		4600 hours, I'll represent to you the math
3		lowers the per-hour cost to \$133. My
4		question is: Do you accept the math, not the
5		concept behind the math?
6	Α.	I'll accept the math. But I might accept the
7		concept behind the math that you are adding
8		numbers of hours and you are adding
9		corresponding cost.
10	Q.	I haven't added the corresponding cost yet.
11		All I did was add the hours, and that brought
12		the average down to \$133. And again, if you
13		were to hypothesize with me that employees
14		\$50 an hour is a rough approximation for a
15		union employee wages and benefits, if you
16		apply that to the 1900 hours, that would add
17		about \$90,000 to the Cost column. Again, you
18		don't have to accept those numbers. But as a
19		concept, does that make sense?
20	Α.	I think I can agree with that.
21	Q.	Okay. And again, I have done the math, and
22		it brings the hourly cost back up to about
23		\$152 per hour.
24	Α.	That's possible.
l		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1	Q.	And that's what your reservation's about,
2		what is in and what is not in these various
3		numbers; correct?
4	A.	I explain that in my testimony.
5	Q.	I'd like you to turn to Exhibit 35 and 36.
6		These are data responses from Northern
7		Utilities that were introduced earlier in
8		this case.
9	Α.	I guess I don't have those.
10	Q.	I have some of them for you.
11		The first to look at is Exhibit 36,
12		which is Northern's response to Staff Tech
13		1-10. Do you have that?
14	Α.	Yeah, I do.
15	Q.	The first sentence reads, "When the Company
16		acquired Northern Utilities, the Portsmouth
17		facility underwent extensive building
18		renovations to accommodate Unitil's operating
19		requirements. Included in these renovations
20		was space to accommodate classroom training
21		needs."
22		Do you know how much they spent on these
23		"extensive building renovations"?
24	Α.	I don't know, and it doesn't matter.
I		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1	Q.	Didn't ask you that, sir. Do you know how
2		much they spent on these renovations
3		particular to training?
4	Α.	What training we're talking about?
5	Q.	It appears from this answer that part of the
6		renovations was space to accommodate
7		training. Do you have any knowledge as to
8		what part of the cost to renovate pertained
9		to their training?
10	Α.	No.
11	Q.	Did you ask Northern what options it
12		considered before deciding to make extensive
13		renovations to accommodate training?
14	Α.	I was talking about when those cost are
15		included in rate base.
16	Q.	So the answer is "No."
17	Α.	I don't know.
18	Q.	Turning to Exhibit 35, at Bates 5, which is
19		Northern's response to Staff 2-48
20	A.	I'm there.
21	Q.	this has similar broad categories of
22		training costs as are contained in your
23		Exhibit 2, correct or your Table 2?
24	Α.	I guess so.
		$\int DC [17-0.49] \int Day 6 Happing [\int 0.2-26-19]$

1	Q.	And briefly, and it's in front of us, the
2		Company invested \$420,000 in total training
3		costs over a period of time. It's got a list
4		of number of hours, and it breaks out the
5		hours, the cost of those hours as \$87,000;
6		correct?
7	Α.	What are you looking at, 87,000?
8	Q.	The first bullet says the Company invested
9		\$420,000 in total training costs; correct?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	The second bullet says 2,373 hours of
12		training are covered by that same period of
13		time.
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	The third breaks out the labor cost
16		associated with those hours to be \$82,000.
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	And the last does the math. Takes the
19		420,000, takes out the 80,000 in labor
20		charges and has the \$337,000 of non-labor
21		training costs; correct?
22	Α.	Seems that way.
23	Q.	If you were to, in effect, apply these
24		numbers to your Table 2, and we took total
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1		training costs divided by the number of hours
2		of training, that would be the 420,000
3		divided by the 2,373, and the math says \$177
4		per hour.
5	A.	Subject to check, yes.
6	Q.	And if you were to remove the labor costs and
7		just apply the hours to the non-labor, the
8		number would go down to about \$142 per hour.
9	A.	Subject to check.
10	Q.	Mr. Iqbal, you have visited the training
11		center; have you not?
12	A.	I did.
13	Q.	You were not part of the official view last
14		week, but you were part of an informal tour
15		last fall.
16	Α.	That's my understanding.
17	Q.	Is it your recommendation to the Commission
18		that that training center has no value?
19	Α.	That's a tricky question, because if you
20		look at the if you want to value something
21		which is part of your overall operation, I
22		have question about that. That's what I
23		raised in my testimony. But as isolated
24		building, yes, that has a value. If you sell
l		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1		it, you might get some money on that.
2	Q.	But your recommendation to the Commission has
3		the effect of giving the training center no
4		value to the Company. To remove the entire
5		\$500,000 revenue requirement means, from a
6		ratemaking perspective, it has no value.
7	Α.	From my analysis, yes.
8	Q.	And you think that's a reasonable treatment
9		for the Company's investment of that training
10		center.
11	A.	That's my conclusion.
12	Q.	And you disagree that the training center is
13		being used?
14	Α.	I think so. The data says that.
15	Q.	So you agree with that.
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	And you agree that training is happening at
18		the training center.
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	And you've seen all the schedules to see the
21		thousands of hours of training happening at
22		the training center.
23	Α.	I have no reason to dispute that.
24	Q.	And you have no basis to challenge the types
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

		99
1		and quantity and caliber of training that is
2		being performed at the training center.
3	Α.	The Company provided the list of the
4		training.
5		MR. SHEEHAN: I have no further
6		questions. Thank you.
7		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner
8		Bailey.
9	QUES	TIONS BY COMMISSIONERS:
10	BY C	OMMISSIONER BAILEY:
11	Q.	Mr. Iqbal, in response to one of Mr.
12		Sheehan's questions I think you said you
13		didn't count the hours of training that were
14		associated with safety?
15	Α.	Yeah. Company provided actually do a
16		symposium for all my understanding from
17		discussion with the Company, that they
18		provide training to all their employees. And
19		they do it in a symposium-type arrangement,
20		which is not done in training center or the
21		office. It is done almost like a retreat.
22		So those are not the required training the
23		training center was built for.
24	Q.	So does that training or does that retreat
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

			10
1		happen at the training center now because	
2		they have it?	
3	Α.	My understanding, no.	
4	Q.	Oh, okay. So that's why you wouldn't count	
5		it?	
6	Α.	Yes, that's one of the main reason.	
7	Q.	Okay.	
8	Α.	And I think the point I was making, that the	
9		training center, total justification of	
10		training center is to train the management	
11		and union employees, which was done at	
12		National Grid training center facilities. So	
13		it is replacing the National Grid training	
14		facilities. Everything else, like customer	
15		service and other training, doesn't require	
16		any training center. So, to apple-to-apple	
17		on decision-making, we have to focus on	
18		management and union.	
19	Q.	And is it true that they can't use the	
20		National Grid training facility anymore?	
21	Α.	That's what Company is saying.	
22	Q.	Do you have any reason to doubt that?	
23	Α.	No.	
24	Q.	Okay. So if that's true, then where how	
	<u>. </u>	{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}	

 can they get that training done without the training center? A. That's exactly my point, that they should have explored all these options and do cost/benefit analysis on this option and then took a position. My argument is not about whether they need training to train people or not. Yes, they do. But my objection is about their decision-making. It seems like the decision to build the training center is not supported by the analysis or documents they provided to us. Q. So you don't know whether or not they could have trained, performed similar training or paid for similar training without building the facility. They just didn't consider that. A. Yeah, exactly my point. I don't know because they didn't know. And the point I was making, that their decision was not supported by any analysis which says that those option are not available. Those option are not, from my perspective, those option are not considered properly. 			-
 A. That's exactly my point, that they should have explored all these options and do cost/benefit analysis on this option and then took a position. My argument is not about whether they need training to train people or not. Yes, they do. But my objection is about their decision-making. It seems like the decision to build the training center is not supported by the analysis or documents they provided to us. Q. So you don't know whether or not they could have trained, performed similar training or paid for similar training without building the facility. They just didn't consider that. A. Yeah, exactly my point. I don't know because they didn't know. And the point I was making, that their decision was not supported by any analysis which says that those option are not available. Those option are not, from my perspective, those option are not 	1		can they get that training done without the
 have explored all these options and do cost/benefit analysis on this option and then took a position. My argument is not about whether they need training to train people or not. Yes, they do. But my objection is about their decision-making. It seems like the decision to build the training center is not supported by the analysis or documents they provided to us. Q. So you don't know whether or not they could have trained, performed similar training or paid for similar training without building the facility. They just didn't consider that. A. Yeah, exactly my point. I don't know because they didn't know. And the point I was making, that their decision was not supported by any analysis which says that those option are not available. Those option are not, from my perspective, those option are not 	2		training center?
 cost/benefit analysis on this option and then took a position. My argument is not about whether they need training to train people or not. Yes, they do. But my objection is about their decision-making. It seems like the decision to build the training center is not supported by the analysis or documents they provided to us. Q. So you don't know whether or not they could have trained, performed similar training or paid for similar training without building the facility. They just didn't consider that. A. Yeah, exactly my point. I don't know because they didn't know. And the point I was making, that their decision was not supported by any analysis which says that those option are not available. Those option are not, from my perspective, those option are not 	3	Α.	That's exactly my point, that they should
 took a position. My argument is not about whether they need training to train people or not. Yes, they do. But my objection is about their decision-making. It seems like the decision to build the training center is not supported by the analysis or documents they provided to us. Q. So you don't know whether or not they could have trained, performed similar training or paid for similar training without building the facility. They just didn't consider that. A. Yeah, exactly my point. I don't know because they didn't know. And the point I was making, that their decision was not supported by any analysis which says that those option are not available. Those option are not, from my perspective, those option are not 	4		have explored all these options and do
 whether they need training to train people or not. Yes, they do. But my objection is about their decision-making. It seems like the decision to build the training center is not supported by the analysis or documents they provided to us. Q. So you don't know whether or not they could have trained, performed similar training or paid for similar training without building the facility. They just didn't consider that. A. Yeah, exactly my point. I don't know because they didn't know. And the point I was making, that their decision was not supported by any analysis which says that those option are not, from my perspective, those option are not 	5		cost/benefit analysis on this option and then
 8 not. Yes, they do. But my objection is 9 about their decision-making. It seems like 10 the decision to build the training center is 11 not supported by the analysis or documents 12 they provided to us. 13 Q. So you don't know whether or not they could 14 have trained, performed similar training or 15 paid for similar training without building 16 the facility. They just didn't consider 17 that. 18 A. Yeah, exactly my point. I don't know because 19 they didn't know. And the point I was 20 making, that their decision was not supported 21 by any analysis which says that those option 22 are not available. Those option are not, 23 from my perspective, those option are not 	6		took a position. My argument is not about
 about their decision-making. It seems like the decision to build the training center is not supported by the analysis or documents they provided to us. Q. So you don't know whether or not they could have trained, performed similar training or paid for similar training without building the facility. They just didn't consider that. A. Yeah, exactly my point. I don't know because they didn't know. And the point I was making, that their decision was not supported by any analysis which says that those option are not available. Those option are not, from my perspective, those option are not 	7		whether they need training to train people or
10 the decision to build the training center is 11 not supported by the analysis or documents 12 they provided to us. 13 Q. So you don't know whether or not they could 14 have trained, performed similar training or 15 paid for similar training without building 16 the facility. They just didn't consider 17 that. 18 A. Yeah, exactly my point. I don't know because 19 they didn't know. And the point I was 10 making, that their decision was not supported 21 by any analysis which says that those option 22 are not available. Those option are not, 23 from my perspective, those option are not	8		not. Yes, they do. But my objection is
 not supported by the analysis or documents they provided to us. Q. So you don't know whether or not they could have trained, performed similar training or paid for similar training without building the facility. They just didn't consider that. A. Yeah, exactly my point. I don't know because they didn't know. And the point I was making, that their decision was not supported by any analysis which says that those option are not available. Those option are not, from my perspective, those option are not 	9		about their decision-making. It seems like
12 they provided to us. 13 Q. So you don't know whether or not they could 14 have trained, performed similar training or 15 paid for similar training without building 16 the facility. They just didn't consider 17 that. 18 A. Yeah, exactly my point. I don't know because 19 they didn't know. And the point I was 20 making, that their decision was not supported 21 by any analysis which says that those option 22 are not available. Those option are not, 23 from my perspective, those option are not	10		the decision to build the training center is
 Q. So you don't know whether or not they could have trained, performed similar training or paid for similar training without building the facility. They just didn't consider that. A. Yeah, exactly my point. I don't know because they didn't know. And the point I was making, that their decision was not supported by any analysis which says that those option are not available. Those option are not, from my perspective, those option are not 	11		not supported by the analysis or documents
 have trained, performed similar training or paid for similar training without building the facility. They just didn't consider that. A. Yeah, exactly my point. I don't know because they didn't know. And the point I was making, that their decision was not supported by any analysis which says that those option are not available. Those option are not, from my perspective, those option are not 	12		they provided to us.
paid for similar training without building the facility. They just didn't consider that. A. Yeah, exactly my point. I don't know because they didn't know. And the point I was making, that their decision was not supported by any analysis which says that those option are not available. Those option are not, from my perspective, those option are not	13	Q.	So you don't know whether or not they could
16 the facility. They just didn't consider 17 that. 18 A. Yeah, exactly my point. I don't know because 19 they didn't know. And the point I was 20 making, that their decision was not supported 21 by any analysis which says that those option 22 are not available. Those option are not, 23 from my perspective, those option are not	14		have trained, performed similar training or
17 that. 18 A. Yeah, exactly my point. I don't know because 19 they didn't know. And the point I was 20 making, that their decision was not supported 21 by any analysis which says that those option 22 are not available. Those option are not, 23 from my perspective, those option are not	15		paid for similar training without building
 18 A. Yeah, exactly my point. I don't know because 19 they didn't know. And the point I was 20 making, that their decision was not supported 21 by any analysis which says that those option 22 are not available. Those option are not, 23 from my perspective, those option are not 	16		the facility. They just didn't consider
19 they didn't know. And the point I was 20 making, that their decision was not supported 21 by any analysis which says that those option 22 are not available. Those option are not, 23 from my perspective, those option are not	17		that.
20 making, that their decision was not supported 21 by any analysis which says that those option 22 are not available. Those option are not, 23 from my perspective, those option are not	18	Α.	Yeah, exactly my point. I don't know because
 by any analysis which says that those option are not available. Those option are not, from my perspective, those option are not 	19		they didn't know. And the point I was
22 are not available. Those option are not, 23 from my perspective, those option are not	20		making, that their decision was not supported
23 from my perspective, those option are not	21		by any analysis which says that those option
	22		are not available. Those option are not,
24 considered properly.	23		from my perspective, those option are not
	24		considered properly.

			1
1	Q.	I want to ask you a few questions about	
2		decoupling, but I have to find my notes	
3		first.	
4	Α.	I have to find mine, too.	
5	Q.	Let me start with this question: Your	
6		testimony is not that there should be no	
7		decoupling.	
8	Α.	No, that's not part of Staff position.	
9	Q.	Okay. Your position, however, is that they	
10		should have an established, set rate, charge	
11		that same rate every month, and then	
12		weather-normalize their revenue when they're	
13		trying to figure out whether there's a	
14		surplus or a deficit in the revenue that they	
15		have collected?	
16	Α.	Exactly. Because when you're setting the	
17		rate, it's based on normalized sales. If you	
18		look	
19	Q.	Excuse me. It's based on normalized what?	
20	Α.	Sales or revenue.	
21	Q.	Sales. Okay.	
22	Α.	Yeah. So that means that Commission is	
23		trying to say that, if the weather was	
24		normal, this is the RPC, revenue per	
I		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}	
l		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}	

		1
1		customer, you should be collecting. So,
2		being consistent with that, in my testimony I
3		argue that, being consistent with that, we
4		are saying that the revenue shortfall should
5		be calculated the same way, because the rates
6		are set that way. So, to be consistent, when
7		you are saying that whether we over-collected
8		or under-collected, that should be done the
9		same, using the same methodology.
10	Q.	Can you look at Bates Page 10 of your
11		testimony?
12	Α.	Yes, I'm there.
13	Q.	And you list those six items that you believe
14		should be included in the decoupling
15		mechanism.
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	And the first one is the adjustment should be
18		based on weather-normalized revenues.
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	We just talked about that. And you would do
21		it weather-normalizing revenues, and they
22		would do it, weather-normalizing effectively
23		the rate each month.
24	Α.	Yeah. They are doing weather normalization
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

		1
1		at monthly level. Not only monthly level, at
2		customer level and their billing cycle level.
3		And then they would be reconciling the
4		difference at the end of the year.
5	Q.	But isn't there less to reconcile at the end
6		of the year if they get it right?
7	Α.	Yes, that's correct.
8	Q.	Okay. So there could be some benefit to
9		that. I understand you think that there are
10		a lot of drawbacks to that.
11	A.	Yeah.
12	Q.	But there also could be a benefit.
13	A.	Very minimal. That's my point, that they
14		have as you put it that way, when we are
15		talking about benefit, we have to look at the
16		other drawbacks and costs, too. There is
17		real cost for the customer, for the Company,
18		and for the regulators, too, because if we
19		are doing providing that benefit,
20		so-called "benefit" and in my testimony
21		this morning I pointed out those benefits
22		doesn't matter. Even OCA also addressed that
23		people look at the overall number, not
24		individual item. That's my point, that then
		$\int DG 17 - 048 \int Day 6 Hearing \int 03 - 26 - 18 \int$

			10
1		why do you go through all this pain to give	
2		up that individual item which creates problem	
3		for everybody in this room.	
4	Q.	Okay. The second point, the adjustment	
5		should be performed at the rate class level.	
6		They've done that in their proposal now;	
7		right?	
8	Α.	I agree with that.	
9	Q.	Okay. And then the third, expected revenue	
10		should be calculated at individual rate class	
11		level, not in a combined rate class level.	
12		Was that with respect to when they	
13		reconciled?	
14	Α.	Yes, I think they also added that. That's my	
15		understanding.	
16	Q.	So the settlement proposal takes	
17	A.	Yeah, takes care of that.	
18	Q.	takes care of that. Okay.	
19		Expansion rate customers should be	
20		included in the revenue decoupling mechanism	
21		calculation. And they did that.	
22	Α.	They did that.	
23	Q.	Okay. Revenue decoupling mechanism	
24		adjustment should be capped at plus or minus	
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}	

 2 percent. A. They didn't address that issue. Q. They didn't address that issue, but I think they said something about why it wasn't necessary. Was that because of the monthly weatherization normalization, and so they don't need to cap it? A. As long as I remember, Mr. Therrien addressed that issue, and that was his testimony. Q. Okay. And then no mid-period adjustment should be made. If needed, adjustment can be made at the time of the Company's next rate case. A. You want an explanation about what it means? Q. Sure. Well, is that requirement still necessary with the settlement proposal that they A. I don't know, because I think if there is no cap, then this requirement is not really necessary. Q. If there's no cap what? A. If there is no cap adjustment, that means that every year they will reconcile total amount. 				1
 Q. They didn't address that issue, but I think they said something about why it wasn't necessary. Was that because of the monthly weatherization normalization, and so they don't need to cap it? A. As long as I remember, Mr. Therrien addressed that issue, and that was his testimony. Q. Okay. And then no mid-period adjustment should be made. If needed, adjustment can be made at the time of the Company's next rate case. A. You want an explanation about what it means? Q. Sure. Well, is that requirement still necessary with the settlement proposal that they A. I don't know, because I think if there is no cap, then this requirement is not really necessary. Q. If there's no cap what? A. If there is no cap adjustment, that means that every year they will reconcile total 	1		2 percent.	
 they said something about why it wasn't necessary. Was that because of the monthly weatherization normalization, and so they don't need to cap it? A. As long as I remember, Mr. Therrien addressed that issue, and that was his testimony. Q. Okay. And then no mid-period adjustment should be made. If needed, adjustment can be made at the time of the Company's next rate case. A. You want an explanation about what it means? Q. Sure. Well, is that requirement still necessary with the settlement proposal that they A. I don't know, because I think if there is no cap, then this requirement is not really necessary. Q. If there is no cap adjustment, that means that every year they will reconcile total 	2	А.	They didn't address that issue.	
 necessary. Was that because of the monthly weatherization normalization, and so they don't need to cap it? A. As long as I remember, Mr. Therrien addressed that issue, and that was his testimony. Q. Okay. And then no mid-period adjustment should be made. If needed, adjustment can be made at the time of the Company's next rate case. A. You want an explanation about what it means? Q. Sure. Well, is that requirement still necessary with the settlement proposal that they A. I don't know, because I think if there is no cap, then this requirement is not really necessary. Q. If there's no cap what? A. If there is no cap adjustment, that means that every year they will reconcile total 	3	Q.	They didn't address that issue, but I think	
 weatherization normalization, and so they don't need to cap it? A. As long as I remember, Mr. Therrien addressed that issue, and that was his testimony. Q. Okay. And then no mid-period adjustment should be made. If needed, adjustment can be made at the time of the Company's next rate case. A. You want an explanation about what it means? Q. Sure. Well, is that requirement still necessary with the settlement proposal that they A. I don't know, because I think if there is no cap, then this requirement is not really necessary. Q. If there's no cap what? A. If there is no cap adjustment, that means that every year they will reconcile total 	4		they said something about why it wasn't	
 don't need to cap it? A. As long as I remember, Mr. Therrien addressed that issue, and that was his testimony. Q. Okay. And then no mid-period adjustment should be made. If needed, adjustment can be made at the time of the Company's next rate case. A. You want an explanation about what it means? Q. Sure. Well, is that requirement still necessary with the settlement proposal that they A. I don't know, because I think if there is no cap, then this requirement is not really necessary. Q. If there's no cap what? A. If there is no cap adjustment, that means that every year they will reconcile total 	5		necessary. Was that because of the monthly	
 8 A. As long as I remember, Mr. Therrien addressed that issue, and that was his testimony. 10 Q. Okay. And then no mid-period adjustment should be made. If needed, adjustment can be made at the time of the Company's next rate case. 14 A. You want an explanation about what it means? 15 Q. Sure. Well, is that requirement still necessary with the settlement proposal that they 18 A. I don't know, because I think if there is no cap, then this requirement is not really necessary. 21 Q. If there's no cap what? 22 A. If there is no cap adjustment, that means that every year they will reconcile total 	6		weatherization normalization, and so they	
 that issue, and that was his testimony. Q. Okay. And then no mid-period adjustment should be made. If needed, adjustment can be made at the time of the Company's next rate case. A. You want an explanation about what it means? Q. Sure. Well, is that requirement still necessary with the settlement proposal that they A. I don't know, because I think if there is no cap, then this requirement is not really necessary. Q. If there's no cap what? A. If there is no cap adjustment, that means that every year they will reconcile total 	7		don't need to cap it?	
 10 Q. Okay. And then no mid-period adjustment should be made. If needed, adjustment can be made at the time of the Company's next rate case. 14 A. You want an explanation about what it means? 15 Q. Sure. Well, is that requirement still necessary with the settlement proposal that they 18 A. I don't know, because I think if there is no cap, then this requirement is not really necessary. 21 Q. If there's no cap what? 22 A. If there is no cap adjustment, that means that every year they will reconcile total 	8	Α.	As long as I remember, Mr. Therrien addressed	
11 should be made. If needed, adjustment can be made at the time of the Company's next rate case. 14 A. You want an explanation about what it means? 15 Q. Sure. Well, is that requirement still necessary with the settlement proposal that they 18 A. I don't know, because I think if there is no cap, then this requirement is not really necessary. 21 Q. If there's no cap what? 22 A. If there is no cap adjustment, that means that every year they will reconcile total	9		that issue, and that was his testimony.	
 made at the time of the Company's next rate case. A. You want an explanation about what it means? Q. Sure. Well, is that requirement still necessary with the settlement proposal that they A. I don't know, because I think if there is no cap, then this requirement is not really necessary. Q. If there's no cap what? A. If there is no cap adjustment, that means that every year they will reconcile total 	10	Q.	Okay. And then no mid-period adjustment	
 13 case. 14 A. You want an explanation about what it means? 15 Q. Sure. Well, is that requirement still 16 necessary with the settlement proposal that 17 they 18 A. I don't know, because I think if there is no 19 cap, then this requirement is not really 20 necessary. 21 Q. If there's no cap what? 22 A. If there is no cap adjustment, that means 23 that every year they will reconcile total 	11		should be made. If needed, adjustment can be	
 14 A. You want an explanation about what it means? 15 Q. Sure. Well, is that requirement still 16 necessary with the settlement proposal that 17 they 18 A. I don't know, because I think if there is no 19 cap, then this requirement is not really 20 necessary. 21 Q. If there's no cap what? 22 A. If there is no cap adjustment, that means 23 that every year they will reconcile total 	12		made at the time of the Company's next rate	
 Q. Sure. Well, is that requirement still necessary with the settlement proposal that they A. I don't know, because I think if there is no cap, then this requirement is not really necessary. Q. If there's no cap what? A. If there is no cap adjustment, that means that every year they will reconcile total 	13		case.	
16 necessary with the settlement proposal that 17 they 18 A. I don't know, because I think if there is no cap, then this requirement is not really necessary. 20 necessary. 21 Q. If there's no cap what? 22 A. If there is no cap adjustment, that means that every year they will reconcile total	14	Α.	You want an explanation about what it means?	
 17 they 18 A. I don't know, because I think if there is no 19 cap, then this requirement is not really 20 necessary. 21 Q. If there's no cap what? 22 A. If there is no cap adjustment, that means 23 that every year they will reconcile total 	15	Q.	Sure. Well, is that requirement still	
 18 A. I don't know, because I think if there is no 19 cap, then this requirement is not really 20 necessary. 21 Q. If there's no cap what? 22 A. If there is no cap adjustment, that means 23 that every year they will reconcile total 	16		necessary with the settlement proposal that	
19 cap, then this requirement is not really 20 necessary. 21 Q. If there's no cap what? 22 A. If there is no cap adjustment, that means 23 that every year they will reconcile total	17		they	
<pre>20 necessary. 21 Q. If there's no cap what? 22 A. If there is no cap adjustment, that means 23 that every year they will reconcile total</pre>	18	A.	I don't know, because I think if there is no	
 21 Q. If there's no cap what? 22 A. If there is no cap adjustment, that means 23 that every year they will reconcile total 	19		cap, then this requirement is not really	
 22 A. If there is no cap adjustment, that means 23 that every year they will reconcile total 	20		necessary.	
23 that every year they will reconcile total	21	Q.	If there's no cap what?	
	22	Α.	If there is no cap adjustment, that means	
24 amount.	23		that every year they will reconcile total	
	24		amount.	

		107
1	Q.	Okay. And that's their plan.
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	So that item is also taken care of.
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	So the big item in this list that you have a
6		problem with is No. 1.
7	A.	Yeah, No. 1 problem.
8	Q.	Okay. All right. Thank you. That's all I
9		have.
10		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner
11		Giaimo.
12	QUES	STIONS BY COMMISSIONER GIAIMO:
13	Q.	Good morning.
14	A.	Good morning.
15	Q.	So what I heard was that one of your concerns
16		is uncertainty for the consumer.
17	A.	Can I clarify? You're talking about
18		decoupling still?
19	Q.	Yes. I'm sorry. Yes, that's correct.
20		Uncertainty to the consumers is one of your
21		concerns?
22	A.	There was different uncertainty. If you're
23		talking about any specific one, I can address
24		that.
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1	Q.	Okay. The pricing uncertainty. It sounded
2		like you thought that the changing price
3		created uncertainty for the consumer and made
4		things confusing to them. Is that accurate?
5	Α.	Yes, that's accurate. I think I made two
6		point. One is uncertainty, this building,
7		that which Company don't know, Commission
8		cannot know, customer cannot know. So those
9		are uncertainty that is very difficult to
10		cope with. And that creates all this other
11		problem for all this professional, Mr.
12		Therrien, in his rebuttal actually pointed
13		out.
14	Q.	Does anything in this proposal preclude the
15		Company from providing budget billing going
16		forward?
17	Α.	No, there is nothing in the settlement which
18		says they would not provide budget billing if
19		this get if the settlement is approved.
20	Q.	Okay. Does that help alleviate some of your
21		concerns with respect to price uncertainty to
22		the consumer?
23	Α.	No, that doesn't.
24	Q.	Okay. Can we look at Exhibit 65, Page 37 of

			109
1		the RAP decoupling document?	
2	А.	Yeah, I got that.	
3	Q.	I was a little confused by the purpose of	
	χ.		
4		this document with respect to Staff's	
5		position. What I thought I heard you say was	
6		that basically the Idaho Power Company and	
7		BGE lines show that, irrespective of which	
8		methodology you choose, the effect, the	
9		outcome is the same.	
10	Α.	Similar.	
11	Q.	Similar.	
12	Α.	Yeah.	
13	Q.	Okay. Help me run through that, because the	
14		Idaho Power Company began decoupling in 2007,	
15		and theirs was .6 percent; and BGE started	
16		same year, and theirs was 0.0 percent; and	
17		then over the course of the next three years,	
18		Idaho doubles to 1.3 percent, but BGE goes	
19		from 0 percent to 1.7 percent.	
20	Α.	What I was trying to indicate, that	
21		irrespective to the decoupling model they're	
22		using, the direction is higher the	
23		direction is the same direction. That is	
24		going up. We are not saying that that should	
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}	

		_
1		match each other. If you look at the other
2		model in that document, too, you can see that
3		direction is the same way. So we cannot
4		expect that all these numbers should be the
5		same. But we can conclude that, irrespective
6		to the model, the decoupling model they're
7		using, those are actually enhancing the
8		energy efficiency.
9	Q.	Okay. The last exchange you had with
10		Attorney Sheehan, he asked you did the
11		training center have no value, and then you
12		said, well, it does have an inherent value;
13		it has property value. So, assuming that for
14		revenue treatment purposes it's excluded,
15		getting your way, eventually when the Company
16		sells the facility, all that flows back to
17		the shareholders.
18	Α.	I'm not sure about that treatment. I think
19		Mr I think the revenue requirement
20		witness could provide you that. I don't know
21		how they would treat this asset if it is not
22		included in their rate base. So, based on
23		that, it could be included if they sell this
24		Company, or it could be I don't know. I
L	ι	

1		don't know how they would treat if Commission
2		says it is not part of the rate base. It all
3		depends on the management decision I think.
4	Q.	Okay. You see the principle of what I'm
5		trying to the suggestion I'm making. If
6		the shareholders are assuming all of the risk
7		and through the years don't have the
8		customers contributing to it, why, when it's
9		eventually sold, should any revenue
10		associated with that flow back to the
11		ratepayers?
12	Α.	I think it would be treated the same way if
13		it is part of the rate base. It will be
14		the new company will treat it as part of rate
15		base. And if it is not, then new company
16		could say that we don't want to buy that one.
17		COMMISSIONER GIAIMO: Thank you.
18	QUES	TIONS BY CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG:
19	Q.	Mr. Iqbal, you started this morning going
20		over the problems you had with the Company's
21		monthly reconciliation. And I think the
22		first one you talked about was that it was
23		ineffective, and that it was ineffective
24		and I tried to write your words down and may
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1		not got it right that the whole idea of
2		the monthly reconciliation is directed at the
3		cash flow of the customers. Is that
4	A.	That's their argument. Now
5	Q.	Okay. I didn't hear their argument to be
6		that. I heard that to be one feature of
7		monthly reconciliation, that it would have
8		some mitigating effect on rates, on that
9		portion of the rates when weather was
10		unusual. And so just let me finish. I
11		heard them say that a big point of the
12		monthly reconciliation associated with this
13		was to eliminate large movements later on,
14		that it was to mitigate large swings in rates
15		caused by under- or over-collections, not so
16		much cash flow of customers, but expectations
17		of customers as they plan what they're doing.
18		This was mainly directed at large users. Do
19		you disagree with that concept?
20	Α.	I would defer to Mr Dr. Johnson's
21		testimony. If you look my understanding
22		from his testimony, that it helps customer in
23		respect to their cash flow. And then some
24		other then he elaborated I think he
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

1		didn't, actually. His testimony here, he
2		added all this other information. But in his
3		testimony, original testimony, the main idea
4		is coming from the cash flow idea.
5		If you go to the source material, like
6		the RAP here I think most his ideas came
7		from, they talk about cash flow, that we are
8		helping customers 118 we are taking care of
9		Company cash flow, and then we have to find a
10		reciprocate benefit for the customer; that
11		means we are helping customers' cash flow.
12	Q.	Would you agree with me, though, that the
13		commodity price overwhelms any changes in the
14		distribution adjustment that takes place? If
15		there's a very cold month, a user is going to
16		use a lot more gas heating than that small
17		offset would be; correct? You agree with
18		that; right?
19	A.	Right, I agree with that. And that's one of
20		the reason I said that it doesn't make sense.
21	Q.	I understand that. So I think you and I
22		would agree that the small adjustment
23		probably doesn't do much for customer cash
24		flow.

1 A. Exactly.

2	Q.	But it does do other things for large users
3		who can know a portion of the bill.
4		Sophisticated users will know a portion of
5		the bill. Joe Blow Homeowner, like me, you
6		know, I'm just going to look at the bottom
7		line. I know when it's cold I'm going to use
8		more and it's going to be an expensive month.
9		But the large commercial users, they're going
10		to be different a little, aren't they?
11	Α.	Very little. This is why: 118 I pointed out
12		that even those large customer would not know
13		that if they could get refund or a charge 118
14		it all depends on the weather.
15	Q.	Here's where I disagree with you: I think
16		you and I disagree about customers being
17		motivated to use more by a small, potential
18		adjustment when it's cold. If it's cold, I
19		know I'm going to use more. And the fact
20		that a tiny there might be a tiny offset
21		that's going cause me somehow to change my
22		behavior seems unrealistic to me. That
23		doesn't that's not how people behave. And
24		maybe there's some social science out there

1		that tells me I'm wrong. But what are you
2		relying on to say that the presence of an
3		adjustment on the bill is going to change
4		people's behavior?
5	Α.	Any cost it's not only let's go back a
6		little bit. That this gives the wrong if
7		you're talking about the same thing, I think
8		it will require you inquiring that how come
9		it is a cost signal which is change for
10		anyone's behavior.
11	Q.	Right. A tiny adjustment in an otherwise
12		large bill you think motivates people in a
13		way that is counterintuitive to me.
14	Α.	It might be tiny, but the signal we are
15		providing is wrong. That's my point.
16	Q.	You hypothesized this morning a user in a
17		cold month using 100 units, and then in the
18		next month, in a mild month, also using 100
19		units. Isn't that example completely
20		unrealistic by definition when we're talking
21		about a heating customer?
22	Α.	No, that's not completely 118 I experience
23		that situation. 118 let's say that one month
24		my in-laws are with us, so I have to heat the
		$\int DG 17 - 0.48 \setminus Day 6 Hearing 1 / 0.3 - 26 - 18 \setminus 0.5 = 0$

1		house for the whole day. So when they are
2		not, even though it's cold, I'm not heating
3		that.
4	Q.	You've changed the variable. That's not what
5		you said this morning. Your only variables
6		in your example this morning involved user
7		decision-making of 100 I think it was 100
8		units in a month, a cold month, and then 100
9		units in a warm month. Unless you change a
10		lot of other facts, that doesn't happen, 118
11		in the cold month, in the same usage pattern,
12		you're going to use a lot more in the cold
13		month than you will in the mild month, and
14		you'll spend more. And that modest
15		adjustment which will appear after the fact
16		isn't going to change how you're going to
17		heat your house.
18	A.	Yes, everything is the same. Yes, I agree
19		with your conclusion, yeah.
20	Q.	The phrase that Mr. Sheehan didn't use with
21		you in talking about the training center was
22		"used and useful." He got a bunch of
23		questions that were relevant to asking the
24		question whether it was "used and useful."

1		Is it your opinion that it is currently "used
2		and useful"?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	So you get off in the decision-making process
5		earlier. You take an earlier exit ramp and
6		say, 118 the decision-making process was
7		inadequate, it can't be included in rate base
8		118 it wasn't prudent at the time it was
9		made; is that right?
10	Α.	Exactly right. And I would point out that
11		"used and useful" is a starting point when
12		the Company can put those investment in their
13		rate base. So they have to take that
14		decision beforehand. So that's why the way I
15		did my analysis.
16	Q.	I'm wondering why some parts of what the
17		Company offered you weren't adequate
18		explanations for the decision they made to
19		build the facility. One of the explanations
20		that was in the data responses that Mr.
21		Sheehan went over with you this morning was
22		that we have people in the Company who have
23		worked in this industry for a long time, and
24		our considered judgment is that on-the-job

1		training is inadequate; we need a separate
2		facility. You seem not to accept that as a
3		satisfactory explanation to reject the
4		on-the-job training approach.
5	Α.	Yes, there is no support for that. They are
6		saying that, yes, from our experience, our
7		experience guy said that. But they're not
8		the authority to say that this is good or
9		bad.
10	Q.	What authority would you be looking for?
11		What would have been a satisfactory support
12		for that statement?
13	Α.	If there is any standard or any rule which
14		says that these are the requirement for this
15		type of training and this is the way we have
16		to provide, which doesn't include on-the-job
17		training. But no rule actually says that,
18		that we have, on-the-job training is inferior
19		to training center training. So until we
20		have that, anything the Company is saying, I
21		don't have I trust them, but I want to
22		verify that. If it is their conclusion not
23		verifiable, I cannot agree with them.
24	Q.	So you would say that statement may be true,
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}

i			
			1
1		you just haven't proven it.	
2	A.	The Company hasn't proven it.	
3	Q.	Right, "you" being the Company.	
4		Also, the Company provided an answer, or	
5		a couple of answers that talked about trying	
6		to partner with another utility. They asked	
7		Unitil. They did some co-training with	
8		Eversource at a facility that no longer	
9		exists. They talked to the Co-Op. And they	
10		concluded that there were no partnering	
11		opportunities that were viable. Why was that	
12		not an adequate explanation for at least part	
13		of the decision that they made?	
14	A.	The most important part of the	
15		decision-making option they had that buying	
16		the service from other service provider,	
17		training provider, and they totally forgot	
18		about that option.	
19		And to give an example, like Unitil	
20		provide their training within their	
21		facilities. And they also have agreement	
22		with local technical training center and	
23		university. So those are the option. And if	
24		I was in their position, that would be first	
		{DG 17-048}[Day 6 Hearing]{03-26-18}	

1		thing should come to my mind, that is there
2		any institution other than utilities who are
3		providing this type of service. And from
4		what I know from Unitil responses, yes, they
5		have the options, and they didn't even
6		consider that option.
7	Q.	Okay. Thank you. I think that's all I have.
8		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Dexter,
9		do you have any further questions for Mr. Iqbal?
10		MR. DEXTER: I would like a
11		five-minute huddle with Mr. Iqbal before I do
12		redirect, and I think it will be fairly brief.
13		And then maybe that would be an appropriate time
14		to break for lunch so we can prepare for
15		Mr. Normand in the afternoon?
16		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Off the
17		record.
18		(Discussion off the record)
19		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So we'll
20		take a five-minute break.
21		(Brief recess was taken at 11:48 a.m.,
22		and the hearing resumed at 12:00 p.m.)
23		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Dexter.
24		MR. DEXTER: Thank you, Mr.

			1
1		Chairman.	
2		REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
3	BY M	R. DEXTER:	
4	Q.	Mr. Iqbal, I'd like to direct your attention	
5		to your prefiled testimony in this case at	
6		Page 25. There's a chart there entitled	
7		"EnergyNorth Training Cost" that was the	
8		subject of questions this morning. Do you	
9		have that in front of you?	
10	Α.	Yes, I am there.	
11	Q.	Do you see the figure for 2016 training costs	
12		of \$237,084?	
13	Α.	Yes.	
14	Q.	Do you recall being asked questions from	
15		Attorney Sheehan about what might be in that	
16		number, hypothetically and otherwise?	
17	Α.	Yes, I remember that.	
18	Q.	Can you tell the Commission exactly what's in	
19		that number and indicate the source for that	
20		number, please?	
21	Α.	The source for this number is Exhibit 64,	
22		where we asked for updated version of the	
23		previous data request, just to add 2016	
24		number.	

1	Q.	And can you go through my understanding is
2		that Exhibit 64 is a multi-page document.
3		Could you take us through the document and
4		indicate exactly where that number comes
5		from?
6	A.	That document have actually year-by-year
7		management, union, and then health and safety
8		and the safety symposium number. And it has
9		several columns. First column is obviously
10		the year; second column is how many trainings
11		actually trained; then training per hour of
12		training; training hours total that's
13		multiplication of this second and third
14		column average hourly pay; and then
15		average overtime hourly pay, which is
16		overtime hours; and then overtime hours for
17		training; payroll, including burden that's
18		actually inflated, this number, total cost
19		with this burden. And then the gas technical
20		training stuff, that's the number associated
21		with the trainer. And as I indicated in my
22		testimony, these are the internal trainer,
23		118 in one of the data request Company said
24		they didn't pay anything to National Grid for

			12
1		their training 118 they didn't charge them	
2		anything. So all the training, gas technical	
3		training staff, these are the internal	
4		training staff cost. And then aggregating	
5		all together in the last column.	
6	Q.	Well, let's simplify this, if you could.	
7		There are three numbers, are there not, in	
8		that document, Exhibit 64, that add up to the	
9		\$237,000 that's included in your chart on	
10		Page 25 of your testimony?	
11	Α.	Yes. My testimony, we went through that	
12		number. I can go through that.	
13		If you look at Bates 2, just focus on	
14		year 2016. The annual training cost is	
15		24,500-something; then union, 173,000-and	
16		some. And if you go to the Bates Page 4,	
17		2016 gas, I think it's line 2016 number,	
18		that's \$39,507. If you combine all three of	
19		these component, that will give you \$237,000.	
20	Q.	Thank you. Now can you read the question	
21		that's contained in Exhibit 64 that led to	
22		the spreadsheet that you just read from?	
23	Α.	This is a long history, so "Please provide	
24		2016 actual itemized expenses for training in	

			1:
1		the format of DE 16-383, Response to	
2		Staff 11-5. Please provide in live Excel	
3		format (with formulas intact)."	
4	Q.	So as I understand it, you asked the Company	
5		to provide you the 2016 actual training costs	
6		that they incurred. Is that essentially	
7	Α.	Yeah, actual training cost with all these	
8		details: Actual training hours, actual	
9		number of training, their overhead, their	
10		travel cost and everything.	
11	Q.	And the reason you asked for it in that	
12		format is 118 you had received that format in	
13		the prior docket where this was examined.	
14		Would you agree with that?	
15	Α.	Exactly.	
16	Q.	Did you find that question to be at all	
17		ambiguous when you wrote it?	
18	Α.	No.	
19	Q.	Did the answer indicate that was the	
20		answer qualified, that we don't really know	
21		what's in here? Was there any qualifications	
22		to that answer?	
23	Α.	There was no qualification. And this is not	
24		the first time. This is the third response	

1		of similar question. We asked one time, and
2		then we the Company has to update this
3		number 118 they didn't calculate the travel
4		hours, I think that DE 16-383, one of the
5		tech session number. And then this is the
6		latest version of that.
7	Q.	Okay. So you had no reason to question the
8		information you were receiving from the
9		Company.
10	Α.	I have no reason to question this Company's
11		numbers, no.
12	Q.	Thank you. That's all I had on the training
13		center.
14		With respect to decoupling, there was
15		some discussions this morning about whether
16		or not customers would receive higher
17		commodity rates in cold weather. Do you
18		recall that?
19	Α.	I do.
20	Q.	Would you agree that customers that have
21		opted for EnergyNorth's fixed price option
22		rate would not see higher commodity rates
23		during cold weather?
24	Α.	Rate, yes, they would not see. But that's

Γ

		1	2
1		called "fixed price option." So it's fixed.	
2	Q.	It's fixed for the entire winter if they	
3		opted for it.	
4	Α.	Entire winter, yes.	
5	Q.	Would you also agree that there are firm	
6		transportation customers that may have fixed	
7		their commodity costs through a deal with a	
8		third-party supplier?	
9	A.	That's my understanding.	
10	Q.	And so if a customer had fixed his commodity	
11		cost through a third-party supplier and the	
12		weather got colder, they wouldn't necessarily	
13		see a higher commodity rate. Would you	
14		agree?	
15	Α.	Yeah, rates would not go up. Yes.	
16	Q.	And you were never suggesting that when it's	
17		colder and people use more, that their bill	
18		won't go up. You understand that, that when	
19		it's cold out, their bill will go up. Would	
20		you agree?	
21	Α.	Yes, I do.	
22		MR. DEXTER: Thank you. I don't	
23		have anything further.	
24		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.	

1 Thank you, Mr. Iqbal. We've come to the lunch break. We will come back at 1:15. 2 (Lunch recess taken at 12:07 p.m, and 3 the hearing resumed at 1:21 p.m.) 4 5 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. We're ready to go. We are back. Before we do 6 7 anything else, I will thank, I assume Mr. Kreis, for providing us with Exhibit 67, which we now 8 have and is now part of the record. 9 10 MR. KREIS: I think you can thank 11 Mr. Buckley for the hard labor that was involved. 12 13 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you, 14 Mr. Buckley. 15 Is there anything else we need 16 to do before we have the witness sworn in? 17 [No verbal response] CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. 18 19 Sue, would you do the honors, please. 20 (WHEREUPON, PAUL M. NORMAND was duly 21 sworn and cautioned by the Court 22 Reporter.) 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION 24 BY MR. SHEEHAN:

		-
1	Q.	Mr. Normand, could you tell the Commissioners
2		your name and the Company that you work for.
3	Α.	My name is Paul M. Normand, N-O-R-M-A-N-D.
4		I'm a principal with Management Applications
5		Consulting, 1103 Rocky Drive, Reading,
6		Pennsylvania, 19609.
7	Q.	And what topic brings you here today?
8	A.	Depreciation.
9	Q.	And in a sentence or two, can you give us
10		your experience on the topic of depreciation?
11	Α.	I've been heavily involved since 2000, where
12		it's been I've been integral with studies
13		and the presentation of exhibits and so
14		forth.
15	Q.	And prior to working as a consultant on this
16		topic, did you have any jobs with industry or
17		other areas that gave you experience related
18		to this topic? That was a terrible question.
19		But if you understand it
20	Α.	I think you're trying to make me look old.
21		[Laughter]
22	Α.	I started doing cost and rate studies in
23		1978.
24	Q.	Mr. Normand, you've filed testimony in this

			1:
1		matter, the Liberty Utilities rate case?	
2	A.	Yes, I did.	
3	Q.	What did the Company ask you to do in this	
4		case?	
5	Α.	They asked me to prepare a depreciation study	
6		with the test year ending 2016.	
7	Q.	And you prepared that study and the testimony	
8		to go along with it?	
9	Α.	Yes, I did.	
10	Q.	And I can tell you that's been marked as	
11		Exhibit 10 in this docket. If I were to ask	
12		you the questions in your written testimony	
13		today, would your answers be the same?	
14	Α.	They would.	
15	Q.	Do you have any corrections to your testimony	
16		that you would like to make today?	
17	Α.	No, I do not.	
18	Q.	So do you adopt your testimony today as your	
19		sworn testimony?	
20	A.	Yes, I do.	
21	Q.	Thank you, sir. No further questions at this	
22		time.	
23		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Kreis,	,
24		can I assume you have no questions for the	

Γ

		13
1		witness?
2		MR. KREIS: Correct.
3		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Dexter.
4		MR. DEXTER: Thank you, Mr.
5		Chairman.
6		CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	BY N	IR. DEXTER:
8	Q.	Mr. Normand, good afternoon.
9	Α.	Good afternoon.
10	Q.	So I'd like to ask you questions on two
11		subtopics of depreciation that have been
12		raised as issues in this case. The first one
13		has to do with average service lives; the
14		second one has to do with the recommended
15		amortization period for the reserve variance.
16		These questions have to do with average
17		service life. And what I'd like to do is ask
18		you to turn to your testimony that Mr.
19		Sheehan just identified and go to Bates
20		Page 445, if you would. And it's a schedule
21		that talks about Account 367, which contains
22		mains.
23	A.	Yes, I have that.
24	Q.	And in the right-hand corner, on the upper

		1
1		right-hand corner of this page, there's a box
2		called "Recommendations." Do you see that?
3	Α.	Yes, I do.
4	Q.	And would you agree that your proposal in
5		this case is that gas mains be amortized over
6		a 60-year period? Is that what the line
7		"Average Service Life" means?
8	Α.	That's correct. That's the expected average
9		life of the entire account.
10	Q.	And the column next to Proposed says Prior.
11		What does that column mean?
12	Α.	That's the average service life in the last
13		study.
14	Q.	From the last study. And when was that done?
15	Α.	That was test year 2016. And I believe the
16		results of that study are included at the end
17		of this report. So the results of that study
18		are on Page 471.
19	Q.	And did you prepare the prior study as well?
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	So in the middle of the page you talk about
22		the service life analysis. And would you
23		agree that your conclusion from this analysis
24		is that no change should be made to the

			1.
1		average service life of mains at this time?	
2		Is that true?	
3	А.	That's correct.	
4	Q.	Okay. Now, Staff had asked a data request	
5		that asked for some backup to the conclusions	
6		that you just went through, along with all	
7		the other accounts. I'm just using this one	
8		as an example. And that was Data Request	
9		2-38. Do you recall that request?	
10	Α.	I have it in front of me.	
11	Q.	Okay. Well, what I have done and asked to be	
12		marked as Exhibit 69 is excerpted about nine	
13		pages from that response 118 I was and	
14		that covers four accounts. And I was going	
15		to ask you about four accounts. I'm not	
16		going to go through every account. So that's	
17		why I don't have the whole response there.	
18		But if you were to look at Exhibit 69,	
19		and I'll direct your attention there	
20		aren't Bates pages on this, but there are	
21		pages numbers on every sheet. And direct	
22		your attention to the pages that are marked	
23		as Page 13 of 36, 14 and 15. Do those have	
24		to do with gas mains?	

			1
1		(Witness reviews document.)	
2	Α.	Yes, they do. And you can tell from the	
3		upper left that it does describe it as	
4		"mains," but it uses the PUC account, which	
5		is 1356.	
6	Q.	And there's some on Page 13 there's a	
7		little writing up in the right-hand corner	
8		that says "367." That's writing that Staff	
9		put on there. You did not write that 367;	
10		correct?	
11	Α.	No, I did not.	
12	Q.	And we just penciled that in 118 it deals	
13		with Account 367.	
14		Are these the do these three sheets	
15		underlie your conclusion that the 60-year	
16		average service life that existed should not	
17		change?	
18	A.	That's correct.	
19	Q.	And in the line under Average Service Life	
20		and now I'm back on Bates 445 under the	
21		title Requirement Curve, you see under the	
22		Proposed column there's a notation "R3.0."	
23		Can you tell me what that is?	
24	A.	That's a distribution curve or a mortality	

		-
1		curve that says how the assets are going to
2		end or be retired.
3	Q.	And now I'm back on Exhibit 69, and I'm on
4		Page 13, 14 and 15. Can you point out where
5		the R3 curve shows up on those pages?
6	Α.	The curves show up in the bottom grouping,
7		the third from the bottom, in each one.
8	Q.	Well, let me back up and ask you another
9		question. So we've got one account, 367, but
10		we've got three pages that deal with Account
11		Mains or deal with Account 367 in
12		Exhibit 69. We've identified those pages as
13		13, 14 and 15. Could you tell me why there
14		are three pages for mains rather than just
15		one page?
16	Α.	What I do is I have a history of data. And
17		in looking at that data, I break it down into
18		30 years, 20 years and 10 years. And what
19		you find is, there is a shift or a change in
20		looking at these different subgroups.
21		Typically the better weighting is the
22		20-year. Ten years is kind of short. So you
23		have to be cautious about that one.
24	Q.	So, typically you look at 20 years of

Γ

			1
1		historical data.	
2	A.	I start with that one, and then I go to 30.	
3		And 10 is the least weighted one.	
4	Q.	Okay. And again, we're all trying to figure	
5		this out here. So let's go to Page 14 then,	
6		which is the 20-year curve. So if I go to	
7		the sheet, about 80 percent of the way down	
8		this upper block of numbers and letters	
9		there's a line that's called "R" and a	
10		Subtype that's called "3.0" and a Life that's	
11		called "72.28." Do you see what I'm talking	
12		about?	
13	Α.	Yes.	
14	Q.	Okay. Could you tell me what that line	
15		means?	
16	Α.	That's the if you look way on top, that's	
17		the average service life for that Iowa curve.	
18	Q.	And that Iowa curve you've identified as	
19		R3.0.	
20	A.	That's correct.	
21	Q.	And now if I jump back to your testimony on	
22		Bates 445, that's the Retirement Curve that	
23		shows on 445; correct?	
24	Α.	That's correct.	

1	Q.	And so what does that mean? Does that mean
2		that, in your judgment, that's the best curve
3		to use? Can you explain what the
4		significance of that is?
5	A.	No. What that says is if you look at
6		Page 14, you have the statistics there. So
7		the last three rows, what you have is the
8		R-curve Type means it's slanted to the right
9		versus a symmetrical curve. So that means,
10		typically, your assets will retire a little
11		above average. That's an R curve, skewed to
12		the right. Next to that is the curve type.
13		That's the height of the curve. The higher
14		the curve height, the greater will be your
15		depreciation factor. So it will increase the
16		expense.
17	Q.	Which is the height of the curve? Which
18		column?
19	Α.	There's an "R" the first column. The second
20		column
21	Q.	Subtype?
22	Α.	That's correct. And what that is, is the
23		height of the distribution curve from flat,
24		which is zero or one, all the way up to five,

1	which is a very steep curve. So as you go up
2	the curve, what you find is that your
3	depreciation parameters and the results are
4	increasing.
5	Next to that is the average service
6	life. Next to that are the statistics I look
7	at. So you've got the C Index, which is the
8	conformance index. What that is, is a
9	measure of how well the curve fits against
10	the data points. So the way that's
11	calculated is they take the square of the
12	square differences between the data points
13	and the Iowa curve. So, typically what you
14	want is these numbers to be above 50, which
15	is a good fit.
16	Then, next to that is the retirement
17	index. What the retirement index says is how
18	well does the curve capture retirements. So
19	the higher that number, that's what you want.
20	And then you keep going to the right. And
21	the Rank, what the rank says is in the
22	overall range of curves from 1 to 27, which
23	we have in the model, these rank 24, 27 and
24	22, okay. And to the right of that, what

1		it's saying is Cycle index. What that says
2		is how much of the curve are you using. So
3		the key parameters are the C Index, the R
4		Index and the Cycle. So the higher those
5		are, the better.
6	Q.	And when you say "the better," what do you
7		mean by that?
8	Α.	Well, if the indices are increasing, the
9		curve fit is getting better.
10	Q.	Okay.
11	Α.	But the key, again, you've got a bunch of
12		data points and you're running a curve
13		through it. So you want the significance to
14		be higher on the C Index, conformance index
15		it's called. And the R Index, you want that
16		very high if you can. And then the last
17		index way on the right says you would like
18		that to you want to use as much of the
19		curve as you can with the data points. So as
20		you can see, as the life increases,
21		everything starts to deteriorate. You go up.
22	Q.	So I'm just kind of a chicken and egg
23		thing here. So in your study back on
24		Bates 445, you're saying the recommended

			13
1		retirement curve to use is R3.0?	
2	А.	Correct.	
3	Q.	And you make that recommendation on the basis	
4		of looking at the data, and primarily the	
5		data in the C Index, the R Index and the Rank	
6		columns on Page 14 of Exhibit 69. Do I have	
7		that right?	
8	А.	That's correct.	
9	Q.	Okay. And so then you look at what the life	
10		is as recommended by that curve, and the life	
11		recommended by that curve in this instance is	
12		72.28. Do I have that right?	
13	Α.	That is correct, but it's not the best	
14		conformance index. If you look at the bottom	
15		one, that's the best conformance index for	
16		the group, 133.48.	
17		What I did is I says, well, it's got 60.	
18		I can easily argue to maintain 60 looking at	
19		these parameters, and therefore I maintain	
20		60.	
21	Q.	So you look at you find the best curve.	
22		And, again, I'm just trying to figure this	
23		out. So you find the best curve, but then	
24		you test that selection of the best curve and	

1		your ultimate recommendation as to average
2		service life by looking at the other data,
3		the other curves that are on this sheet.
4	Α.	That's correct.
5	Q.	So there's a fair amount not a fair
6		amount there is an amount of judgment in
7		there.
8	Α.	There always is. A lot of numbers. So what
9		you find is, in theory now, the best curve is
10		a 51-year curve, the bottom one, okay. And
11		then you go up and you say, well, I have a
12		good one above it. It's not as good. And I
13		have another one above it that's not as good.
14		But I'm saying given these parameters, I'll
15		stay with 60. There's nothing else that
16		stands out that says it should be anything.
17		And 60 is still a valid life to estimate for
18		this account.
19	Q.	When you make that, do you somehow sort of
20		take a step back and say, well, the gas
21		mains' 60 years, that makes sense?
22	Α.	Yes, it makes sense. It really depends.
23		It's all over the place, meaning I've seen
24		some at 70, I've seen some at 55. So,

		14:
1		basically you would expect the life in this
2		type of setting versus like a downtown or
3		something like that, the life would be less.
4	Q.	Okay. And then I want to direct your
5		attention to Mr. Iqbal's testimony, which has
6		been marked as Exhibit 18. And on Bates
7		Page 32 we have a chart where his recommended
8		average service life shows up. Do you have
9		that in front of you?
10	Α.	No. I have his testimony.
11		MR. SHEEHAN: That's what I just
12		handed you, Mr. Normand.
13	BY M	IR. DEXTER:
14	Q.	Yeah, I've got a schedule in the back of his
15		testimony, Bates Page No. 32. Actually, it
16		starts on Bates Page 31. But I want to look
17		at the same account, so I'm on Bates 32.
18	Α.	I have it.
19	Q.	So do you see the line, 367 Gas Mains?
20	Α.	Yes, I do.
21	Q.	You see that Mr. Iqbal's recommended life is
22		60 years?
23	Α.	That's correct.
24	Q.	So there's no dispute. I mean, you both

Г

			14
1		recommended the same life.	
2	A.	Right. And that's a very large dollar value	
3		for the account for the Company.	
4	Q.	Right. A lot of the Company's plant falls	
5		into 367.	
6	Α.	That's correct.	
7	Q.	Okay. So thank you for that detailed	
8		explanation.	
9		And as I said, I want to do this over	
10		four accounts. And I'd like to look next at	
11		Account 320.1. And here we're dealing with	
12		Other Equipment Production. And in your	
13		testimony, I'd like to look at Bates	
14		Page 440.	
15	A.	I have that.	
16	Q.	Okay. And in the Service Life Analysis in	
17		the middle of the page where you talk about	
18		the results of your study, here you recommend	
19		a change from the existing service life of 30	
20		years. You're recommending it go up to 35;	
21		is that right?	
22	Α.	That's correct.	
23	Q.	And maybe without me repeating all the	
24		questions that I just went through with the	

			14
1		other account, if you could, looking at the	
2		upper right-hand corner where you have your	
3		recommendations and your Average Service Life	
4		of 35 and your Retirement Curve of R1.0,	
5		could you just maybe bring us through the	
6		same sort of analysis you went through with	
7		367, except this time it led you to recommend	
8		a change from the existing life.	
9	Α.	Okay. In this account I guess you're	
10		referring to the handout you gave me,	
11		Staff 2-38?	
12	Q.	Yes. Exhibit 69, Staff Response 2-38.	
13		Right.	
14	Α.	Okay. But what that response those pages	
15		for this account, which are on Page 10, 11	
16		and 12, what you look at is, again, the	
17		analyses of all the curves that are in the	
18		model. And what you find is, for instance,	
19		just to give you an example I should have	
20		done it for the other but sometimes what	
21		you have to do is interpret what these	
22		results are. You know, these are statistical	
23		results. But if you go to the second column	
24		from the right, you see Rank there, No. 1.	

1		That's the best curve. And then you go way
2		to the left and that's an SC curve. What an
3		SC curve is, is a straight line. So what
4		that says is every vintage of all that's in
5		this account will retire the same percentage
6		every year, which is illogical. But this is
7		a mechanical process. So what you have to do
8		here is you look at the best curves. And if
9		you look at the 30, which is Page 10, okay,
10		so here what you find is the C Index is all
11		under 50.
12	Q.	And you indicated earlier that you like to
13		see this over 30118 over 50118 that's an
14		indication of a "good fit" I think you said.
15	A.	Yes. That's correct. If you the
16		gentleman that developed the method and
17		enhanced it was a person by the name Alex,
18		A-L-E-X, Bauhan, B-A-U-H-A-N. And papers
19		that he authored in 1947 have been used as
20		the benchmark since then, whether it's the
21		NARUC manual on depreciation or in these
22		proceedings. And what he says at the end of
23		that article is that, if the CI and RI, which
24		is the retirement, is they're not over 50,

1	you should not use them or recommend them.
2	They're not dependable. So, usually that's
3	my benchmark for looking at this.
4	So if you look at Page 10 here for the
5	CI, which is the fourth column in, they're
6	not even well, there's only one over 30.
7	So that wouldn't do anything. So then I go
8	and I look at the next grouping analysis I
9	have, and that's 20, which is Page 11. Here,
10	again, it's all it's not good. So I say
11	to myself, I'm not comfortable with this. So
12	all I've got left that passes the test is the
13	10, which is a short period of time. And so
14	what I looked at there is, I said, okay, what
15	are my best options here, knowing that the C
16	Index is over 50. And so what I have is, if
17	you look at that as if you go from the
18	bottom and start at the R5.0 and go to the
19	right, you'll see there life of 46, the C
20	Index is 29.30. So I don't want to use that.
21	So I go up one more. So as you go up, what
22	you find is the C Index, again, which is the
23	conformance index, that maps a curve against
24	data points. It improves. But what you see

1		oftentimes is, as that improves, what
2		deteriorates is the R Index, which says how
3		much does that curve represent of the
4		retirements. So if you gain in this account,
5		if you gain on the confidence [sic], you lose
6		on the retirement index. Now, you can wiggle
7		all the way up. So with this, this being my
8		weakest presentation, which is 10 years, it's
9		short, I said, well, it looks like and it
10		appears in time this life should be
11		increased. So what I did is I increased it,
12		like, 15, 16 percent. So I went from 30 to
13		35, and I used an R1 curve, which is an
14		extremely conservative, flat curve. I wanted
15		the accrual rate that comes out of this to be
16		low, but in the right direction. So that's
17		what I did with this one.
18	Q.	And I'm on Page 12 now that you were just
19		looking at.
20	Α.	Yes, sir.
21	Q.	The bottom, the last number on this page says
22		"Mean value of best fitting lives is 69.07
23		years." What does that mean?
24	Α.	It takes all the curves above it and averages

1		it. It means nothing. It's basically in
2		other words, when I told you that if you go
3		to the left column, two thirds of the way up
4		you see an SC curve. It makes no sense, and
5		it has a life of 132 years. But it's an
6		output of a mechanical process. So, for
7		every account, what I do is I what the
8		program does is it increments one-tenth until
9		it hones in on a life. And with that it
10		selects a curve, an Iowa curve, and then
11		tells you, given that selection, what the
12		conformance index is, the retirement index
13		and the cycle index, how much of the curve
14		you're using. So it's basically you have to
15		be very careful. You have a lot of output,
16		but you got to, shall we say, interpret.
17 Q	•	Sure. So did I hear you say that the 69.07
18		is meaningless?
19 A	•	It's just a reference point of all the
20		curves. It averages everything. So you've
21		got good and bad curves. If you look above,
22		like all the curves that are basically low,
23		like at the end, the CI is below 50; it
24		averages all the curves.

1	Q.	I understand that. So it's an average. But
2		it's an average that you would rely on or
3		would not rely on?
4	Α.	I would not rely on it.
5	Q.	So I think, if I understand what you're
6		saying, is that if we go back to the R1
7		curve, which is the one you recommended be
8		used, the recommended average service life on
9		this curve is 86.5 years.
10	Α.	That's correct.
11	Q.	And 118 that number was significantly higher
12		than the existing average service life of 30
13		years, you felt that warranted an increase.
14	A.	It warranted an increase. But keep in mind,
15		in making that decision I'm also using just a
16		10-year analysis.
17	Q.	You didn't want to place too much reliance on
18		the 86 years.
19	A.	No. I usually go 20, 30 and 10, in the
20		weighting. So here I had no choice but
21		saying, okay, this is my last choice, and
22		it's weak, but the indications are I should
23		increase the life.
24	Q.	Okay. Fair enough. And again, that's the

Γ

			14
1		judgment part that you talked about.	
2	А.	That's correct.	
3	Q.	Okay. Again, I'm trying to move this along	
4		quickly, but it's important.	
5		I want to go to Account 303 now. I	
6		think Account 303 is shown on Bates Page 436	
7		of your study.	
8	A.	I have it.	
9	Q.	And this is an instance where the existing	
10		service life is 7 years, and you're proposing	
11		6.2 years; is that right?	
12	Α.	That's correct. It's a dollar-weighted	
13		average.	
14	Q.	And again in the upper right-hand box it	
15		says, "Retirement curve best 4.0." See that?	
16	Α.	That's correct.	
17	Q.	And so if I go to Exhibit 69 now I know	
18		there's three of these for each account, so	
19		I'm going to look at Pages 25, 26 and 27.	
20		Can you describe for us what the S4 curve	
21		presents in terms of average service life in	
22		this instance?	
23	Α.	I'm sorry. Which page are you on?	
24	Q.	I'm on Page 25, 26 and 27 of Exhibit 69. And	

1I'm looking at account again, I penciled2"303" up in the right-hand corner, but I3guess as a preliminary question I should4confirm that I'm looking at the right pages5for Accounts 303 I want to talk about.6CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Just to be7clear, to help people find those pages, they're8very early in Exhibit 69. They're, in fact, the9second and third pages of that.10MR. DEXTER: I can't tell you how11gratified I am to hear everyone and the Bench is12following along with this complicated round of13questioning. I appreciate that. And the reason14I went to those pages is that on Bates 436,15where it says Account 303, the other account16number in parentheses is 1372.1, and that shows17up as the account number on Pages 25, 26 and 27.18A. Again, the reason for that, the first number19is the FERC account. The second number in20Right.21Q. Right.22A. The analyses that you discussed at Page 25,2326 and 27, those are based on you see the241372.1. The reason for that is the			
 guess as a preliminary question I should confirm that I'm looking at the right pages for Accounts 303 I want to talk about. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Just to be clear, to help people find those pages, they're very early in Exhibit 69. They're, in fact, the second and third pages of that. MR. DEXTER: I can't tell you how gratified I am to hear everyone and the Bench is following along with this complicated round of questioning. I appreciate that. And the reason I went to those pages is that on Bates 436, where it says Account 303, the other account number in parentheses is 1372.1, and that shows up as the account number on Pages 25, 26 and 27. A. Again, the reason for that, the first number parentheses, that's the PUC account. Q. Right. A. The analyses that you discussed at Page 25, and 27, those are based on you see the 	1		I'm looking at account again, I penciled
 4 confirm that I'm looking at the right pages 5 for Accounts 303 I want to talk about. 6 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Just to be 7 clear, to help people find those pages, they're 8 very early in Exhibit 69. They're, in fact, the 9 second and third pages of that. 10 MR. DEXTER: I can't tell you how 11 gratified I am to hear everyone and the Bench is 12 following along with this complicated round of 13 questioning. I appreciate that. And the reason 14 I went to those pages is that on Bates 436, 15 where it says Account 303, the other account 16 number in parentheses is 1372.1, and that shows 17 up as the account number on Pages 25, 26 and 27. 18 A. Again, the reason for that, the first number 19 is the FERC account. The second number in 10 parentheses, that's the PUC account. 21 Q. Right. 22 A. The analyses that you discussed at Page 25, 23 and 27, those are based on you see the 	2		"303" up in the right-hand corner, but I
 for Accounts 303 I want to talk about. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Just to be clear, to help people find those pages, they're very early in Exhibit 69. They're, in fact, the second and third pages of that. MR. DEXTER: I can't tell you how gratified I am to hear everyone and the Bench is following along with this complicated round of questioning. I appreciate that. And the reason I went to those pages is that on Bates 436, where it says Account 303, the other account number in parentheses is 1372.1, and that shows up as the account number on Pages 25, 26 and 27. A. Again, the reason for that, the first number parentheses, that's the FUC account. Q. Right. A. The analyses that you discussed at Page 25, and 27, those are based on you see the 	3		guess as a preliminary question I should
 6 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Just to be 7 clear, to help people find those pages, they're 8 very early in Exhibit 69. They're, in fact, the 9 second and third pages of that. 10 MR. DEXTER: I can't tell you how 11 gratified I am to hear everyone and the Bench is 12 following along with this complicated round of 13 questioning. I appreciate that. And the reason 14 I went to those pages is that on Bates 436, 15 where it says Account 303, the other account 16 number in parentheses is 1372.1, and that shows 17 up as the account number on Pages 25, 26 and 27. 18 A. Again, the reason for that, the first number 19 jarentheses, that's the PUC account. 20 Right. 21 A. The analyses that you discussed at Page 25, 22 and 27, those are based on you see the 	4		confirm that I'm looking at the right pages
 clear, to help people find those pages, they're very early in Exhibit 69. They're, in fact, the second and third pages of that. MR. DEXTER: I can't tell you how gratified I am to hear everyone and the Bench is following along with this complicated round of questioning. I appreciate that. And the reason I went to those pages is that on Bates 436, where it says Account 303, the other account number in parentheses is 1372.1, and that shows up as the account number on Pages 25, 26 and 27. A. Again, the reason for that, the first number in parentheses, that's the FUC account. Q. Right. A. The analyses that you discussed at Page 25, 26 and 27, those are based on you see the 	5		for Accounts 303 I want to talk about.
 8 very early in Exhibit 69. They're, in fact, the 9 second and third pages of that. 10 MR. DEXTER: I can't tell you how 11 gratified I am to hear everyone and the Bench is 12 following along with this complicated round of 13 questioning. I appreciate that. And the reason 14 I went to those pages is that on Bates 436, 15 where it says Account 303, the other account 16 number in parentheses is 1372.1, and that shows 17 up as the account number on Pages 25, 26 and 27. 18 A. Again, the reason for that, the first number 19 is the FERC account. The second number in 20 parentheses, that's the PUC account. 21 Q. Right. 22 A. The analyses that you discussed at Page 25, 26 and 27, those are based on you see the 	6		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Just to be
 9 second and third pages of that. 10 MR. DEXTER: I can't tell you how 11 gratified I am to hear everyone and the Bench is 12 following along with this complicated round of 13 questioning. I appreciate that. And the reason 14 I went to those pages is that on Bates 436, 15 where it says Account 303, the other account 16 number in parentheses is 1372.1, and that shows 17 up as the account number on Pages 25, 26 and 27. 18 A. Again, the reason for that, the first number 19 is the FERC account. The second number in 20 parentheses, that's the FUC account. 21 Q. Right. 22 A. The analyses that you discussed at Page 25, 26 and 27, those are based on you see the 	7		clear, to help people find those pages, they're
 MR. DEXTER: I can't tell you how gratified I am to hear everyone and the Bench is following along with this complicated round of questioning. I appreciate that. And the reason I went to those pages is that on Bates 436, where it says Account 303, the other account number in parentheses is 1372.1, and that shows up as the account number on Pages 25, 26 and 27. A. Again, the reason for that, the first number parentheses, that's the PUC account. Q. Right. A. The analyses that you discussed at Page 25, and 27, those are based on you see the 	8		very early in Exhibit 69. They're, in fact, the
 gratified I am to hear everyone and the Bench is following along with this complicated round of questioning. I appreciate that. And the reason I went to those pages is that on Bates 436, where it says Account 303, the other account number in parentheses is 1372.1, and that shows up as the account number on Pages 25, 26 and 27. A. Again, the reason for that, the first number is the FERC account. The second number in parentheses, that's the PUC account. Q. Right. A. The analyses that you discussed at Page 25, and 27, those are based on you see the 	9		second and third pages of that.
 following along with this complicated round of questioning. I appreciate that. And the reason I went to those pages is that on Bates 436, where it says Account 303, the other account number in parentheses is 1372.1, and that shows up as the account number on Pages 25, 26 and 27. A. Again, the reason for that, the first number is the FERC account. The second number in parentheses, that's the PUC account. Q. Right. A. The analyses that you discussed at Page 25, 26 and 27, those are based on you see the 	10		MR. DEXTER: I can't tell you how
 questioning. I appreciate that. And the reason I went to those pages is that on Bates 436, where it says Account 303, the other account number in parentheses is 1372.1, and that shows up as the account number on Pages 25, 26 and 27. A. Again, the reason for that, the first number is the FERC account. The second number in parentheses, that's the PUC account. Q. Right. A. The analyses that you discussed at Page 25, 26 and 27, those are based on you see the 	11		gratified I am to hear everyone and the Bench is
 I went to those pages is that on Bates 436, where it says Account 303, the other account number in parentheses is 1372.1, and that shows up as the account number on Pages 25, 26 and 27. A. Again, the reason for that, the first number is the FERC account. The second number in parentheses, that's the PUC account. Q. Right. A. The analyses that you discussed at Page 25, 26 and 27, those are based on you see the 	12		following along with this complicated round of
 where it says Account 303, the other account number in parentheses is 1372.1, and that shows up as the account number on Pages 25, 26 and 27. A. Again, the reason for that, the first number is the FERC account. The second number in parentheses, that's the PUC account. Q. Right. A. The analyses that you discussed at Page 25, 26 and 27, those are based on you see the 	13		questioning. I appreciate that. And the reason
 number in parentheses is 1372.1, and that shows up as the account number on Pages 25, 26 and 27. A. Again, the reason for that, the first number is the FERC account. The second number in parentheses, that's the PUC account. Q. Right. A. The analyses that you discussed at Page 25, 26 and 27, those are based on you see the 	14		I went to those pages is that on Bates 436,
 up as the account number on Pages 25, 26 and 27. A. Again, the reason for that, the first number is the FERC account. The second number in parentheses, that's the PUC account. Q. Right. A. The analyses that you discussed at Page 25, 26 and 27, those are based on you see the 	15		where it says Account 303, the other account
 18 A. Again, the reason for that, the first number is the FERC account. The second number in parentheses, that's the PUC account. 20 Right. 21 A. The analyses that you discussed at Page 25, 26 and 27, those are based on you see the 	16		number in parentheses is 1372.1, and that shows
19 is the FERC account. The second number in 20 parentheses, that's the PUC account. 21 Q. Right. 22 A. The analyses that you discussed at Page 25, 26 and 27, those are based on you see the	17		up as the account number on Pages 25, 26 and 27.
 20 parentheses, that's the PUC account. 21 Q. Right. 22 A. The analyses that you discussed at Page 25, 23 26 and 27, those are based on you see the 	18	A.	Again, the reason for that, the first number
 21 Q. Right. 22 A. The analyses that you discussed at Page 25, 23 26 and 27, those are based on you see the 	19		is the FERC account. The second number in
 A. The analyses that you discussed at Page 25, 26 and 27, those are based on you see the 	20		parentheses, that's the PUC account.
23 26 and 27, those are based on you see the	21	Q.	Right.
	22	A.	The analyses that you discussed at Page 25,
24 1372.1. The reason for that is the	23		26 and 27, those are based on you see the
	24		1372.1. The reason for that is the

			T
1		historical database that we've got, which is	
2		a long history, is PUC accounts. So that's	
3		why you see that number there.	
4	BY M	R. DEXTER:	
5	Q.	Fair enough. And I just want to make sure	
6		that I'm directing you to the right page. So	
7		would you agree that Pages 25, 26 and 27 are	
8		the right pages to look at this account?	
9	A.	Yes.	
10	Q.	Okay. Good. And so, again, if you could go	
11		to the S4.0 curve on any or all of these	
12		three pages and tell us what the average	
13		service life is recommended by the proposed	
14		curve, and then if there's any deviation from	
15		that, how it was you came to your conclusion.	
16	Α.	Well, if you look at Page 25, which if you	
17		look at the upper right, that's 29 years of	
18		analysis of data points, on the upper right	
19		there you'll see 29. So the R4 curve no,	
20		S4. I'm sorry. The S4 curve says that the	
21		average service life is 9.65 years, if you	
22		see that there. See it?	
23	Q.	I do see that, yes.	
24	Α.	Okay. I'm sorry.	

1	Q.	I thought you had more.
2	A.	No, no. Then you go to Bates 26, and the S4
3		curve there is 9.66 years.
4	Q.	Yes, I see that.
5	A.	Then you go to the next page, the S4 curve is
6		9.76. So they're all consistent with around
7		9-1/2 to 10.
8		The problem you have is none of this
9		means anything, and the reason being, we go
10		back, and the C Index is about as poor and
11		meaningless as you can get. Out of a 100, it
12		doesn't even break 10. So I would never rely
13		on any of this for anything. This is just
14		it's about as poor as you can get a result.
15	Q.	So the numbers on Pages 25, 26 and 27 didn't
16		form the basis of your recommendation then.
17	A.	At all. It couldn't. Statistically it means
18		nothing.
19	Q.	Fair enough. So then, what formed the basis
20		of your recommendation to go from 7 years to
21		6.2 years?
22	Α.	Well, when I saw these results, I says we
23		can't use this stuff. So then I sent an
24		e-mail to the Company and requested that the

1		Company list all of its software and provide
2		an estimated life for each of the software
3		line items. And that's what the Company
4		provided me. And I believe I must have
5		provided that to you in a data response. And
6		that data response was LU 1-6. And the
7		summary of that is presented in the
8		depreciation study on 436 that we've been
9		looking at.
10		So the summary of that is the dollars at
11		the bottom there, weighted average, you're
12		saying, okay, here's my plant and here's the
13		weighting. Just the dollars times the
14		average service life. So I grouped all those
15		together, and I came up with an average of
16		6.2.
17	Q.	So where did the average service lives
18		again, I'm on Page 436 in the lower left-hand
19		corner. You've got average service lives 3,
20		5 and 10. Where did those come from?
21	A.	Those are the individual line-by-line items
22		from that data response for all the software
23		they have in this account, as to the life
24		they would expect from each of those software

1		items at a 3-year, a 5-year or a 10-year. I
2		did the analysis. And what I didn't do is I
3		was going to change a couple of them, but I
4		did not. I left them the way they were 118
5		two of them reflect SCADA software,
6		S-C-A-D-A, and they had a 10-year life on
7		both of them. And I question that today is
8		even valid 118 that's representing data and
9		interfaces in the field. And the security of
10		that equipment and the software is growing
11		under tremendous pressure in the last five
12		years, and it will continue. Data integrity
13		and security is paramount for utilities.
14		And so what I did is I say, okay, this
15		goes from 7 to 6.2. If I change the 10-year
16		life shorter, it will drop to 6.2. So I
17		said, no, I'm going to leave it where it is.
18	Q.	Now, I don't have LU 1-6 in front of me. Do
19		you have that there?
20	A.	Yes, I have it in front of me.
21	Q.	Is that a response you prepared?
22	A.	Yes. I'm not sure who no, I think the
23		Company provided this, I think. My name's
24		not on it. But I have the response.

		-
1	Q.	So my question really is, then, who was it
2		that developed these average service lives of
3		3, 5 and 10 for the software?
4	Α.	That was the Company.
5	Q.	Do you know who in the Company?
6	Α.	I would not. I would have to ask someone in
7		the Company. I don't know.
8	Q.	Was there any analysis or support that came
9		with the document that you've identified as
10		LU 1-6 or
11	Α.	No.
12	Q.	So you just relied on the Company's judgment
13		in this or the Company's information in this
14		regard?
15	Α.	That's correct. Typically that's what I do.
16		What I do is I scrutinize some line items
17		once in a awhile based on looking at other
18		companies. But in essence, companies
19		definitely have a plan for the software they
20		have to replace. So there's no way for me to
21		make a three-month study period to analyze
22		every piece of software. So I had to have
23		some input from the Company's judgment.
24	Q.	Sure. So just one last question on the

1		software category. In the middle of the
2		Service Life Analysis paragraph, where you
3		recommend the change from the 7.0 to 6.2
4		years, you say "with a S4.0 Iowa curve." Did
5		you still recommend a curve, and if so, why?
6	A.	Yes, 118 what happens is, if you recall our
7		earlier discussion, as you increase the
8		height of the curve, it increases the
9		depreciation factor. So your annual expense
10		will increase. An S curve is a symmetrical
11		curve that basically says I'm going to retire
12		some software early or late. But it's not
13		skewed either way. So an S4 curve says I'm
14		going to get activity here. I've seen
15		activity here. And an S4, to me, represents
16		a reasonable approximation of the life or the
17		phasing out of software over time.
18	Q.	So in the absence of the statistics on
19		Page 25 that you termed as "good," and I hate
20		to use qualitative terms like that, but the C
21		statistic that you termed as "good" or
22		"reliable," did you consider as an option,
23		leaving the service life at 7.0 as the prior
24		study recommended?

1	A.	No, 118 what I find is you do these studies,
2		and what you find, probably in the last 10
3		years, but more so in the last 5 years, that
4		the level of detail, as far as customer
5		information and security, has really shrunk
6		the life of software. Basically you take
7		smart metering today or you take like they
8		have remote metering for gas companies. And
9		what you find is the data you become very
10		data-intensive. And the software most people
11		have today can't handle that. The billing
12		systems for most people can't handle that.
13		And so what you're seeing is that evolution
14		of software that's moving towards more
15		data-intensive, as well as an emphasis on
16		protecting customer data. And a lot of that
17		isn't existing. It's evolving. So that's
18		why the shrinking.
19		MR. DEXTER: So I'd like to hand
20		out one more exhibit at this point. Mr. Iqbal
21		is going to distribute it. It's the data
22		request in this case prepared by Mr. Normand to
23		Staff 3-17. I think we're up to Exhibit 70.
24		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We are.
	1	

		158
1		(The document, as described, was
2		herewith marked as Exhibit 70 for
3		identification.)
4		MR. DEXTER: And again, I haven't
5		produced all the attachments that were
6		referenced in this response. But we have
7		produced Attachment 3-17.1, and I'd like to ask
8		Mr. Normand to take a look at that spreadsheet
9		and go to the Intangible Plant Category 303 that
10		we've been talking about.
11	BY M	R. DEXTER:
12	Q.	Do you have that in front of you?
13	Α.	Yes, I do.
14	Q.	Well, first of all, you provided this chart.
15		So why don't you tell us what this chart is.
16	Α.	This is a sample of utilities that was
17		prepared by EEI/AGA, American Gas
18		Association. And it represents a sampling of
19		utilities over time and their range of
20		average service life for these accounts, the
21		average service life that they come up with,
22		the average, and then the accrual ranges that
23		you have for all accounts for natural gas.
24		So this survey pretty much groups a broad

1		range. So, for me to say that this sample
2		represents more of a small utility like
3		Liberty-EnergyNorth or it represents Boston
4		Gas or KeySpan, there's a world of
5		difference. So this is just an average of a
6		whole bunch. I might add, this is about 15
7		years old, so it would not reflect current
8		practices. But it is to give you an idea of
9		the stats that were produced 15 years ago,
10		looking at a whole bunch of utilities around
11		the country. This gives you a range. I
12		typically don't use it. I provide it when
13		people want to have a reference. That's all
14		I do with it.
15	Q.	So the chart was provided in response to a
16		question that asked, "Please amplify on the
17		statement that MAC's evaluation included its
18		experience with 'like assets.' Please
19		provide any comparative data for other
20		natural gas utilities that support MAC's
21		proposed average service lives and salvage
22		rates for EnergyNorth." So that was the
23		question. The chart came in response. But I
24		think what you're saying now is you don't

1		rely on this or you didn't rely on this or
2	A.	No, I look at it periodically. But to say
3		that my numbers have to hit these numbers
4		like we talked about 303 software. This stat
5		that you have here for 303 doesn't reflect
6		what's happened for software for the last 10,
7		15 years.
8	Q.	And I'm sorry. Go ahead.
9	Α.	For some accounts it makes sense, for some
10		other accounts it doesn't. For instance, we
11		were talking about, let's see, mains. And
12		here, mains, you would go to the third page.
13		That would be Account 376, okay. The range
14		is 26 to 80. The average is 55. We
15		recommended 60. So we're above the average.
16		Are we outside the normal? No. I think over
17		time what happens is you move towards
18		slightly higher average service life. That's
19		why you do these studies periodically.
20	Q.	And the chart that we're talking about is
21		part of Exhibit 70. The average service life
22		for intangible plant is 9 years, as shown on
23		Page 1 of the chart; correct?
24	Α.	That's correct. But, again, it's dated. Got

Γ

			16
1		to keep that in mind.	
2	Q.	Understood.	
3		Last account I want to look at in your	
4		study is Account 381, meters. And I believe	
5		that shows up in your testimony on Bates 448,	
6		449, 450 and 451; is that right?	
7	Α.	That's correct.	
8	Q.	Okay. Can you explain why there's four pages	
9		for meters rather than one page for the other	
10		accounts that we were looking at?	
11	Α.	118 what we did there is, going forward,	
12		we're going to try to split this account out.	
13		And the reason for that is you've got four	
14		categories of dollars, and the dollars vary	
15		quite a bit by the subaccounts. For	
16		instance: The meters, 381, is 14.6 million;	
17		381.1 is only 188,000, roughly speaking. And	
18		the next page, the meters themselves, which	
19		is ERTS, electronic recording, that's 5.6	
20		million, and meter installation is	
21		14 million. So the lion's share of this is	
22		two accounts. And so what we said is, okay,	
23		over time, what happens is if you look at the	
24		progression, typically the longest slide will	

1		be the installation. The next longest will
2		be meters. The next longest will be
3		instruments. And then the shortest that
4		you'll have is ERTS, electronic recording.
5		And so when I did the analysis of this
6		account, it wasn't segregated, and I did not
7		have the historical data to do the analysis.
8		So I did the analysis as an integrated, shall
9		we say "basket of dollars," and came up with
10		an answer for that basket of dollars, which
11		was the 32-year life.
12	Q.	So what was the current life for this basket?
13	A.	Thirty-five.
14	Q.	So you went from 35 to 32?
15	A.	That's correct.
16	Q.	But if I look at Bates Page 50 or 450, you
17		went to 15 years for the ERTS; correct?
18	A.	That's correct. If you recall, when you go
19		through the lives of these four accounts, the
20		shortest life you will ever experience is the
21		ERTS, the electronic recording units.
22		They're little modules that you put on the
23		big meter, and it allows you to do remote
24		meter reading. And so that's typically

		-
1		that life typically is 12 to 15 years. I use
2		15 years as the outside to be reasonable.
3	Q.	So if I understand what you're saying, then,
4		there is a significant drop in the
5		electronics part of the meter? Is that what
6		the ERTS issue is? You said electronic
7		something.
8	Α.	That's correct. It's not mechanical. It's
9		electronics. And electronics changes over
10		time, 118 what you're doing is you're doing
11		remote metering. And the advances in
12		requirements in security, these modules don't
13		last like the length of the meter. But at
14		the same time, if you look at the module,
15		it's small compared to the overall meter.
16		It's almost like, oh, probably that cup of
17		coffee to that computer. It's very small.
18		And it's a unit you put into the big meter,
19		and it allows the data gathering.
20	Q.	So how about the parts of the meter that
21		aren't electronic? What was the basis behind
22		reducing the average service life for those
23		from 35 to 32?
24	Α.	I didn't do an analysis. I basically said

1		the statistical analysis I did gave me
2		32-year life using a composite, okay. Would
3		some of the lines be longer? Yes. The
4		installation, as I said earlier, would be a
5		little longer. It always is longer.
6		Basically, installation may be 35. It's
7		usually 10 percent higher than the meter
8		life.
9		The problem I had is I had to recognize
10		in the composite that what was bringing the
11		life down was the electronic metering. And
12		so the 32-year represents a composite of all
13		the dollars.
14		Now, instruments, probably you'll get 20
15		years out of that, but there's no money
16		there. So that has very little influence on
17		the results.
18	Q.	Right. So where did the weighted average
19		then come from?
20	Α.	It's not. It's just I did the analysis on
21		the total account. Like we were talking
22		before on the curves and the accounts, the
23		statistics, I did that for the total account.
24	Q.	Okay. Does that show up on Page 22, 23 and

		±
1		24 of Exhibit 69?
2	A.	Yes, that's the full account, all dollars.
3	Q.	Could you go through the analysis like you
4		did for the other four accounts as to how you
5		came up with the recommendation to go down to
6		the 32 years?
7	Α.	Okay. What I did here is I said I have to
8		recognize I don't have the dollars or the
9		analysis capability for all these subsets.
10		So the dollars I have represent a composite
11		basket of dollars, some small lives, some
12		short lives. So what I did is I said, okay,
13		if I'm going to come up with a life for this,
14		I need to make sure these statistics are
15		strong. So I need the CI to be above 50.
16		That's the fourth column in. I need the
17		retirement index to be a 100 and the curve to
18		be a 100. So if you look at what I had, and
19		I go up and I said, okay, the second from the
20		bottom in the third-year analysis gives me
21		31.86
22	Q.	And we're on Page 22 now; correct?
23	Α.	We're on Page 22. That's correct. And that
24		gives me a CI of 61, which is very good. So

1		you go down to the 20-year analysis, the next
2		page, and you look at the same thing; there
3		it's a 31.89 life. Again, you go across and
4		you'll find the C Index is better. And the
5		retirement index and cycle is a 100, which
6		means, using all the curves, I am reflecting
7		all the retirements, and I've got a very nice
8		conformance index of 67.3. And that tells me
9		the life there is 31.89. So this, I said,
10		well, I'll just use 32.
11	Q.	So did your study incorporate 32 for the
12		ERTS, or did it incorporate 15?
13	Α.	What happens is, 118 the basket of dollars
14		includes the ERTS, but it's 5 million out of
15		let's say 33 million, or whatever it is, it's
16		going to bring the average service life down
17		a little bit, okay. So the 32 was just what
18		I used for all of the accounts except ERTS,
19		which is the electronic recording.
20	Q.	And was the 15-year life for the ERTS based
21		on any curve?
22	Α.	No, it's just the manufacturer's life of the
23		equipment. I think I told you it was 12 to
24		15.

			Τ¢
1	Q.	And when I go to Bates Page 450, where it	
2		says Retirement Curve under the 15.0,	
3		Proposed life of 15.0, it says Retirement	
4		Curve SQ. What does that mean?	
5	Α.	That's a unique curve. It's a square curve.	
6		It says when you get to 15, it disappears.	
7		It's square. A straight line down. In other	
8		words, there's no lingering like you would	
9		have in a non-curve.	
10	Q.	So did you rely on the SQ curve?	
11	Α.	Yes, 118, as I said, if you expect the life	
12		to be in the range of 12 to 15, I took the	
13		outside range and said by then they'll all be	
14		gone. But you replace them periodically.	
15		You'll have early failures. But this does	
16		not represent that. This is just an average	
17		service life. So	
18	Q.	So I'd like to move to the second topic that	
19		I mentioned we were going to question about,	
20		and this has to do with the amortization of	
21		the reserve imbalance. And I'd like you to	
22		start by explaining what this imbalance is.	
23	Α.	The imbalance is a comparison of the	
24		Company's book reserves versus a theoretical	

1		reserve. Now, a theoretical reserve
2		basically says, if I look at this plant
3		account and I apply the curve and life that
4		you give me for that account from this study,
5		what the program does is it calculates what
6		the reserves would be to the end of that
7		account if the behavior of the retirements
8		would reflect the chosen curve and life.
9		Now, we all know that doesn't happen. But
10		that's the best information we have today.
11		So I ran these calculations, and from
12		that it gives me every account a theoretical
13		reserve level, and then we compare that
14		against what the Company's book reserves are,
15		and there goes the difference. That's in my
16		Schedule A in the back of this report.
17	Q.	Schedule A you said?
18	Α.	Yes. If you go to, oh, let's see, Page 464,
19		something like that. Yeah, 464.
20	Q.	And the Reserve Variance appears in the
21		second to the last column on the right;
22		correct?
23	Α.	That's correct, Column 13 at the bottom, and
24		it says 9.946 million.

		-
1	Q.	And at the time this case was filed, that was
2		the reserve difference.
3		Now, does the fact that that's a
4		positive number, does that indicate that it's
5		a surplus or a deficit?
6	Α.	If it's a positive remember it's basically
7		Column 11, okay, less Column 12. So that
8		says theoretical reserves should be 165
9		million plus change, and the book reserve is
10		155 million plus change. So that positive
11		indicates a deficit. I'm sorry.
12	Q.	So the more you depreciate, the smaller this
13		deficit would be; right?
14	Α.	Yes, if you increase the depreciation accrual
15		rates, yes.
16	Q.	But to deal with this recommendation to
17		deal with this reserve variance, the
18		recommendation was to amortize this reserve
19		over a certain period of time; correct?
20	Α.	That's correct. It emanated from the
21		Company's last study, where a gentleman from
22		PUC Staff, Mr. Cunningham, and I had some
23		discussions. And what I was concerned about
24		was, in that instance, it was the other way,

1	completely the other way. So whether it's
2	this way or what the Company faced for the
3	last 10 years, what you try to do is dampen
4	the effect of swings in accrual rate.
5	However, I have to fault myself and my
6	shortsightedness in the last study 118 I said
7	two cycles. A cycle to me is you do a cycle
8	every 5 to 7 years. So, 6 years, twice that
9	is 12 years. That's typically what I
10	recommend for whole life rates. Not for
11	remaining life, but for whole life. When you
12	do that, what's come out in this case, which
13	didn't come out last case, is I assumed
14	incorrectly that the Company would file
15	several rate cases before that 12 years was
16	over. I had no idea they would file no cases
17	until now. And so what happens is the
18	Company kept returning dollars, but there was
19	no check. This time you have the complete
20	swing on the opposite side, and that's a
21	function of the Company investing a lot of
22	dollars. If you look at some of these
23	accounts, they almost doubled in 10 years.
24	And you see that in this account. In this

1		Company, it's mains and services. Those are
2		the big-dollar items. You don't have to look
3		any further. And that's what's driving the
4		imbalance.
5		Now, I would suspect, and personally,
6		the Company ought to do one of these studies
7		again when they have five full years of data
8		points meaning, we did a study on 2016
9		calendar, so they should do a study on 2021.
10		I'm sorry. Slow with the number here. 2021
11		calendar year. So that probably would be in
12		2022 that you'd have the results of the
13		study. But you'd have five more data points
14		from which to draw conclusions.
15	Q.	Now, the last study that you mentioned that
16		you pointed out earlier in the document here
17		was done based on 2006 data; correct?
18	A.	That's correct.
19	Q.	And would you agree that that was in
20		connection with a rate case that had a docket
21		number from 2008? I think it was DG 08-009;
22		correct? Or would you accept that as
23		correct?
24	A.	Subject to check. There's always a lag from

1		the time see, I take a calendar year, and
2		I probably won't have the data to do a study
3		until probably towards the end of that year.
4		And it takes me three months to evaluate and
5		then produce a report. So, typically it will
6		flow, usually it's a year plus.
7	Q.	And in the last case, when you did this study
8		in the last case based on 2006 data, there
9		was a reserve imbalance in that case as well;
10		correct?
11	Α.	That was correct. It was the other way.
12	Q.	The "other way" meaning that it was that
13		there was over is it fair to say there was
14		over-depreciation?
15	Α.	Yes. The reserve was higher than the
16		theoretical reserve. That's correct.
17	Q.	And the amortization that took place was a
18		situation where money was being returned to
19		customers.
20	Α.	That's correct.
21	Q.	Okay. And in that case, you recommended that
22		that money be returned back to customers over
23		a 12-year period; correct?
24	Α.	That's correct. Again, remember, the

1		assumption there, which I didn't state, was
2		that I assumed the Company would be filing
3		rate cases
4	Q.	Right.
5	A.	which they did not.
6	Q.	So you assumed back in 2008 that they would
7		file a rate case in the next how many years
8		do you think?
9	Α.	At that time, about maybe three to five
10		years. Now, what's happening now is that
11		period shrinks. I mean, I'm looking at
12		Northern and I'm looking at Fitchburg and
13		Massachusetts Gas Electric, and they're
14		getting into the two- to three-year cycle.
15		Mid-Atlantic same way, two to three years.
16		I can't speak for the Company as to what
17		they're going to do. But the period you
18		would expect in the 12-year cycle you're
19		going to probably have three rate cases.
20	Q.	And what's the 12-year cycle you're talking?
21		Oh, the two cycles.
22	Α.	Yeah, a cycle meaning the time span between
23		depreciation studies, six years.
24	Q.	Six years, okay.

1		Now, do you recall back in 2008 when you
2		did this study, do you recall when the prior
3		depreciation study was done for EnergyNorth?
4	Α.	I wish you would have asked that question. I
5		would have produced the report. I don't
6		remember.
7	Q.	Okay. So, going forward from 2008, you said
8		that your understanding is that the next rate
9		case filed by EnergyNorth is the one that
10		we're in now?
11	Α.	That's correct.
12	Q.	That's in fact not correct. I think it's
13		easily verifiable that there was an interim
14		rate case filed in the 2013 time frame that
15		was decided in 2014. So you're completely
16		unfamiliar with that case?
17	Α.	I have no idea of that case.
18	Q.	Okay. Well, assuming that that was the case,
19		and it was
20	Α.	But there was no depreciation study done.
21	Q.	Well, that was going to be my next question.
22		Was there a depreciation study done in that
23		case? Again, you're not familiar with it.
24		We went down this road this morning, so

			1
1	А.	No.	
2	Q.	So we have two frequencies that we're talking	
3		about. We're talking about frequencies of	
4		rate cases and frequencies of depreciation	
5		studies. And I'd like to talk about them	
6		separately.	
7		Is it your understanding that a Company	
8		like EnergyNorth is it your recommendation	
9		that a company like EnergyNorth I'll	
10		rephrase that.	
11		Is it your recommendation that	
12		EnergyNorth file a depreciation study in each	
13		rate case?	
14	Α.	No. If the rate case let's make the	
15		assumption that EnergyNorth would file a rate	
16		case in three years. You wouldn't have	
17		enough data points to make any meaningful	
18		changes. If you go another three years or	
19		two years, then you would. As I said, if you	
20		have at least five more years, 2016 puts you	
21		to 2021. And you probably, if things worked	
22		right, and they knew that, you could probably	
23		get this thing done and finished in 2022.	
24		MR. DEXTER: So I have another	

	17
1	document I want to hand out. I just need to
2	find it. If I could take a minute to Mr.
3	Chairman could I take a five-minute recess to
4	get these documents straightened out?
5	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Sure.
6	MR. DEXTER: Thank you.
7	(Brief recess was taken at 2:29 p.m.,
8	and the hearing resumed at 2:50 p.m.)
9	(The document, as described, was
10	herewith marked as Exhibit 71 for
11	identification.)
12	MR. DEXTER: Thank you for the
13	break, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate it. And
14	while you were out, we distributed one more
15	document. That would be Exhibit 71, and it has
16	to do with this issue. I believe all the
17	parties and the witness have a copy. Is that
18	right?
19	BY MR. DEXTER:
20	Q. Mr. Normand, in addition to that document,
21	I'd like you to turn to Page 405 of your
22	original testimony, please.
23	A. Yes, I have that.
24	Q. And both of these documents state that your

1		recommendation was that this reserve variance
2		be amortized over 12 years; correct?
3	Α.	That's correct. As I mentioned before,
4		that's the the underlying assumption there
5		was the Company would file rate cases. And
6		so my simple definition, if you're going to
7		file a rate case, you're going to file a
8		depreciation study. But that's a function of
9		the frequency. But I would expect over six
10		years that you'll file I can't speak for
11		the Company you'll file a couple of rate
12		cases, and over 10 years, probably three rate
13		cases, and probably have two depreciation
14		studies. So the Commission would have ample
15		reference to reset things.
16	Q.	In fact, your testimony says that, and I'll
17		kind of paraphrase it. But what it actually
18		says is, "Our recommendation with respect to
19		this variance is to amortize it over two
20		depreciation cycles, or 12 years, as one
21		cycle reflects periodic studies taken every
22		five to seven years." That's what your
23		testimony says; right?
24	A.	That's what my testimony says.

1	Q.	And so in this case, the last for this
2		Company, the last depreciation study was done
3		in was based on 2006 data I believe you
4		said.
5	Α.	That's correct.
6	Q.	And this depreciation study was done on the
7		basis of 2016 data; correct?
8	Α.	That's correct.
9	Q.	And I think you said just before the break
10		that you would expect that the next
11		depreciation study would be done based on
12		2021 data.
13	Α.	That's correct. What you'd want is at least
14		five more data points for every account.
15	Q.	So, two depreciation cycles under that would
16		be 10 years? Is that what you're saying?
17	Α.	Yes, roughly speaking.
18	Q.	So are you recommending that your testimony
19		on 405 be switched to 10 years, or are you
20		still comfortable with the 12 years?
21	A.	Well, what my recommendation says is you do
22		them every five to seven years. So I just
23		took the midpoint.
24	Q.	Okay. So you're not recommending that your

1		testimony be changed. You were just dealing
2		with sort of rough average numbers here.
3	Α.	That's correct.
4	Q.	Okay. And in fact, the proposal well,
5		before we get to that, on the document that
6		was handed out as Exhibit 71, on the back of
7		it, Page 2 of it, you had talked about a
8		couple of different methods to handle reserve
9		variances. And in Method No. 2, you talked
10		about not amortizing the variance at all
11		within a particular band width of 5 to
12		10 percent. Could you explain this a little
13		further, please?
14	Α.	What happens when you get a variance, every
15		time you do a depreciation study the
16		parameters change a little bit. So, 118 of
17		that, you'll have a variance. In order for
18		you not to encourage playing with the rates
19		too much, typically commissions will say if
20		the variance is within 5 or 10 percent, we do
21		nothing. Wait until the next case or the
22		next study. And that's reasonable. In other
23		words, you just every study will give you
24		parameters that will give you new numbers.

1		And what you find is when you have a curve in
2		the life of an account, you're saying the
3		behavior of that account will follow this
4		curve in life. And I don't know if I said it
5		in my testimony or my report, but we're
6		giving you the best information we have,
7		given the data we have. But for me to sit
8		here and say mains account, whatever account
9		it is, will behave for 60 years according to
10		this mortality characteristic, I say that,
11		but quite candidly, I don't think it will
12		happen 118 there's too many variables. I
13		can't predict 40 years out the road.
14	Q.	Okay. And what is the level of the reserve
15		variance in this case? Does it fall inside
16		that 5 to 10 percent level that you
17		mentioned?
18	Α.	No, it follows way outside.
19	Q.	It does. What is the level of the variance?
20	Α.	It's almost 10 million.
21	Q.	And so 10 million as compared to what to get
22		to this percentage we're talking about?
23	Α.	If you go to 464
24	Q.	Bates 464? Yup, I'm there.

[WITNESS: NORMAND]

		-
1	A.	And if you go to the reserve variance, it's
2		9 million.
3	Q.	We talked about this earlier. It's
4		9,946,778; correct?
5	Α.	Right.
6	Q.	And so what number am I comparing that to, to
7		come up with this band width of 5 to
8		10 percent?
9	Α.	You're basically saying that the account
10		would be on the theoretical reserve with net
11		salvage.
12	Q.	And that figure is 165,193,965; correct?
13	Α.	That's correct.
14	Q.	So if I were to divide 9,946,778 by
15		165,193,965, wouldn't you agree that I would
16		get a number between 5 and 10 percent?
17	Α.	That's correct.
18	Q.	So then I'm confused by your prior statement
19		that said it was way outside the band width.
20	Α.	Well, I was assuming basically the 5 percent.
21	Q.	Okay.
22	Α.	But very few there's only probably two or
23		three PUCs that do that. 118 whole life is
24		not rampant by regulators, most of the

		±
1		depreciation studies are done on remaining
2		life.
3	Q.	Right. No, I understand that. And your
4		answer on Exhibit 71 said that if you're in a
5		whole life situation, which we are here, one
6		option would be to not do any amortization if
7		it fell within this band width; correct?
8	Α.	If you selected a band width, yes. The other
9		way to do it is to spread your deficiency or
10		excess over a period of time.
11	Q.	And that's what's recommended in this case.
12	A.	Yes. And the third option is to do it over a
13		period of time and then do another study to
14		see if you're on track.
15	Q.	Okay. We've already talked enough about
16		frequency of depreciation studies, so I won't
17		ask you further about that.
18		So then I'd like 118 you understand
19		that the Company's position in this case is
20		not to amortize the reserve variance over 12
21		years, but in their original filing was to
22		amortize it over 3 years. You understand
23		that; right?
24	A.	That's what the Company proposed. But they

1		were looking at different factors.
2	Q.	Right. And I wanted to get into that. But
3		go ahead. I didn't mean to interrupt you.
4	A.	Well, I don't look at the financial impact
5		and so forth. I do a study. These are my
6		results. If the differences are large, then
7		you should take an extended period of time to
8		adjust for them. Now, I also know, and it's
9		obvious at this point in this proceeding,
10		that you ought to do a study every five to
11		six years. At that point, you will have a
12		new reference point to say what actions
13		you've taken, are they working in a direction
14		that will resolve the problem, or it's not
15		enough to resolve the problem.
16		In other words, what happened since the
17		last case that created this is that the
18		Company, which surprised me, but they made
19		major plant investments. As I said earlier
20		today, in some accounts it was double. And
21		so what happens with that is, you got to
22		remember, if you take the life of an asset
23		being 60 years, mains, in the period of 10
24		years you're not going to get to a reserve

1		level that makes sense. So what you're doing
2		is you're incrementally getting there. But
3		if the average life is 60 years, your reserve
4		is going to be severely deficient if you're
5		putting a lot of dollars in the ground. And
6		I suspect again, you'd have to ask the
7		Company. If the Company's investments in the
8		next three, five or ten years continues at
9		the rate it had been doing, you're going to
10		have a you're going to compound this
11		problem.
12	Q.	So if I understand, if I can sum up, and if I
13		get this wrong, please tell me, your
14		recommendation is that you set the
15		amortization assuming two depreciation
16		cycles, 10 to 14 years, but that you
17		recommend that it be looked at every time a
18		depreciation study is filed before the
19		Commission.
20	Α.	That's correct. What that would give you is
21		a reference point to say what I've done, the
22		actions I've taken, are they correcting the
23		problem. 118 you got to do this with whole
24		life. Remaining life doesn't have that, but

			-
1		whole life does.	
2	Q.	Okay. So I'd like you to look at a document.	
3		And I confess I don't remember the exhibit	
4		number. But it's Mr. Mullen's rebuttal	
5		testimony filed in this case in January of	
6		2018. And on Bates Page 133, Mr. Mullen	
7		talks about this very issue. Do you have	
8		that in front of you?	
9	A.	Yes, I have. Yeah.	
10	Q.	In fact, there's an indented paragraph there	
11		that quotes from the data requests we were	
12		just looking at, Exhibit 71. And Mr. Mullen	
13		states that your recommendation was based on	
14		looking at the topic of depreciation in	
15		isolation, whereas the Company's proposal	
16		took into account the entirety of the filing.	
17		Do you see that?	
18	Α.	That's correct.	
19	Q.	And then he goes on to say, "including the	
20		circumstances regarding the length of time	
21		over which the depreciation reserve imbalance	
22		has accumulated." So you understand what	
23		that means, the "length of time"?	
24	Α.	Yes.	

[WITNESS: NORMAND]

1	Q.	Okay. And what does that mean in your view?
2		What is Mr. Mullen talking about there?
3	A.	I can't speak for him. I can interpret what
4		I think he's saying.
5	Q.	That's what I'd like you to do.
6	A.	Basically he's saying what you're saying
7		is since the last study in 2006, for 10 years
8		we've swung from a reserve that was too high
9		to now a reserve that's deficient. So the
10		only thing that caused that is a massive
11		infusion of dollars in plant, mainly mains
12		and services. I think I gave you a data
13		response to that effect. In any event, that
14		will always occur, as I said earlier. The
15		fact that you put a million dollars of mains
16		in, you're not going to recover that for over
17		60 years. So if these are new dollars that
18		are occurring in let's say the next 5 or 10
19		years, your reserve will be deficient until
20		you get to a certain point in time. Now, at
21		some point this Company, as all companies,
22		the construction and new dollars going in
23		will slow down and then you'll catch up.
24		When that occurs, I have no idea what their

		±
1		plans are or what their construction plans
2		are.
3	Q.	So what, then, is the length of time over
4		which this depreciation reserve imbalance
5		accumulated in this case?
6	Α.	I would say the Commission has to find a
7		middle ground as to what it is, and then my
8		recommendation is they do another study with
9		five more years of data.
10	Q.	So do you know or do you not know the length
11		of time over which this reserve imbalance
12		accumulated?
13	Α.	The reserve imbalance, as I just said, went
14		from a negative 8 or 9 million in the
15		study was in '06, and the rate case was, I
16		think you said, '08. But from that time to
17		this time, we swung from over probably
18		8 million to under 10 million, all driven by
19		major capital additions.
20	Q.	Okay. And you understood that when you put
21		your testimony together. This is not a
22		surprise to you; right?
23	Α.	No, no. But it was a surprise, as I said
24		earlier. I did not anticipate this level of

	plant additions and the fact that the Company
	did not have a rate case where there was a
	new depreciation study submitted. See, a lot
	of times I look at a rate case typically
	when you file a rate case, you file a
	depreciation study, unless the frequency's
	under three years, and then you'll skip one.
Q.	So the second part of Mr. Mullen's statement
	as to what he looked at was along with the
	time period over which the imbalance should
	be addressed. Did you look at that when you
	came up with your recommendation? That, in
	fact, is your recommendation; right? Do you
	see anything different between that statement
	and your recommendation that it should be
	addressed over two depreciation cycles?
А.	Yes. Again, all of this is driven. It's
	unique. The size of this Company and the
	plant investments they made, made a swing I
	would never have anticipated back in the last
	case. I had no way of anticipating that. In
	hindsight, I should have known. I should
	have asked more questions and said, Do you

[WITNESS: NORMAND]

	189
1	But if I'm doing this study, I should have
2	known better, but I didn't.
3	Q. Okay. I don't have any further questions.
4	Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner
6	Bailey.
7	CMSR. BAILEY: I have no
8	questions. Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner
10	Giaimo.
11	COMMISSIONER GIAIMO: Same.
12	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And I have
13	no questions.
14	You would like to redirect,
15	Mr. Sheehan?
16	MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. I do
17	have some questions.
18	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. SHEEHAN:
20	Q. Mr. Normand, a couple basic questions. The
21	imbalance that existed the last time you did
22	a study in 2006 was, in essence, money the
23	Company owed to its customers 118 it had
24	depreciated too fast.

1 A. That's correct.

2	Q.	And from that time, you said \$8- or \$9
3		million dollars was the imbalance in that
4		direction. It's now swung to a \$10 million
5		imbalance, where, in effect, the Company has
6		been depreciating too slowly, so that the
7		customers owe the Company money. That's a
8		simplification, but that's the gist of it.
9	Α.	That is. It's almost like and it's due
10		directly to massive plant infusion.
11	Q.	And there seems to be some confusion over
12		your testimony about the existence of
13		intervening rate cases. Am I correct to say
14		your point was, between your study of '06
15		data until today, it wasn't the lack of rate
16		cases, it was the lack of depreciation
17		studies that occurred during those 10 years?
18	A.	Yes. When I was testifying, I basically
19		linked a rate case with a depreciation study.
20		Of course that's shortsighted, 118 if you do
21		a rate case every two or three years I can
22		honestly say you shouldn't do one. But if
23		you want me to do one, that's fine.
24	Q.	And for the record, I think everyone else in

1		the room knows, there was a rate case done in
2		2010 and another rate case done in 2014,
3		neither of which had depreciation studies.
4	A.	As far as I know, they didn't.
5	Q.	And so what happened is the adjustments made
6		in the 2008 rate case to return money to
7		customers was never looked at for 10 years.
8	Α.	That's the problem. As I've said, that's
9		probably my shortsightedness at the time.
10	Q.	And you don't know, or you didn't know that
11		there was a change in ownership of the
12		Company which may have impacted those
13		decisions and many other factors. Again,
14		that's outside the scope of your normal
15		investigation; is that fair?
16	Α.	That's correct.
17	Q.	Going back to the first hour of your
18		testimony, Mr. Dexter walked you through the
19		process for assigning lives to certain
20		categories of assets. And my question as to
21		that process you described through the four
22		or five that he went through, you went
23		through that process for every category of
24		assets that you studied on behalf of the

_		_
1		Company.
2	Α.	That's correct. You have to go through all
3		of them. The only process where you don't go
4		through that remember, you need a
5		retirement index. So if you have accounts
6		that have no activity or no retirements, you
7		can't do a whole bunch about that. You just
8		rely on whatever the Commission approved last
9		time. That's what you accept until you get
10		experience with retirements.
11	Q.	You were not were you involved in the
12		discussions that resulted in the settlement
13		agreement that we have in front of the
14		Commission for approval?
15	Α.	No, I was not a consultant.
16	Q.	We have informed you since that the proposal
17		in the settlement agreement is to amortize or
18		recover that \$10 million which has been
19		reduced to \$9 million and I'll get to that
20		in a minute over a period of five years.
21		We've informed you of that; correct?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	And we've also informed you that it's the
24		expectation that the Company will come back

1		for another rate case, at most, four years
2		out, and likely three years out, which has
3		been our schedule recently. You're aware of
4		that?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	And what would be your recommendation to do
7		vis-a-vis whatever comes out of this order
8		about the imbalance when we're back in for
9		another rate case in three or four years?
10	A.	Well, what you would do, or what I would
11		recommend that you do is that at the time you
12		come in, you can do a mini depreciation
13		study. And all you would deal with is the
14		theoretical reserve and book reserve. And
15		what that will tell you for each of the
16		accounts is like my study now produces almost
17		10 million. So if you come in in three years
18		and it says it's down to 7 million, now, part
19		of the reason you say, well, it hasn't come
20		down very much. But the offset during that
21		period of time is how much investment in
22		plant has the Company made. That's going to
23		be an offset. Will the investments they make
24		follow what they've done historically? I

			19
1		don't know. You'd have to ask the Company	
2		that.	
3	Q.	If the investments of the past few years	
4		continue for the next few years, all else	
5		being equal, what would you expect to happen	
6		to the imbalance?	
7	Α.	If you use a five-year amortization, probably	
8		change a little bit, but not a whole bunch,	
9		if they're at these levels of putting dollars	
10		in.	
11	Q.	And if you use a 12-year amortization?	
12	A.	You'll be way up.	
13	Q.	The imbalance will be worse.	
14	Α.	Yes. But at some point the Company will slow	
15		down. You can't put this much money	
16		continuously for you know, at some point	
17		it slows down, and that's when you catch up.	
18	Q.	Having been told that the proposal in this	
19		rate case well, first of all, the	
20		settlement agreement also accepted some	
21		changed your depreciation lives somewhat. We	
22		moved off of what you recommended. And I'm	
23		giving you that as assume that's true. And	
24		we moved into generally slightly longer lives	

1		overall. And applying those longer lives to
2		the formula, the imbalance has gone from 10
3		million to 9 million. Follow?
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	So, assuming we now have a \$9 million
6		imbalance under the terms of the settlement
7		agreement, and assuming that we amortize over
8		five years, with the expectation we come back
9		in three to do the check you just described,
10		do you think that's a reasonable way to
11		approach the existing amortization imbalance?
12	A.	It's an approach. And again, if I was the
13		Commission, I'd ask someone in the Company,
14		"Is your projection of investments going to
15		continue at the rate you've been having?" If
16		that's the case, you're correct.
17	Q.	So if that is the case, that our projections
18		are to continue investing at the rate of
19		recent years, if not greater, would you agree
20		that the proposal in the settlement
21		agreement, the five-year amortization, come
22		back in three, is a reasonable way to address
23		the existing \$9 million imbalance?
24	Α.	That's correct. It's a reasonable approach,

1		as long as there is a check in three years
2		and another study in five. I think the
3		Commission would have some comfort that
4		there's going to be a check and balance.
5	Q.	So what I understand you just said, if the
6		Commission were to approve this part of the
7		settlement agreement which includes this
8		language, you would recommend that the
9		Commission also require us to do this check
10		on the depreciation balance at the next rate
11		case.
12	Α.	That's correct. It's not a major effort.
13		It's probably two to three days of work.
14	Q.	Compared to three months for the whole study?
15	Α.	That's correct.
16	Q.	Thank you. I have no further questions.
17		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you,
18		Mr. Normand. You can return to your seat.
19		I think Mr. Iqbal is returning
20		to the witness stand?
21		MR. DEXTER: Yes.
22		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We'll note
23		for the record he is still under oath.
24		

	19
	AL-AZAD IQBAL, PREVIOUSLY SWORN
	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Dexter.
	MR. DEXTER: Thank you.
	DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D)
BY M	R. DEXTER:
Q.	Mr. Iqbal, we're going to continue asking you
	questions concerning the topic of
	depreciation. And I'd like you to turn to
	your prefiled testimony. Do you have that
	before you?
Α.	Yes.
Q.	It's Exhibit 18 in the case. And I'd like
	you to go to Bates Page 31, which is the
	chart of your proposed average service lives.
Α.	I'm there.
Q.	Do you have that in front of you?
Α.	Yes.
Q.	Now, this chart, which goes on for three
	pages, contains about 20 different
	recommended average service lives. Would you
	agree?
Α.	Yes.
Q.	And there were certain instances where you
	disagreed with the average service lives
	Q. A. Q. A. Q.

		1
1		recommended by the Company; is that correct?
2	А.	Yes.
3	Q.	Is one of those average service lives that
4		you disagreed with Account 303, Capitalized
5		Software?
6	Α.	Yes.
7	Q.	And could you indicate what average service
8		life you recommended as compared to what the
9		Company recommends?
10	Α.	My recommendation is average service life of
11		seven years. That is existing average
12		service life. And Company proposed 6.2
13		years.
14	Q.	You were in the room when Mr. Normand took us
15		through his calculation of the 6.2 years;
16		correct?
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	How is it or explain to me I'm sorry.
19		Let me rephrase that.
20		Would you please explain for the
21		Commission how you came to your recommended
22		seven-year life?
23	Α.	First of all, I didn't do any study,
24		depreciation study. We have to rely on the

1		depreciation study the Company provided, the
2		previous depreciation study and current
3		depreciation study. So I had to go through
4		those
5		(Court Reporter interrupts.)
6	A.	We have gone through during this session
7		which account we should select, which number
8		we should select, what is the ASL from those
9		curves. Those are not provided in the
10		original testimony of Mr. Normand. It is
11		part of the data request. So I was trying to
12		understand then what is the method he used to
13		come up with this number, particularly, as he
14		explained in his testimony, that 6.2 didn't
15		come from any study; it is coming from the
16		Company's data. They said that these are 3
17		years, these are 5 years, these are 10 years
18		ASL. And there is no support provided for
19		that. Even Mr. Normand didn't ask for the
20		support. He just used the Company number. I
21		would prefer to base it on existing number,
22		which is which Commission actually
23		approved than based on unsupported number
24		which is proposed in this case.

1	Q.	So if I understand what you're saying, your
2		recommendation was to continue the existing
3		life 118 it was based on a prior depreciation
4		study.
5	A.	That's my position, yes.
6	Q.	And factoring into that position was your
7		understanding that Mr. Normand said the study
8		he did in this case, the results were
9		unreliable. We just heard that. For this
10		particular account, the results were
11		unreliable.
12	A.	Yes. On that, I think I would add one more
13		caveat on that, that the person who actually
14		created the C Index, R Index, Mr. Bauhan, he
15		actually went one of his conclusion is
16		even if those are not acceptable which are
17		not above 50, but is better than using any
18		random number. So, yes, those are not
19		doesn't meet the standard. But in his 1940s
20		paper Mr. Normand actually referred to, that
21		paper says that even when it is not
22		acceptable, it is better to use a number
23		based on analysis than a random number. And
24		that's what I am following here, that this

		_
1		number, 3, 5 and 10-year number, is provided
2		by the Company, and they didn't provide any
3		analysis. But we have a SPR
4		(Court Reporter interrupts.)
5	Α.	We have a SPR analysis here which is giving
6		us a higher number that is almost 11 years.
7		We are not proposing that. We are being very
8		consistent and conservative. We are just
9		saying let's keep the current number 118 your
10		number is not supported by anything. Current
11		number we can live with.
12	Q.	Are there other instances in your charts,
13		starting on Bates 31, where you proposed
14		keeping the remaining average service lives
15		rather than relying on the study that was
16		presented by Mr. Normand?
17	A.	Which one you are talking about?
18	Q.	I'm on Bates Page 31. And I'm asking on this
19		two- or three-page chart, are there other
20		instances like Account 303 where you chose to
21		recommend keeping the existing average
22		service life as opposed to going with the
23		number that was recommended by Mr. Normand?
24	A.	Yes, that's my approach, that if there is

			20
1		enough support to change it, I agree with Mr.	
2		Normand. And when I found that he is not	
3		relying on any study, I'm being conservative	
4		and I'm recommending that we keep the	
5		existing ASL.	
6	Q.	And in particular, we spent some time earlier	
7		today looking at Account 381 and various	
8		subaccounts that had to do with meters. Do	
9		you recall that?	
10	Α.	I recall that.	
11	Q.	Is that a situation where you recommended the	
12		existing average service life be maintained	
13		rather than changing it, as Mr. Normand did?	
14	Α.	The existing number is overall at the account	
15		level, not subaccount level. So when you are	
16		changing or reducing the ASL from one of	
17		those big subaccount, that means that the	
18		other account 118 when you are doing the	
19		analysis on the overall account and you are	
20		giving it 35 years, and then you are changing	
21		one of the subaccounts, which is very big,	
22		almost five points, 5 million or 6 million,	
23		and reducing that significantly, that means	
24		that 35 for other accounts should be higher,	

1		118 this 15 number, that overall the weighted
2		number should be around 35. But what Company
3		is doing here, they're reducing the meter
4		ERTS to 15 years and also reducing the other
5		numbers, too. So, from my perspective, if
6		somebody doesn't know anything about
7		depreciation, they will tell you that it
8		doesn't make sense, 118 the study says
9		overall number should be 35. We are reducing
10		the overall number for all accounts and going
11		down to almost half in one of the half of
12		the account of 5 million essentially \$5
13		million, and saying that that's a reasonable
14		approach. And I don't agree with that.
15		That's why our proposal was keeping the 35
16		ASL for every subaccount. And I understand
17		that ERTS has a lower ASL. I said take a
18		more gradual approach, and instead of 35
19		we'll move it to 25. But the Company
20		proposed 15.
21	Q.	With respect to the other meter accounts,
22		your recommendation was to leave those alone
23		at 35?
24	Α.	Yes, that's my recommendation.

		204
1	Q.	And that's based on your interpretation of
2		the study results that Mr. Normand presents?
3	A.	His study and the existing numbers.
4	Q.	Okay.
5		MR. DEXTER: Can I ask the
6		witness a question off the record, please?
7		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You want to
8		have a conversation with your witness?
9		MR. DEXTER: Yes.
10		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Sure.
11		(Off the record discussion between
12		counsel and witness.)
13		MR. DEXTER: I'd like to hand out
14		one more document and ask that it be marked as
15		Exhibit 72. It's the Company's response to Data
16		Request Staff Tech 1-45.
17		(The document, as described, was
18		herewith marked as Exhibit 72 for
19		identification.)
20	BY M	IR. DEXTER:
21	Q.	Mr. Iqbal, do you have the document that's
22		been marked as Exhibit 72?
23	Α.	I do.
24	Q.	And the long paragraph, the second paragraph

	2
	in this response sort of traces this issue of
	amortizing reserve variances. Would you
	agree?
Α.	Yes.
Q.	And you heard Mr. Normand's testimony today;
	did you not?
A.	Yes, I do.
Q.	You heard Mr. Normand say that, in his view,
	a lot of the volatility in this reserve
	imbalance was due to recent investments in
	gas mains. Is that a fair assessment of what
	he said, in your view?
A.	Yes, that's what he said.
Q.	And do you have anything to add about why you
	believe there's a swing in this reserve
	variance?
Α.	Yes. I have high respect for Mr. Normand.
	He has been a perfect witness in this docket.
	And there are other dockets I deal with him.
	He is very competent.
	And in this point, Mr. Mullen actually
	tried to explain what happened in between.
	And one of the component is under line
	second paragraph, where he is talking about
	Q. A. Q. A. Q.

1	\$933,000 the Company are giving back to the
2	customer 118 they over-collected. And if you
3	look at Mr. Normand's recommendation, Exhibit
4	71, on the second page he has three
5	recommendation: One is remaining life, one
6	is threshold 5 to 10, and other one is the
7	recommendation he provided in this docket and
8	the previous docket.
9	Just to look at Mr. Normand's analysis,
10	this \$10 million deficit right now, we can
11	say that \$7 million is coming from that
12	refund to the customer. So that actually
13	vindicate Mr. Normand's second solution, that
14	if there was 5 to 10 percent of reserve
15	variance, we shouldn't have done nothing.
16	But we did last time. And that contributed
17	7 million of the 10 million right now we are
18	dealing with. And if you look at the updated
19	number, 118 this is 10 million 118 some of
20	the big accounts number, the ASL was reduced.
21	And if you look at the settlement agreement,
22	this 10 million number become, I think,
23	7.8-something number. So it is reduced 118
24	the ASL number was changed, increased

1	Q.	If I can interrupt for a second. So I just
2		want to make sure I understand what you're
3		saying, is that Exhibit 72 demonstrates that
4		the \$10 million reserve variance,
5		approximately \$7 million it, according to Mr.
6		Mullen, was attributable to the amortization
7		that was started back in the case in 2008.
8	A.	Exactly.
9	Q.	And you're saying that, had the Commission
10		back in 2008 followed Mr. Normand's
11		recommendation in Exhibit 71 and made no
12		amortization, that we wouldn't that we
13		would have solved 70 percent of the problem
14		that we're facing now.
15	A.	More than 70 percent. 118 we are using the
16		same amortization, ASL, average service life,
17		as I recommended, based on my analysis, for
18		that 10 million right now, eight
19		point-something million will be much lower.
20		So it eliminate the whole reserve variance.
21	Q.	So, in your view, then, it would be a
22		reasonable approach in this case not to
23		amortize this \$10 million variance at all.
24	Α.	Exactly.

1	Q.	Now, your testimony didn't recommend no
2		amortization. Your testimony recommended a
3		12-year amortization; correct?
4	Α.	Yes. I think that's a reasonable approach,
5		as Mr. Normand testified today. And just
6		being consistent with what we did last time
7		when it was other way, we aggregated that 12
8		years, I think actually 13-point-something
9		years. And right now we are saying that we
10		want to be consistent with that. We are not
11		going to go back and say that, hey, don't do
12		it now 118 it's other way. We are saying be
13		consistent. And that's what we are trying to
14		do.
15	Q.	So you adopted what you understood to be Mr.
16		Normand's recommendation to amortize this
17		variance over 12 years.
18	Α.	Exactly.
19	Q.	Now we've talked about the other way a little
20		bit, and I want to make sure everyone
21		understands what we're talking about. So I'd
22		like to go to Bates Page 473 in Mr. Normand's
23		testimony.
24	A.	I'm here.

Γ

			2
1	Q.	So the second line from the bottom is	
2		entitled "Amortization of depreciation	
3		reserve surplus, \$12,400,000." Do you see	
4		that?	
5	Α.	Yes, I do.	
6	Q.	So this is the situation from the last case,	
7		and you can see the docket number up on top,	
8		we were in a situation where the Company had	
9		over-depreciated and, therefore, money had to	
10		be returned to ratepayers; correct?	
11	Α.	Correct.	
12	Q.	You see that it was done by looking at the	
13		right-hand column in an annual amount of	
14		\$934,000; correct?	
15	Α.	Correct.	
16	Q.	Doing the math, would you agree that's about	
17		a 13-year amortization period?	
18	Α.	Correct.	
19	Q.	So that was done through settlement; correct?	
20	A.	Correct.	
21	Q.	And that's been going on ever since.	
22	A.	Yes.	
23	Q.	Okay. So, last case when there was	
24		\$12 million to be reserved to customers, it	

Γ

		210
1		was done by settlement over a 13-year period.
2		Is that your understanding?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	In this case, where there was a \$10 million
5		surplus to be collected from customers, it
6		was recommended that it be done over a
7		three-year period by the Company; correct?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	And you understand that the settlement now
10		recommends that it be done over a five-year
11		period; is that correct?
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	Okay. Thank you. I don't have anything
14		further.
15		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Sheehan.
16		CROSS-EXAMINATION (CONT'D)
17	BY M	IR. SHEEHAN:
18	Q.	Mr. Iqbal, as I understand it, the swing in
19		the reserve imbalance has been \$22 million.
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	From 12 in one direction to 10 in the other
22		direction.
23	A.	Yes.
24	Q.	And so we have now gone \$10 million in the

Γ

			21
1		other direction. And Mr. Normand just	
2		testified that, due to the Company's	
3		aggressive growth, it will tend to put an	
4		upward pressure on that variance. Do you	
5		disagree with that?	
6	Α.	No, I do not.	
7	Q.	So you do agree with that then.	
8	Α.	Yes.	
9	Q.	Prior to Liberty's ownership of the last few	
10		years, the prior owner did not have an	
11		aggressive growth policy; correct?	
12	Α.	From the numbers, it seems like you are	
13		correct.	
14	Q.	So there has been a significant change in the	
15		way the Company invests; correct?	
16	Α.	Yes.	
17	Q.	And so if we don't if we adopt Staff's	
18		proposal and we don't meaningfully address	
19		the variance in the shorter term as we	
20		proposed, there's a very good chance we will	
21		be facing a much larger variance in three or	
22		four years.	
23	A.	That's the point I was making, that even if	
24		you don't do it, if you don't do it 118 it's	

1		below 10 percent threshold, it might not
2		happen 118, yes, immediate upward pressure
3		might have impact. But if you look at the
4		average remaining life, the other approach
5		Mr. Normand proposed, actually listed in
6		Exhibit 71, if you apply that, it will be
7		longer than 12 years, 118 the whole idea is,
8		remaining life idea is that how much time we
9		have to recover this deficit. If the
10		remaining life is long enough and we can
11		distribute that way, that's one of the
12		approach. So we are looking at all three
13		approach, and none of that actually support
14		the Company's position.
15	Q.	If Mr. Normand is correct, in three years we
16		will have a \$12- or \$14- or \$15 million
17		imbalance.
18	A.	That's possible. But you have to also think
19		about it, that you have another 15 years to
20		recover that money.
21	Q.	That's all I have. Thank you.
22		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Kreis,
23		anything?
24		MR. KREIS: No, sir.

1 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner Bailey. 2 CMSR. BAILEY: No questions. 3 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner 4 Giaimo? 5 COMMISSIONER GIAIMO: 6 No 7 questions. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And I have 8 9 no questions. 10 Do you have any redirect, Mr. 11 Dexter? MR. DEXTER: I do. I'd like to 12 13 ask the witness a question or two, and I'd like to direct him to Page 339 in the original 14 15 filing. It the schedule put in by Mr. Therrien. 16 If you don't have it, I can provide it for you. 17 MR. SHEEHAN: With due respect, I'm not sure how this is redirect. We didn't --18 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I don't know 19 20 what the question is yet. 21 MR. SHEEHAN: Fair enough. 22 I don't have that one, I think. А. 23 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. DEXTER: 24

			2
1	Q.	I showed you something I questioned Mr.	
2		Therrien about, and it presents the Company's	
3		compound annual growth over the last 10	
4		years. Do you recall that?	
5	A.	Yes, I do.	
6	Q.	And do you recall Mr. Therrien saying that	
7		the annual growth basically, the Company	
8		was adding approximately 1 percent customer	
9		growth per year?	
10	Α.	Yes.	
11	Q.	Would you consider that aggressive growth?	
12	A.	Compared to what? That's the problem. So,	
13		from outside it doesn't look like that's	
14		aggressive.	
15	Q.	Well, compared to what according to what's	
16		on the sheet, the sheet goes back to 2005;	
17		does it not?	
18	A.	Yes. On that point, yes, we can see that	
19		2005, until Liberty took over, I think 2012,	
20		the average growth rate, there is a spike at	
21		the later two years, but it's almost like the	
22		same, the 1 percent average.	
23	Q.	Thank you. That's all I have.	
24		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you,	

Γ

	215
1	Mr. Iqbal. You can return to your seat.
2	I believe there are no other
3	witnesses from Staff; correct?
4	MR. DEXTER: That's correct.
5	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So if you
6	have rebuttal, now would be the time.
7	MR. SHEEHAN: We do, and we might
8	be able to streamline it if we could have five
9	minutes to collect our thoughts and figure out
10	exactly what we need to address.
11	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: That's fine.
12	So let's go off the record for a minute and talk
13	about what else needs to be done.
14	(Discussion off the record.)
15	(Brief recess was taken at 3:38 p.m.,
16	and the hearing resumed at 4:11 p.m.)
17	(The documents, as described, were
18	herewith marked as Exhibits 73-77
19	for identification.)
20	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
21	Are we going to do anything before we hear from
22	Mr. Mullen, or are we doing Mr. Mullen?
23	MR. SHEEHAN: Paul and I decided
24	to defer the exhibit discussion until after the

1 close of today. We can sort through things. Sandy has a few questions. And then, since 2 we're rolling into tomorrow morning, we should 3 be able to have it all tidied up by tomorrow. 4 5 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. Fair enough. 6 7 I see Mr. Mullen has returned to the witness stand. He is still under 8 oath. And you have some rebuttal testimony 9 10 you want to elicit from him, Mr. Sheehan? 11 MR. SHEEHAN: Yes, sir. 12 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You may 13 proceed. STEVEN E. MULLEN, PREVIOUSLY SWORN 14 REBUTTAL DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 16 BY MR. SHEEHAN: Mr. Mullen, in the context of the discussion 17 0. over the iNATGAS facility, I believe it was 18 towards the close of Mr. Frink's testimony, 19 20 there was an exchange about whether and 21 should the company have informed the 22 Commission about the increased cost. Do you 23 recall that exchange? I do. 24 Α.

1	Q.	And do you recall that the exchange included
2		something to the effect of and I'm
3		paraphrasing, if we knew the costs were going
4		up, we could have possibly done something
5		about it? Do you recall that?
6	Α.	That's consistent with my recollection, yes.
7	Q.	Did the Company inform Staff about the
8		increased costs related to iNATGAS?
9	Α.	Staff and the Commission, yes.
10	Q.	I have circulated Exhibit 73, which is a
11		transcript from the Company's cost of gas
12		proceeding in 2015. And I'd like you to turn
13		to page this is an excerpt. It doesn't
14		have all the pages. The second page in the
15		document is Page 16 of the transcript, into
16		17. Do you see the question by Mr. Speidel?
17	Α.	I do.
18	Q.	And what is that question?
19	Α.	Starting on Line 23, it says, "Continuing on
20		the iNATGAS theme, for both EnergyNorth and
21		iNATGAS, what work has been completed and
22		paid for? And, what work remains to be done
23		to complete the project and commence service?
24		And, what is the time line for each task?"

1	Q.	The balance of that Page 17 is your
2		description of the construction progress,
3		supplies that have been ordered and the like;
4		is that correct?
5	Α.	The discussion really goes on for a few
6		pages, but it begins on Page 17, yes.
7	Q.	And I'm going to point you to the middle of
8		Page 18, the question that begins, "Do you
9		have, Mr. Mullen, an overall cost estimate
10		for the project that would be allocated to
11		the Company, Liberty?" What was your answer
12		to that question?
13	Α.	Starting on Line 16, my answer is, "Yes. To
14		date, our direct investment has been
15		approximately 2.95 million, and remaining
16		direct cost is about 750,000."
17	Q.	And the conversation continues through Page 9
18		of the project in general, all the way to
19		nearly the bottom of Page 23, where the
20		questioner, Mr. Speidel, says, "Thank you.
21		Switching gears"; correct?
22	Α.	Yes. That's on Line 17.
23	Q.	Next page of this exhibit, which jumps in the
24		transcript, is a question by Commissioner

1		Scott at the very bottom of the page asking
2		why there has been a delay with iNATGAS;
3		correct?
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	And you give the answer to that beginning on
6		Page 33.
7		Recalling the docket, it was iNATGAS
8		was filed in the early spring or summer of
9		2014. There was an order that summer. So we
10		are now, with this transcript, in October of
11		2015, approximately one year later; correct?
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	And it finally went into service the
14		following year, at the end of 2016.
15	Α.	That's correct.
16	Q.	And then a couple pages further in the
17		transcript, again page numbering jumps, top
18		of Page 50 there's a question. And I can
19		represent this is from Chairman Honigberg.
20		"Mr. Mullen, should we be comfortable with
21		the situation with iNATGAS?" And again you
22		respond affirmatively; is that correct?
23	Α.	Yes.
24	Q.	So in this hearing in October, Staff

			22
1		informed the Company informed Staff and	
2		the Commission of the cost status of the	
3		iNATGAS project; is that correct?	
4	Α.	Yes.	
5	Q.	Marked as Exhibit 74 is, again, an excerpt	
6		from the order that came out of that cost of	
7		gas proceeding. I've included Page 1 and	
8		Page 8. Page 8 has a section titled	
9		"iNATGAS"; is that correct?	
10	Α.	Yes.	
11	Q.	And it recaps the transcript that we just	
12		went through; right?	
13	A.	In that first paragraph, yes.	
14	Q.	And what's the very last couple lines where	
15		it mentions the cost of the iNATGAS project?	
16	A.	If you're referring to the last sentence of	
17		that paragraph	
18	Q.	Yes.	
19	A.	"Liberty committed to continue sharing	
20		information with Staff regarding the status	
21		of iNATGAS."	
22	Q.	And the sentence immediately previous to	
23		that.	
24	Α.	"Liberty expects iNATGAS to commence	

		22
1		operations by June 1, 2016, and provided a
2		narrative explanation of the contractual and
3		financial safeguards in place to protect
4		Liberty's investment to date of approximately
5		\$3 million in iNATGAS-related physical
6		plant."
7	Q.	It is correct that you, through the
8		testimony, informed the Company Staff and
9		Commission that \$3 million had been spent and
10		that another \$750,000 was expected to be
11		spent? Correct?
12	Α.	Yes, that was in the transcript.
13	Q.	Did Staff take any action with regard to that
14		information that you're aware of?
15	A.	No.
16	Q.	Did Staff, as far as you know, ask the
17		Company to stop or pause or rethink the
18		project?
19	Α.	No.
20	Q.	Did Staff communicate anything to the Company
21		suggesting it should change course at all
22		with regard to the iNATGAS project?
23	A.	No.
24	Q.	Exhibit 75 is a DCF printout. Do you have

Г

1that in front of you?2A. Yes, I do.3Q. And to orient you to make sure you have the4right one in front of you, the left column is5Minimum Take-or-Pay Level, and it has NPV of6\$213,000. Do you see that?7A. I do.8Q. And what can you tell us about this NPV?9What is your understanding of let me ask10you a question.11The testimony in the hearing was that12the original NPV, based on the \$2 million13estimate in the minimum take-or-pay, that was14relied on in approving the Special Contract.15There was testimony that, I think it was by16Mr. Clark or Mr. Hall, that if you increased17the investment in this DCF analysis to the18actual cost of roughly \$4 million at the19take-or-pay minimum, without the AFUDC, it20was still positive. Do you recall that21testimony?22A. I do.	22
 Q. And to orient you to make sure you have the right one in front of you, the left column is Minimum Take-or-Pay Level, and it has NPV of \$213,000. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And what can you tell us about this NPV? What is your understanding of let me ask you a question. The testimony in the hearing was that the original NPV, based on the \$2 million estimate in the minimum take-or-pay, that was relied on in approving the Special Contract. There was testimony that, I think it was by Mr. Clark or Mr. Hall, that if you increased the investment in this DCF analysis to the actual cost of roughly \$4 million at the take-or-pay minimum, without the AFUDC, it was still positive. Do you recall that testimony? 	
 right one in front of you, the left column is Minimum Take-or-Pay Level, and it has NPV of \$213,000. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And what can you tell us about this NPV? What is your understanding of let me ask you a question. The testimony in the hearing was that the original NPV, based on the \$2 million estimate in the minimum take-or-pay, that was relied on in approving the Special Contract. There was testimony that, I think it was by Mr. Clark or Mr. Hall, that if you increased the investment in this DCF analysis to the actual cost of roughly \$4 million at the take-or-pay minimum, without the AFUDC, it was still positive. Do you recall that testimony? 	
 Minimum Take-or-Pay Level, and it has NPV of \$213,000. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And what can you tell us about this NPV? What is your understanding of let me ask you a question. The testimony in the hearing was that the original NPV, based on the \$2 million estimate in the minimum take-or-pay, that was relied on in approving the Special Contract. There was testimony that, I think it was by Mr. Clark or Mr. Hall, that if you increased the investment in this DCF analysis to the actual cost of roughly \$4 million at the take-or-pay minimum, without the AFUDC, it was still positive. Do you recall that testimony? 	
 \$213,000. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And what can you tell us about this NPV? What is your understanding of let me ask you a question. The testimony in the hearing was that the original NPV, based on the \$2 million estimate in the minimum take-or-pay, that was relied on in approving the Special Contract. There was testimony that, I think it was by Mr. Clark or Mr. Hall, that if you increased the investment in this DCF analysis to the actual cost of roughly \$4 million at the take-or-pay minimum, without the AFUDC, it was still positive. Do you recall that testimony? 	
 7 A. I do. 8 Q. And what can you tell us about this NPV? 9 What is your understanding of let me ask 10 you a question. 11 The testimony in the hearing was that 12 the original NPV, based on the \$2 million 13 estimate in the minimum take-or-pay, that was 14 relied on in approving the Special Contract. 15 There was testimony that, I think it was by 16 Mr. Clark or Mr. Hall, that if you increased 17 the investment in this DCF analysis to the 18 actual cost of roughly \$4 million at the 19 take-or-pay minimum, without the AFUDC, it 20 was still positive. Do you recall that 21 testimony? 	
 Q. And what can you tell us about this NFV? What is your understanding of let me ask you a question. The testimony in the hearing was that the original NPV, based on the \$2 million estimate in the minimum take-or-pay, that was relied on in approving the Special Contract. There was testimony that, I think it was by Mr. Clark or Mr. Hall, that if you increased the investment in this DCF analysis to the actual cost of roughly \$4 million at the take-or-pay minimum, without the AFUDC, it was still positive. Do you recall that testimony? 	
 9 What is your understanding of let me ask 10 you a question. 11 The testimony in the hearing was that 12 the original NPV, based on the \$2 million 13 estimate in the minimum take-or-pay, that was 14 relied on in approving the Special Contract. 15 There was testimony that, I think it was by 16 Mr. Clark or Mr. Hall, that if you increased 17 the investment in this DCF analysis to the 18 actual cost of roughly \$4 million at the 19 take-or-pay minimum, without the AFUDC, it 20 was still positive. Do you recall that 21 testimony? 	
10 you a question. 11 The testimony in the hearing was that 12 the original NPV, based on the \$2 million 13 estimate in the minimum take-or-pay, that was 14 relied on in approving the Special Contract. 15 There was testimony that, I think it was by 16 Mr. Clark or Mr. Hall, that if you increased 17 the investment in this DCF analysis to the 18 actual cost of roughly \$4 million at the 19 take-or-pay minimum, without the AFUDC, it 20 was still positive. Do you recall that 21 testimony?	
11 The testimony in the hearing was that 12 the original NPV, based on the \$2 million 13 estimate in the minimum take-or-pay, that was 14 relied on in approving the Special Contract. 15 There was testimony that, I think it was by 16 Mr. Clark or Mr. Hall, that if you increased 17 the investment in this DCF analysis to the 18 actual cost of roughly \$4 million at the 19 take-or-pay minimum, without the AFUDC, it 20 was still positive. Do you recall that 21 testimony?	
12 the original NPV, based on the \$2 million 13 estimate in the minimum take-or-pay, that was 14 relied on in approving the Special Contract. 15 There was testimony that, I think it was by 16 Mr. Clark or Mr. Hall, that if you increased 17 the investment in this DCF analysis to the 18 actual cost of roughly \$4 million at the 19 take-or-pay minimum, without the AFUDC, it 20 was still positive. Do you recall that 21 testimony?	
estimate in the minimum take-or-pay, that was relied on in approving the Special Contract. There was testimony that, I think it was by Mr. Clark or Mr. Hall, that if you increased the investment in this DCF analysis to the actual cost of roughly \$4 million at the take-or-pay minimum, without the AFUDC, it was still positive. Do you recall that testimony?	
14 relied on in approving the Special Contract. 15 There was testimony that, I think it was by 16 Mr. Clark or Mr. Hall, that if you increased 17 the investment in this DCF analysis to the 18 actual cost of roughly \$4 million at the 19 take-or-pay minimum, without the AFUDC, it 20 was still positive. Do you recall that 21 testimony?	
15 There was testimony that, I think it was by 16 Mr. Clark or Mr. Hall, that if you increased 17 the investment in this DCF analysis to the 18 actual cost of roughly \$4 million at the 19 take-or-pay minimum, without the AFUDC, it 20 was still positive. Do you recall that 21 testimony?	
16 Mr. Clark or Mr. Hall, that if you increased 17 the investment in this DCF analysis to the 18 actual cost of roughly \$4 million at the 19 take-or-pay minimum, without the AFUDC, it 20 was still positive. Do you recall that 21 testimony?	
17 the investment in this DCF analysis to the 18 actual cost of roughly \$4 million at the 19 take-or-pay minimum, without the AFUDC, it 20 was still positive. Do you recall that 21 testimony?	
18 actual cost of roughly \$4 million at the 19 take-or-pay minimum, without the AFUDC, it 20 was still positive. Do you recall that 21 testimony?	
19 take-or-pay minimum, without the AFUDC, it 20 was still positive. Do you recall that 21 testimony?	
20 was still positive. Do you recall that 21 testimony?	
21 testimony?	
-	
22 A. T. do.	
23 Q. And is this that DCF analysis we have marked	
24 as Exhibit 75?	

1	Α.	Yes. And if you turn to what would be the
2		second page that has some text in blue and in
3		red, on Line 10 you will see in red the
4		dreaded AFUDC acronym we've talked about.
5		And the line there now has no dollars in for
6		AFUDC. That is the only change to this, as
7		compared to the earlier exhibit that was
8		discussed at length.
9	Q.	And do you know if the Company has at least
10		informally calculated the effect on net
11		present value if we applied the current tax
12		rate to this analysis?
13	Α.	Yes. Although I don't have those numbers in
14		front of me, that would make the result more
15		positive.
16	Q.	And that also contains the updated capital
17		structure of the Company; is that correct?
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	The next topic, although the horse is pretty
20		dead by now, this training center, the only
21		thing I wanted to ask you about is the as
22		I said in my questions with Mr. Iqbal, there
23		were many, many, many discovery requests in
24		all the various dockets about the costs

		22
1		related to the training center. You agree?
2	A.	The capital costs or training costs?
3	Q.	The training costs.
4	A.	Actually, there's been a lot on both. But
5		yes, there were a number on training costs.
6	Q.	Is it fair to say you were the person
7		answering and/or the point person for
8		probably all of them?
9	Α.	Depends on which docket you're referring to
10		because a lot of these refer to prior dockets
11		where it may have been Mr. Smith who filed
12		rebuttal testimony with me on this same topic
13		in the Granite State Electric rate case. He
14		also provided some discovery responses in the
15		affiliate lease docket.
16	Q.	And what became a focus during this hearing
17		was the Table 2 in Mr. Iqbal's testimony,
18		those various costs, training costs, hours,
19		et cetera. And just most recently in the
20		final questions between Mr. Dexter and Mr.
21		Iqbal, there was discussion about a data
22		request that was answered in a way that seems
23		like there was a disconnect between what was
24		asked and what was answered. Do you recall

1		that exchange?
2	A.	Oh, yes.
3	Q.	Can you explain at least what the Company's
4		perspective was in providing the information
5		that resulted in the hours listed in Table 2?
6	Α.	Okay. Well, now we have a history lesson as
7		to where this first started.
8		As mentioned in my rebuttal testimony,
9		this is the fourth docket where the training
10		center has been discussed. In the initial
11		docket where it was discussed, EnergyNorth's
12		last rate case, DG 14-180, there was some
13		discovery provided in that proceeding that
14		gave historical information about training
15		costs incurred to attend training at National
16		Grid's facility in Millbury, Massachusetts.
17		That was all done in the form of text in a
18		discovery response. With each subsequent
19		case that's come up, there's been a request
20		to update that information. And it was
21		provided in a spreadsheet form, and that
22		spreadsheet has kind of lived on. However,
23		that is not really the way that we track it.
24		But we've been asked to provide it in the

1		same format so, you know, you can follow it.
2		And it still has mandatory technical
3		training. It has health and safety training,
4		same sort of categories. So that's been
5		brought forward in each docket. And the
6		request has been to update that information
7		and provide it in the same format. That's
8		what we've done. Now
9	Q.	Let me just stop you there. Is that most
10		recent incarnation of the update what Mr.
11		Iqbal said he used as the source for the
12		hours that appear in Table 2?
13	Α.	I believe that is the most recent one, yes.
14	Q.	Okay.
15	Α.	So, as that's been brought forward now, that
16		is also not facility-specific 118 some of
17		that information goes to 2013, 2014. The
18		training center came online at the end of
19		March of 2015. So it was basically trying to
20		grab like dollars for like types of training
21		to put information in the same format.
22		However, as has been discussed in my
23		testimony in this proceeding, as well as
24		rebuttal testimony that I filed with

1		Mr. Smith in the Granite State Electric rate
2		case, we are doing more training. We are
3		doing other types of training. So, trying to
4		capture I mean, there's lots of different
5		ways to capture training costs, and it's all
6		a matter of how you slice it.
7		What we've tried to do in responding to
8		these questions over the course of these
9		cases is to provide information based on what
10		has been asked in a similar format. So, you
11		know, even in this proceeding I was asked to
12		provide a breakdown of the roughly 4,000
13		hours of training at the training center.
14		Well, that's a different group of information
15		compared to what was in the other spreadsheet
16		that Mr. Iqbal was relying on. Some of that
17		may be duplicative, some of it may not be,
18		118 the spreadsheet Mr. Iqbal is relying on,
19		again, isn't facility-specific, whereas the
20		other question was facility-specific.
21	Q.	Let me stop you there. So, Mr. Iqbal was
22		reasonably relying on the information we were
23		providing. And you're now saying that
24		perhaps that data request was doesn't

1		include some types of training that you
2		described in your when did you describe
3		that disclosure or discuss the other kinds of
4		training that the Company was doing that may
5		not be in the spreadsheet that Mr. Iqbal was
6		citing?
7	Α.	It was in a first mention would have been
8		in a prior proceeding. My best recollection,
9		at least in terms of testimony, would have
10		been in the Granite State rate case, DE
11		16-383, in the rebuttal testimony.
12	Q.	To put a label on this "other training," is
13		it what we have often referred to as the "CSR
14		training" up at the training center?
15	Α.	It's like that. And that's the sort of thing
16		where the CSRs will go up to the training
17		center, get some technical training that they
18		otherwise wouldn't have gotten. But those
19		are the types of things that would not be in
20		that other spreadsheet that had categories
21		for mandatory technical training, health and
22		safety training. So those when the
23		spreadsheet was filed, you know, we tried to
24		keep again, when asked to provide

		2
1		information in the same format, that's what
2		we tried to do.
3	Q.	Was there any intent to not provide Staff the
4		information it was requesting about the
5		training center?
6	Α.	Absolutely not.
7	Q.	Going to your reference to 4,000 hours, that
8		comes from your testimony in 16-393; is that
9		correct?
10	Α.	That was the first place it showed up, yes.
11	Q.	And when it lists the 4,000 hours, does it
12		describe what employees were using those 400
13		[sic], gas versus electric? Does it make a
14		that distinction?
15	Α.	I think it says gas and electric. And I
16		think it also actually, I can refer to the
17		testimony if that would be helpful.
18	Q.	Sure.
19		(Witness reviews document.)
20	Α.	And this is my rebuttal testimony no.
21		Sorry. Wrong one. This is my June 30th
22		testimony in this proceeding, which I believe
23		is Exhibit 13. And the relevant Q and A
24		starts on Line 5 of Bates 25 in that

		230
1		testimony. The testimony that portion of
2		the testimony is in italics, which, when I
3		filed that testimony, was my way of
4		demonstrating that this had been provided in
5		the DE 16-383 proceeding.
6	Q.	And again, the specific quote as to what was
7		included in those 4,000 hours.
8	Α.	It really starts on Line 7 of that page
9		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Before you
10		go on, I'm sorry, Mr. Sheehan. It seems like
11		none of us can find Mr. Mullen's testimony, the
12		original. So maybe we can get some help off the
13		record. Let's go off the record.
14		(Discussion off the record)
15		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We'll go
16		back on the record.
17	BY M	R. SHEEHAN:
18	Q.	Again, Mr. Mullen, the question is there's a
19		reference to 4,000 hours in this June 30th
20		testimony. Just read the sentence that says
21		the 4,000 hours, and if you can provide
22		context of what that testimony said it
23		included.
24	Α.	The context really starts a few lines before

			23
1		that. So what I plan to do is read from Line	
2		7 through 14.	
3	Q.	Certainly.	
4	A.	Leading to that was a question that said,	
5		"Other than training of gas and electric	
6		employees, how has and how will the training	
7		center be used?"	
8		And the answer that starts on Line 7	
9		says, "In addition to almost daily usage for	
10		training of gas and electric employees, the	
11		training center has been and will continue to	
12		be used to train other Liberty employees on	
13		the basics of gas and electricity. To date,	
14		many customer contact center and office	
15		employees have gone through this beneficial	
16		training to provide them a better	
17		understanding of the electric and gas utility	
18		industries. This is training that would not	
19		otherwise have occurred with the use of an	
20		outside training facility was required due to	
21		limited availability. During 2016, Liberty	
22		gas and electric employees received 116	
23		sessions of training, totaling 4,095 hours at	
24		the training center."	

		-
1	Q.	Okay. Thank you. And then in your testimony
2		at the outset of this case, you carried that
3		4,000 hours into Mr. Iqbal's Table 2 to do
4		some math. Was that appropriate?
5	Α.	I think Mr. Iqbal classified that as an
6		"off-the-cuff calculation," and I think
7		that's a correct characterization of what I
8		did. The main point I was trying to make was
9		that the numbers in the spreadsheet he relied
10		on were too low.
11	Q.	And the reason the off-the-cuff wasn't the
12		right thing to do is because, as you just
13		quoted, that 4,000 includes both gas and
14		electric.
15	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	And so if there was a gas-only portion of
17		that 4,000, it would be something less than
18		4,000.
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	And I believe Mr. Iqbal actually came up
21		with, and I don't mean that in a pejorative
22		way, a number of 1900 hours attributable to
23		gas employees. Do you recall that?
24	A.	Yes. And that was derived right from the

Γ

			2
1		spreadsheet we provided.	
2	Q.	Okay. So, suffice to say the point, as you	
3		just said, that you were trying to make is	
4		that Table 2 should include more hours than	
5		is listed in Table 2.	
6	Α.	Yes.	
7	Q.	And if more hours were included, that would	
8		lower the per-hour cost listed in Table 2.	
9	Α.	All else being equal, yes.	
10	Q.	Thank you.	
11		One question on the subject of the topic	
12		of depreciation. Mr. Normand talked about a	
13		data request response he received on which he	
14		based his conclusions about computer	
15		software. Do you recall that?	
16	Α.	I do.	
17	Q.	Can you explain what he was talking about?	
18	Α.	Typically when we hire a consultant,	
19		especially to work on a rate case, they will	
20		send the Company their own data request	
21		saying, I need this information to do my	
22		work, I need that information. So when he	
23		was referring to what I believe was LU 1-6,	
24		that was information that he sent to Liberty	

		2
1		saying or a question he sent to Liberty
2		saying I need information. That particular
3		one would have been related to the Company's
4		computer software.
5	Q.	Do you recall receiving that particular
6		question?
7	Α.	I recall not specifically the question, but I
8		recall being involved in the preparation of
9		the information that was provided in
10		response.
11	Q.	And you heard Mr. Iqbal say that it was
12		unsubstantiated information; correct?
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	Can you tell us what you did to provide that
15		information to Mr. Normand?
16	A.	Mr. Normand requested a list of all the items
17		that were in our software account, Account
18		303. With that, we provided the information,
19		as well as a breakdown of the average lives.
20		And this information well, I shouldn't say
21		average. The life that was recommended was
22		based on the people at the Company who used
23		that software on a day-to-day basis and are
24		familiar with how long it's expected to be

Γ

			2
1		useful.	
2	Q.	Did you collect this information within the	
3		Company?	
4	Α.	I did.	
5	Q.	So you actually called Person X and said, Are	
6		you using software Y? Tell me about it and	
7		how long it will last, and those kinds of	
8		questions?	
9	Α.	Yes. Went out to different departments,	
10		depending on their particular software.	
11	Q.	And you collected that information and sent	
12		it along to Mr. Normand.	
13	Α.	Yes.	
14	Q.	Was that information available to Staff?	
15	Α.	Certainly.	
16	Q.	Next topic, Keene production costs. During	
17		Staff's testimony about the production costs,	
18		there was a suggestion, and I think even	
19		perhaps a question from the Bench was asked:	
20		Is there sufficient information in the record	
21		on which to make a decision about whether the	
22		Keene production costs are prudent? And by	
23		"production costs" I'm referring to the	
24		so-called "response costs" to the	

 December 2015 incident and the so-called "24/7 costs" to man the facility. Do you recall that back-and-forth conversation? A. Yes. I don't remember if the specific words were on the record or provided in this proceeding. But yes. Q. Do you think the Company has presented sufficient evidence in this record on which the Commission can decide whether those costs are prudent? A. And that's why I make the distinction between "in the record," 118 a lot of these are in discovery responses which have not been entered into the record. The Company certainly provided a lot of information for review by Staff in response to discovery questions. Plus, there is information in other proceedings that have already been held before the Commission on these very topics. Q. Is there a part of the filing, the initial filing in this docket, that specifically mentions the Keene production costs, and in particular, the permanent rate testimony of 			4
 recall that back-and-forth conversation? A. Yes. I don't remember if the specific words were on the record or provided in this proceeding. But yes. Q. Do you think the Company has presented sufficient evidence in this record on which the Commission can decide whether those costs are prudent? A. And that's why I make the distinction between "in the record," 118 a lot of these are in discovery responses which have not been entered into the record. The Company certainly provided a lot of information for review by Staff in response to discovery questions. Plus, there is information in other proceedings that have already been held before the Commission on these very topics. Q. Is there a part of the filing, the initial filing in this docket, that specifically mentions the Keene production costs, and in 	1		December 2015 incident and the so-called
 A. Yes. I don't remember if the specific words were on the record or provided in this proceeding. But yes. Q. Do you think the Company has presented sufficient evidence in this record on which the Commission can decide whether those costs are prudent? A. And that's why I make the distinction between "in the record," 118 a lot of these are in discovery responses which have not been entered into the record. The Company certainly provided a lot of information for review by Staff in response to discovery questions. Plus, there is information in other proceedings that have already been held before the Commission on these very topics. Q. Is there a part of the filing, the initial filing in this docket, that specifically mentions the Keene production costs, and in 	2		"24/7 costs" to man the facility. Do you
 were on the record or provided in this proceeding. But yes. Q. Do you think the Company has presented sufficient evidence in this record on which the Commission can decide whether those costs are prudent? A. And that's why I make the distinction between "in the record," 118 a lot of these are in discovery responses which have not been entered into the record. The Company certainly provided a lot of information for review by Staff in response to discovery questions. Plus, there is information in other proceedings that have already been held before the Commission on these very topics. Q. Is there a part of the filing, the initial filing in this docket, that specifically mentions the Keene production costs, and in 	3		recall that back-and-forth conversation?
 proceeding. But yes. Q. Do you think the Company has presented sufficient evidence in this record on which the Commission can decide whether those costs are prudent? A. And that's why I make the distinction between "in the record," 118 a lot of these are in discovery responses which have not been entered into the record. The Company certainly provided a lot of information for review by Staff in response to discovery questions. Plus, there is information in other proceedings that have already been held before the Commission on these very topics. Q. Is there a part of the filing, the initial filing in this docket, that specifically mentions the Keene production costs, and in 	4	A.	Yes. I don't remember if the specific words
 Q. Do you think the Company has presented sufficient evidence in this record on which the Commission can decide whether those costs are prudent? A. And that's why I make the distinction between "in the record," 118 a lot of these are in discovery responses which have not been entered into the record. The Company certainly provided a lot of information for review by Staff in response to discovery questions. Plus, there is information in other proceedings that have already been held before the Commission on these very topics. Q. Is there a part of the filing, the initial filing in this docket, that specifically mentions the Keene production costs, and in 	5		were on the record or provided in this
 8 sufficient evidence in this record on which 9 the Commission can decide whether those costs 10 are prudent? 11 A. And that's why I make the distinction between 12 "in the record," 118 a lot of these are in 13 discovery responses which have not been 14 entered into the record. 15 The Company certainly provided a lot of 16 information for review by Staff in response 17 to discovery questions. Plus, there is 18 information in other proceedings that have 19 already been held before the Commission on 20 these very topics. 21 Q. Is there a part of the filing, the initial 21 filing in this docket, that specifically 23 mentions the Keene production costs, and in 	6		proceeding. But yes.
 the Commission can decide whether those costs are prudent? A. And that's why I make the distinction between "in the record," 118 a lot of these are in discovery responses which have not been entered into the record. The Company certainly provided a lot of information for review by Staff in response to discovery questions. Plus, there is information in other proceedings that have already been held before the Commission on these very topics. Q. Is there a part of the filing, the initial filing in this docket, that specifically mentions the Keene production costs, and in 	7	Q.	Do you think the Company has presented
 10 are prudent? A. And that's why I make the distinction between 12 "in the record," 118 a lot of these are in 13 discovery responses which have not been 14 entered into the record. 15 The Company certainly provided a lot of 16 information for review by Staff in response 17 to discovery questions. Plus, there is 18 information in other proceedings that have 19 already been held before the Commission on 20 these very topics. 21 Q. Is there a part of the filing, the initial 22 filing in this docket, that specifically 23 mentions the Keene production costs, and in 	8		sufficient evidence in this record on which
 A. And that's why I make the distinction between "in the record," 118 a lot of these are in discovery responses which have not been entered into the record. The Company certainly provided a lot of information for review by Staff in response to discovery questions. Plus, there is information in other proceedings that have already been held before the Commission on these very topics. Q. Is there a part of the filing, the initial filing in this docket, that specifically mentions the Keene production costs, and in 	9		the Commission can decide whether those costs
 12 "in the record," 118 a lot of these are in 13 discovery responses which have not been 14 entered into the record. 15 The Company certainly provided a lot of 16 information for review by Staff in response 17 to discovery questions. Plus, there is 18 information in other proceedings that have 19 already been held before the Commission on 20 these very topics. 21 Q. Is there a part of the filing, the initial 22 filing in this docket, that specifically 23 mentions the Keene production costs, and in 	10		are prudent?
 discovery responses which have not been entered into the record. The Company certainly provided a lot of information for review by Staff in response to discovery questions. Plus, there is information in other proceedings that have already been held before the Commission on these very topics. Q. Is there a part of the filing, the initial filing in this docket, that specifically mentions the Keene production costs, and in 	11	Α.	And that's why I make the distinction between
 14 entered into the record. 15 The Company certainly provided a lot of 16 information for review by Staff in response 17 to discovery questions. Plus, there is 18 information in other proceedings that have 19 already been held before the Commission on 20 these very topics. 21 Q. Is there a part of the filing, the initial 22 filing in this docket, that specifically 23 mentions the Keene production costs, and in 	12		"in the record," 118 a lot of these are in
 The Company certainly provided a lot of information for review by Staff in response to discovery questions. Plus, there is information in other proceedings that have already been held before the Commission on these very topics. Q. Is there a part of the filing, the initial filing in this docket, that specifically mentions the Keene production costs, and in 	13		discovery responses which have not been
16 information for review by Staff in response 17 to discovery questions. Plus, there is 18 information in other proceedings that have 19 already been held before the Commission on 20 these very topics. 21 Q. Is there a part of the filing, the initial 22 filing in this docket, that specifically 23 mentions the Keene production costs, and in	14		entered into the record.
 to discovery questions. Plus, there is information in other proceedings that have already been held before the Commission on these very topics. Q. Is there a part of the filing, the initial filing in this docket, that specifically mentions the Keene production costs, and in 	15		The Company certainly provided a lot of
18 information in other proceedings that have already been held before the Commission on these very topics. 20 Is there a part of the filing, the initial filing in this docket, that specifically mentions the Keene production costs, and in	16		information for review by Staff in response
19 already been held before the Commission on 20 these very topics. 21 Q. Is there a part of the filing, the initial 22 filing in this docket, that specifically 23 mentions the Keene production costs, and in	17		to discovery questions. Plus, there is
20 these very topics. 21 Q. Is there a part of the filing, the initial 22 filing in this docket, that specifically 23 mentions the Keene production costs, and in	18		information in other proceedings that have
21 Q. Is there a part of the filing, the initial 22 filing in this docket, that specifically 23 mentions the Keene production costs, and in	19		already been held before the Commission on
filing in this docket, that specifically mentions the Keene production costs, and in	20		these very topics.
23 mentions the Keene production costs, and in	21	Q.	Is there a part of the filing, the initial
	22		filing in this docket, that specifically
24 particular, the permanent rate testimony of	23		mentions the Keene production costs, and in
	24		particular, the permanent rate testimony of

			237
1		Mr. Dane and Mr. Simek?	
2	A.	Yes.	
3	Q.	And where would the Commission find that	
4		testimony?	
5	Α.	Again, that's the permanent rate testimony of	
6		Mr. Simek and Mr. Dane. I don't know,	
7		offhand, what the exhibit number is for that.	
8		But it's Bates 26 of that testimony. I	
9		believe it's Exhibit 3.	
10		(Witness reviews document.)	
11	Q.	And on Bates Page 26, the first question on	
12		that page is, "What adjustments were made to	
13		Keene's amortization expense?" Is that	
14		correct?	
15	Α.	Yes.	
16	Q.	And the Commission can certainly read the	
17		answer for themselves. But it does mention	
18		amortization of costs incurred in	
19		December 2015 related to the incident and a	
20		total of \$116,000. Do you see that?	
21	Α.	Yes.	
22	Q.	And it references a schedule which appears on	
23		Bates Page 64; is that correct?	
24	Α.	I believe it's Page 63.	

1	Q.	You're correct. Bates 63 lists two
2		categories of costs. Can you read those to
3		us, please?
4	Α.	On Line 2 there's a line for 2016 Keene
5		Production Costs, 148,410. On Line 3,
6		there's December 2015, Keene Incident,
7		\$201,000. I believe this schedule may have
8		been updated during the proceeding, but this
9		was the initial filing.
10	Q.	And there's a reference in the testimony of
11		how the Company proposed to treat that cost,
12		and that has since changed in the settlement
13		agreement; is that correct?
14	Α.	Yes. We initially, consistent with prior
15		Commission guidance in a cost of gas
16		proceeding, we included those costs in the
17		distribution rate case. And our initial
18		proposal was to amortize them over three
19		years as part of distribution rates.
20	Q.	As part of this rate case, did the audit
21		division look into these response costs, the
22		24/7 and the response costs?
23	Α.	Yes. Well, the 24/7 costs were reviewed as
24		part of a cost of gas reconciliation.

1	Q.	Okay. And did they issue a report on that
2		topic?
3	Α.	On the production costs, yes. The Keene
4		response costs were included in the overall
5		audit report they did as part of this DG
6		17-048 rate proceeding.
7	Q.	So there are several pages in the audit
8		report discussing those costs.
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q.	Is evidence related to these costs attached
11		to Mr. Frink's testimony?
12	Α.	Attached to Mr. Frink's testimony are, I
13		believe, a Staff recommendation related to
14		production costs, as well as a copy of the
15		Staff's report on the investigation into the
16		December 2015 incident.
17		MR. SHEEHAN: I prepared and put
18		in front of you a binder with a series of data
19		requests over various proceedings that relate to
20		this issue. For the rest of the room's benefit,
21		I have not made copies 118 I do not intend to
22		it's just to highlight the questions asked and
23		answered. Certainly, if anyone wants copies, we
24		can make them. But it's lengthy, and I was

			2
1		burning up the printer last night.	
2	BY M	R. SHEEHAN:	
3	Q.	So if you could just flip through what we	
4		prepared and list the case and the data	
5		request data, just what was asked by Staff	
6		related to production for 24/7 costs.	
7	A.	Yes. And this really relates to information	
8		provided in two proceedings. First was the	
9		DG 16-812, which is the winter cost of gas	
10		proceeding	
11	Q.	Just for reference, that was a proceeding in	
12		which the Company proposed to include those	
13		costs in the cost of gas and Staff objected	
14		and it resulted in discovery and a settlement	
15		agreement in spring of 2017; is that correct?	
16	A.	Yes.	
17	Q.	So these data requests were exchanged during	
18		the progress of that particular case; is that	
19		right?	
20	Α.	That's correct.	
21	Q.	And without any great detail, just if you	
22		could highlight the information that Staff	
23		was requesting in those data requests.	
24	Α.	Yes, and I can kind of summarize this.	

1		In that proceeding there was responses
2		in four sets of discovery related to some
3		background for the prior period adjustment in
4		the cost of gas reconciliation that had to do
5		with production cost. There are a number of
6		questions related to the rationale
7		24-hour/7-day-a-week staffing. There was
8		information about some other blower failures
9		at the plant. There was some more
10		information about plans to convert to CNG,
11		without getting into the details of every
12		single one of these.
13	Q.	But there are dozens of questions just in
14		that series; correct?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	Is there another source of authority under
17		which the Company could be entitled to
18		recover these production costs?
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	And what is that?
21	A.	That stems from the DG 14-155 proceeding
22		where Liberty acquired New Hampshire Gas.
23	Q.	I marked as Exhibit 76 the first page and the
24		fourth page of that settlement agreement.

			24
1		And is that what you're referring to on that	
2		second page, which is Page 4 of the	
3		settlement agreement?	
4	Α.	Yes.	
5	Q.	And where should we look?	
6	A.	In Section 5, right in the middle of the	
7		page.	
8	Q.	That section generally talks about the	
9		\$200,000 that EnergyNorth would charge Keene	
10		for general administrative expenses; correct?	
11	Α.	Yes.	
12	Q.	And what is relevant to this topic?	
13	A.	The second sentence in that section discusses	
14		what's included in that \$200,000. Actually,	
15		I'm going to read the sentence. It says,	
16		"This charge shall include all costs for	
17		management services provided to the Keene	
18		Division, such as legal, regulatory, finance	
19		and human resources, but shall not include	
20		the cost of any mutual aid for emergency	
21		services or services for other events outside	
22		of normal business operations, which shall be	
23		billed separately by EnergyNorth to the Keene	
24		Division."	

			24
1	Q.	I mentioned a minute ago that the issue of	
2		recovering these costs was initially raised,	
3		as you were talking about, in the 16-812 cost	
4		of gas proceeding which resulted in a	
5		settlement that went before the Commission at	
6		a hearing in the spring of 2017. Do you	
7		recall that?	
8	Α.	Yes.	
9	Q.	Were you present at that hearing?	
10	A.	I don't believe I was.	
11	Q.	Okay. Then I will stop there. I have only	
12		one copy of the transcript and order, and	
13		I'll save that for closing.	
14		In Staff's case on the issue of these	
15		costs, they introduced a data response by Mr.	
16		Brouillard, in which he again, I'm	
17		paraphrasing said we've made many	
18		improvements to the Keene system, but there's	
19		still an element of risk, and that Company	
20		believes that that element of risk should be	
21		satisfied by continuing the 24/7 coverage.	
22		Do you recall that?	
23	Α.	Yes.	
24	Q.	Do you recall Mr. Frink's testimony basically	

			2
1		disagreeing with that assessment, that the	
2		risk was so small, it was unreasonable to	
3		continue the 24/7 coverage?	
4	Α.	Yes, I recall that.	
5	Q.	I've marked as Exhibit 77 another data	
6		response of Mr. Brouillard in the context of	
7		that cost of gas proceeding. Do you have	
8		that in front of you?	
9	Α.	Yes. You're referring to Request to Staff	
10		3-4 in 16-312.	
11	Q.	Correct. And the question is about were	
12		there any blower system failures after the	
13		large December 2015 event; correct?	
14	Α.	Yes.	
15	Q.	And there are two listed. The first is	
16		February of 2016, and the second is October	
17		of 2016. Do you see that?	
18	Α.	I do.	
19	Q.	Again, the Commission can read this for	
20		themselves. But the October '16 event was a	
21		failure of the blower system that did not	
22		recover automatically; is that correct? And	
23		if you look at, in particular, the first	
24		large paragraph on Page 2 of 3	

		2
1	А.	Excuse me while I just read the paragraph
2		here.
3		(Witness reviews document.)
4	Α.	The answer to your question is yes.
5	Q.	And this was in October of 2016 as the
6		'16-'17 heating season was ramping up;
7		correct?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	Next topic. I asked, I think it was Mr.
10		Frink, to compare the rates proposed in the
11		settlement agreement to Northern's existing
12		rates. And Mr. Frink thought that was an
13		inappropriate comparison. Do you recall
14		that?
15	Α.	I do.
16	Q.	Do you have any comments on that?
17	Α.	I think that it's while I can certainly
18		understand Mr. Frink's comment about having
19		different cost of service, I think that
20		comparisons like that are done all the time.
21		Particularly, I think Mr. Clark would
22		probably be, you know, the one to be able to
23		really speak to this. But when businesses
24		are looking to locate somewhere or looking at

1		their available options, whether it be for
2		natural gas, whether it be for alternative
3		fuels, one of the questions they will go
4		through is: How much will it cost me here if
5		I use this? How much will it cost me here if
6		I use that? Or how much will it cost me in
7		this area of the state versus that area of
8		the state?
9	Q.	And the exchange with counsel and Mr. Frink
10		then suggests well, he said the proposed
11		settlement rate increase would not result in
12		just and reasonable rates; is that correct?
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	And yet, they would be rates that were still
15		lower, but comparable to Northern's rates.
16	Α.	Correct.
17	Q.	If the Commission were to approve the rates
18		proposed by Staff, do you think those would
19		result in just and reasonable rates?
20	Α.	I do not.
21	Q.	What do you think the impact would be if the
22		Staff's proposed rates were approved?
23	Α.	Well, given that Staff's proposed revenue
24		deficiency is below temporary rates, that

1		would first, you know, require the Company to
2		refund money. Second, what would happen is
3		the capital budget would have to be
4		reassessed, and there would have to be some
5		costs come out of that. And undoubtedly what
6		would also have to happen is that it would
7		impact staffing.
8	Q.	Those are all the questions I have. Thank
9		you.
10		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Just before
11		you give up the microphone, Mr. Sheehan, you
12		asked Mr. Mullen about a number of data requests
13		and responses from another docket. You said
14		there was a pile. You had him summarize what
15		was in them. I just want to make sure you're
16		satisfied with the state of the record on that.
17		MR. SHEEHAN: Yes, and the reason
18		is they're not in the record here, but the point
19		was the information is available. Staff had a
20		lot of it in this proceeding. They had every
21		opportunity to make an assessment of prudence,
22		and they took the
23		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Are those
24		data
	-	

Γ

	24
1	(Court Reporter interrupts.)
2	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'm sorry.
3	That's my fault.
4	MR. SHEEHAN: And they took the
5	steps that they chose to.
6	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Are any of
7	those data responses exhibits in any other
8	docket?
9	MR. SHEEHAN: Oh, exhibits.
10	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I was just
11	reminding you that
12	MR. SHEEHAN: Yes. Fair enough.
13	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: if
14	they're just data requests and responses,
15	they're not part of a record.
16	MR. SHEEHAN: And it's yes, I
17	think I will go through those and pull an
18	appropriate selection to show the evidence that
19	supports the prudence of those costs and make
20	that an exhibit that I can have ready for the
21	Commission tomorrow morning.
22	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I think you
23	should if you want to make some of those an
24	exhibit, why don't you have Mr. Mullen do what

Γ

			24
1		it is you want to do with them now.	
2		MR. SHEEHAN: Okay.	
3	BY M	R. SHEEHAN:	
4	Q.	Mr. Mullen, let's begin with DR set 2 in	
5		16-812 in that binder, Page 11.	
6	Α.	Okay.	
7	Q.	Turn to Page 13. What data request is that?	
8	Α.	That is the response to Staff 2-1.	
9	Q.	What information does that provide related to	
10		24/7 staffing?	
11	Α.	The request was for a schedule that details	
12		the monthly cost of operating the Keene	
13		production plant under the new policy (manned	
14		presence, 7 days a week, 24 hours a day)	
15		versus the cost of operating the plant prior	
16		to that change in policy.	
17	Q.	And did the Company provide that data?	
18	A.	Yes.	
19	Q.	The next data request is at 2-2. What is	
20		that request?	
21	A.	Requested a schedule that details the	
22		estimated monthly cost of operating the Keene	
23		production plant under the new policy versus	
24		the prior policy for the months of	

Γ

			25
1		October '16 through April of 2018.	
2	Q.	Did the Company provide that information?	
3	Α.	Yes, it was provided referred to in	
4		response to the prior question.	
5	Q.	Turn to Data Request 2-3. What information	
6		does that seek?	
7	A.	This a request for the rationale for the	
8		change in policy, when the change was	
9		implemented and who determined the change was	
10		necessary.	
11	Q.	Could you summarize that response for the	
12		record?	
13	Α.	Yes. In summary form, the Company explains	
14		that the decision to temporarily staff the	
15		plant 24/7 was made shortly following the	
16		December 19, 2015 incident. And during the	
17		subsequent two weeks following the incident,	
18		the Company initiated permanent steps to	
19		place the operation of the plant under the	
20		director of gas production. There's some	
21		further information saying that this decision	
22		was discussed and jointly agreed to during a	
23		meeting in early January, which included the	
24		president of Liberty Utilities New Hampshire,	

1		the Director of Gas Production, the Director
2		of Gas Operations, Director of Engineering
3		and the Manager of Keene Operations.
4	Q.	The data request that begins on Page 19 of
5		that package, what number is that?
6	A.	Staff 2-7.
7	Q.	And what information does that seek?
8	Α.	The question was: "Since the December 2015
9		operational event at the plant, please
10		explain in detail each change and enhancement
11		to the control systems at the plant. And for
12		each change and enhancement, please provide
13		the date implemented, the cost, both capital
14		and operational, and the expected benefits."
15	Q.	And was that information provided?
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	Next page, what data request is that?
18	Α.	Staff 2-8.
19	Q.	And what is that request?
20	A.	Said, "Given the changes and enhancements to
21		the control systems since the 2015
22		operational event, please explain why Liberty
23		continues to man the plant seven hours"
24		"7/24 [sic]."

1	Q.	And is that the response of Mr. Brouillard
2		that was referred to later in this docket, or
3		similar to that?
4	Α.	Yes, I believe it is.
5	Q.	Next set is Set 3. Turn to Page 25 of that
6		package. What number is that and what does
7		it request?
8	Α.	This is response to Staff 3-1, and this
9		requested a risk assessment that describes
10		each of the specific risks, both public
11		safety and financial, that the new policy,
12		(around-the-clock manning of the production
13		facility) is intended to address.
14	Q.	And that was responded to as well?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	The question on Page 26, what does it ask?
17	A.	It references some 12 enhancements to the
18		production facility since implementing the
19		new policy, and explain why these measures do
20		not adequately address the risks identified
21		in the response to Staff 3-1, and to explain,
22		with those new enhancements, to explain what
23		the protocols would be for addressing the
24		risks under both the old and new policy and

		2
1		what the difference in response time and cost
2		exposure would be under old and new policies.
3	Q.	On Page 36 I believe is the data request that
4		we just introduced into the evidence about
5		the October 2015 event; is that correct?
6	Α.	Yes, that's Staff 3-4.
7	Q.	Page 41, what information does that seek?
8	Α.	This seeks identification of the production
9		costs included in the cost of gas
10		reconciliation for the 2014-2015 and
11		2015-2016 winter periods, and to identify the
12		additional costs related to the new policy of
13		around-the-clock manning of the production
14		facility.
15	Q.	And the next two pages request what type of
16		information?
17	Α.	Referring to the next two requests?
18	Q.	Yes.
19	Α.	Staff 3-6 requested identification of the gas
20		production cost for gas mixing and
21		miscellaneous production that are included in
22		base rates and explain the difference between
23		those costs and the production costs included
24		in the cost of gas reconciliation for the

1 2015-2016 winter period. And Staff 3-7 requested an updated cost 2 estimate of the production cost for the 3 '16-'17 winter period and identification of 4 the additional costs related to the new 5 policy of around-the-clock manning of the 6 7 production facility. 8 0. Jumping ahead, I'm going to skip one section to what you have as Bates 143, Staff 3-8 in 9 10 this case. Can you tell us what that 11 requested? 12 This referenced the \$148,410 that I Yes. Α. previously identified on the attachment to 13 Mr. Simek and Mr. Dane's testimony for 14 15 Keene's 2016 production costs. And it was a 16 three-part question, asked for a narrative 17 explaining the circumstances under which these costs were incurred, as well as the 18 reasoning as to why the amortization of these 19 costs should be included in customer rates. 20 21 And it asked for copies of all documentation 22 in support of the proposed deferred costs and 23 an explanation of reasoning for the Company's 24 proposed amortization period of three years.

1	Q.	And was that information provided?
2	Α.	It was.
3	Q.	And what documents were provided along with
4		that response?
5	Α.	There was a copy of a final audit report
6		prepared by Commission's Audit Staff. There
7		was a copy of a Staff memorandum I say
8		copy. There was a link to a couple of these
9		documents. There's also a link to the
10		settlement agreement in DG 16-812. There's
11		some further explanation of the 148,000, some
12		detail as to that. And there's link to the
13		2016-2017 winter period cost of gas
14		reconciliation that was filed on June 15th of
15		2017. That's all in Part A.
16		In response to Part B that asked for
17		documentation in support of those costs,
18		there was a reference to saying that these
19		costs had all already been audited. So,
20		since they were audited, we said supporting
21		documentation has already been provided to
22		Staff.
23		And in response to Part C, the
24		three-year amortization period was selected

		2
1		118 it was the estimated time between rate
2		cases.
3	Q.	Staff 3-9 at Page 157, what did that seek?
4	Α.	That seeked details related to the \$201,000
5		of emergency response costs related to the
6		December 2015 Keene incident.
7	Q.	And the response to that said what?
8	Α.	Again, it was a three-part question. It
9		asked for a detailed narrative explaining the
10		circumstances, as well as the reasoning as to
11		why the amortization should be included in
12		customer rates. Asked for copies of all
13		documentation and explaining the reasoning
14		for the amortization period of three years.
15		In Part A, there was a response to
16		there was a link to the Commission's
17		investigation docket, as well as references
18		to particular tabs that are found on the
19		Commission's web site for the Safety
20		Division's investigation report. And
21		EnergyNorth in Tab 7. In Tab 8,
22		EnergyNorth's response to that report, there
23		was a link to the settlement agreement in DG
24		14-155 that we previously discussed.

1		In Part B there was a summary of the
2		breakdown of the types of costs that were
3		included in the \$201,000, as well as an
4		explanation saying that the supporting
5		documentation was voluminous and consisted of
6		invoices from many fire departments, other
7		agencies, vendors and payroll records. And
8		considering the voluminous nature and that
9		some of the information was confidential 118
10		of payroll records, the Company said they
11		would make the information available for
12		review at its offices. And the Audit Staff
13		did come and review that information. And as
13 14		did come and review that information. And as mentioned earlier, a write-up of that was
_		
14		mentioned earlier, a write-up of that was
14 15	Q.	mentioned earlier, a write-up of that was included in their final audit report on this
14 15 16	Q.	mentioned earlier, a write-up of that was included in their final audit report on this rate case.
14 15 16 17	-	mentioned earlier, a write-up of that was included in their final audit report on this rate case. So Audit Staff traveled to our offices and
14 15 16 17 18	-	<pre>mentioned earlier, a write-up of that was included in their final audit report on this rate case. So Audit Staff traveled to our offices and reviewed all that information.</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19	-	<pre>mentioned earlier, a write-up of that was included in their final audit report on this rate case. So Audit Staff traveled to our offices and reviewed all that information. Yes, they did.</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	-	<pre>mentioned earlier, a write-up of that was included in their final audit report on this rate case. So Audit Staff traveled to our offices and reviewed all that information. Yes, they did. And finally, just to close the loop on</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	-	<pre>mentioned earlier, a write-up of that was included in their final audit report on this rate case. So Audit Staff traveled to our offices and reviewed all that information. Yes, they did.</pre>

			2
1		(Witness reviews document.)	
2	А.	This is asking for an explanation of a	
3		\$46,752 adjustment to Keene production costs.	
4		I believe this is similar to what I said. I	
5		believe the schedule was updated during the	
6		course of that proceeding this proceeding.	
7		I believe that this is what that \$46,000 is	
8		in reference to.	
9		And the response there was a reference	
10		to Audit Staff's recommendation in their	
11		final audit report on Keene's 2016 summer	
12		cost of gas reconciliation. So what was	
13		provided was a final copy of that audit	
14		report.	
15	Q.	Do you believe Staff had sufficient	
16		information about the response costs and the	
17		24/7 costs on which it could make a	
18		determination as to whether it would	
19		recommend prudence or imprudence?	
20	Α.	I do.	
21	Q.	Thank you. I have nothing further.	
22		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Kreis,	,
23		do you have questions?	
24		MR. KREIS: I do not, Mr.	

		4
1		Chairman.
2		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Dexter.
3		REBUTTAL CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY M	IR. DEXTER:
5	Q.	Mr. Mullen, I guess we'll start with
6		Exhibit 75. You have that in front of you?
7	A.	I do.
8	Q.	So as I understand Exhibit 75, if one
9		excludes AFUDC from the iNATGAS analysis,
10		that after 15 years discounted, the Company's
11		investment under the minimum take-or-pay
12		assumption would yield \$213,000 in value. Is
13		that your understanding of the schedule?
14	Α.	That's what the calculations on this
15		spreadsheet show.
16	Q.	Do you have any reason to doubt the
17		calculation on the spreadsheet?
18	Α.	No. But I say that 118, again, those are
19		based on numbers, some of which can change
20		over time. But based on the results of this
21		analysis, that is correct.
22	Q.	Would you recommend to your Company's
23		management that they spend \$4.3 million to
24		receive a net present value of \$213 million

1		[sic] over a 15-period? Do you think that's
2		a would you make that recommendation based
3		on the information on this sheet?
4	Α.	I would, based on the information on this
5		sheet. I would say that the 15-year
6		discounted cash flow resulted in a positive
7		benefit, which was the intent at the time
8		that the Special Contract was entered into.
9	Q.	That wasn't my question. My question is:
10		Would you go in to senior management and
11		recommend they spend \$4.3 million, with the
12		analysis showing that after 15 years under
13		the guaranty, quote, unquote, guaranteed
14		take-or-pay assumption, that they would
15		receive a net present value benefit of
16		\$213,000? Do you think that would be a wise
17		recommendation?
18	Α.	I think where it shows a positive result and
19		the fact that there are plenty of personal
20		guarantees, there's corporate guarantees,
21		there are other protections in the
22		contractual documents associated I'm
23		assuming that you're talking about the same
24		sort of scenario that surrounds the rest of

Γ

			2
1		the details for this investment.	
2	Q.	I'm not making any assumption. I'm just	
3		asking for your assessment.	
4	A.	You have my answer.	
5	Q.	So your answer is, yes, you would recommend	
6		it.	
7	A.	Subject to the rest of my response, yes.	
8	Q.	So if we took that \$213 million [sic] net	
9		present value and divided it by 15 years,	
10		could you give me an idea of what that would	
11		be?	
12	Α.	I would love for a \$213 million net present	
13		value.	
14	Q.	Two hundred thirteen thousand net present	
15		value.	
16	Α.	And divide by?	
17	Q.	Fifteen years.	
18		(Pause)	
19	Α.	A little over \$14,000.	
20	Q.	And if we were to divide that by \$4.3	
21		million, what would that be?	
22		(Pause)	
23	Α.	Comes to a number of .33 percent.	
24	Q.	So that would be .33 percent return on the	

1		Company's investment? Is that, again, "rough
2		justice," as I heard you use the term before?
3	Α.	That's what this calculation comes to.
4	Q.	Concerning the training center and the 4,000
5		hours that counsel asked you about, are we
6		now to understand that the 4,000 hours that's
7		been talked about, of which 1900 hours could
8		be allocated to gas employees, is training
9		that was different from what was included in
10		the analysis that Mr. Iqbal provided on
11		Page 25 of his exhibit?
12	Α.	Yes. I've already gone through that.
13	Q.	Okay. Is it a fair assessment to say the
14		numbers that are included in Mr. Iqbal's
15		chart had to do with the training that was
16		done before the facility was built, and it
17		was the type of training that was sent out to
18		National Grid to be done?
19	Α.	In the prior years, yes.
20	Q.	Prior years. That had to do with things like
21		mandatory training for operational employees?
22	Α.	Correct.
23	Q.	And in contrast, the 4,000 hours is related
24		to different employees.

1	А.	The 4,000 hours was, in my testimony, was
2		described as the amount of hours of training
3		that was performed at the training center
4		during 2016.
5	Q.	So it's not all incremental to what was
6		it's not all incremental to what was included
7		in Mr. Iqbal's
8	A.	Yes. I can't tell you how much, just looking
9		at total dollars. You'd have to go through
10		employee by employee, course by course, to
11		find out what was included in one versus what
12		was included in the other. Again, it's a
13		matter of how the questions come. And you
14		try to answer the questions as fully as you
15		can based on the questions as posed.
16	Q.	And this additional training is not mandatory
17		training; is it not?
18	Α.	Depends on what you're talking about.
19	Q.	Is it I understand that your operational
20		employees have mandatory training that they
21		have to do to be licensed to perform their
22		duties.
23	A.	That's correct.
24	Q.	And the 4,000 hours that you talked about,

		2
1		does it include some of that mandatory
2		training?
3	Α.	It very well may. I'd have to look again at
4		the details of the courses and the people
5		that were listed in the response to, I think
6		it was Staff 4-34, that provided that
7		information. A lot of that was not the same.
8		A lot of it was for Gas 101, Electric 101,
9		some other courses. And when I see the names
10		of people who were assigned to those courses
11		and the types of courses that they were,
12		those were obviously not anything that would
13		have been included in mandatory technical
14		training.
15	Q.	And therefore wouldn't have been included in
16		the information that was in the training
17		that was done down at National Grid.
18	Α.	In the years prior?
19	Q.	In the years prior.
20	A.	Correct.
21	Q.	Right. Because you're not sending anybody to
22		National Grid anymore. I think we
23		established that months ago; correct?
24	Α.	That's correct.

1	Q.	And you don't have any idea as to how much of
2		this 4,000 hours was related to mandatory
3		training versus what you just described as
4		Gas 101 and Electric 101. You don't have a
5		breakdown for us, do you?
6	A.	I don't, offhand. And again, you'd have to
7		look at other facilities. For instance, in
8		Manchester, there's a conference room.
9		Sometimes there will be training on safety or
10		other things there that wouldn't have been
11		included in either one of those spreadsheets.
12		So as I said when I first got back up
13		here today, there's a lot of different ways
14		you can slice training. And, you know, we
15		try to be as responsive as we can to the
16		questions that are asked. But I tried to
17		explain this during the course of the
18		proceedings, that there's a lot of
19		information if you want to just talk
20		technical training, overall training, if you
21		want to cut it by facility. There's a lot of
22		different ways to look at it.
23	Q.	How much training goes on in 2016 outside the
24		training center?

			2
1	А.	I couldn't tell you, offhand.	
2	Q.	Is it significant?	
3	А.	Yes.	
4	Q.	And what kind of training is that?	
5	Α.	All sorts of training. We have a program	
6		called Safe Start that so far we've been I	
7		think last year we went through five	
8		different modules. Everybody in the Company	
9		is supposed to go through that training.	
10		That can happen at any facility. Some of it	
11		happens at the training center, some of it	
12		happens in Londonderry, some of it happens at	
13		the various yards. It's a matter of when	
14		people can go and what is the various	
15		availability. Some of that's in smaller	
16		classes, class sizes, to accommodate people's	
17		schedules.	
18	Q.	And that would have happened in prior years	
19		as well. That's not something new.	
20	Α.	No, it is fairly new.	
21	Q.	It is fairly new?	
22		Mr. Iqbal's done a calculation of the	
23		various hours that were included in Staff	
24		4-34 and has concluded that the customer	

		-
1		service training for EnergyNorth consisted of
2		152 hours based on that spreadsheet. Would
3		you accept that, subject to check?
4	Α.	I'd have to go back and check. My
5		recollection from looking at that spreadsheet
6		was that it had about 11 or 1200 lines to it.
7		And I believe a lot of them and I'm not
8		sure what he's calling customer service
9		training. So I'd say I don't accept that 118
10		I think it's low. What he might call
11		customer service training and what I might
12		refer to as customer service training might
13		be two different things, depending on who's
14		receiving the training.
15	Q.	Can you estimate the average hourly cost of a
16		customer rep that would go through this
17		training?
18	Α.	You mean payroll cost?
19	Q.	Yes.
20	Α.	Off the top of my head, I don't know their
21		salary.
22	Q.	How about the average cost for a management
23		employee? Would that be higher, do you
24		think?

Yes, typically. 1 Α. And again, could you explain what type of 2 Q. training these customer reps and management 3 employees receive at the center? 4 Sometimes it's something called Electric 101, Α. 5 sometimes it's Gas 101. Other times it's 6 7 more technical, hands-on training, so they 8 actually get to see and work with some of the equipment and some of the electric and gas 9 10 equipment that actually gets used in the 11 field. It helps customer service reps better be informed when they're talking to 12 customers. Rather than just learning about 13 14 something on a PowerPoint presentation, they 15 actually get to see and feel it. 16 And this is some of the non-quantifiable Q. 17 benefits that you talked about in connection with some of the other data responses 18 concerning this area of training; is that 19 20 right? 21 Α. Well, I talked about a lot of 22 non-quantifiable benefits, some of which were 23 the ability to have a number of people trained in a controlled environment and in 24

1		one place with one instructor at the same
2		time, rather than variations that you'd have
3		from job site to job site and being able to
4		train one or two people at one time.
5	Q.	Let me ask the question a little bit
6		differently. Do you consider it a
7		non-quantifiable benefit to have what I'll
8		call "office employees" go through this
9		operational training at the training center?
10	Α.	Yes. I'm not sure how I'd put a dollar
11		amount on somebody being able to be better
12		educated to speak to a customer on the other
13		end of the phone.
14	Q.	So if we could look at Exhibit 77 for a
15		moment having to do with the two incidents of
16		blower malfunctions that occurred after
17		December 2016.
18	Α.	I have it.
19	Q.	On Page 2 there's a paragraph that's entitled
20		"How the Company was alerted." It's just two
21		sentences. Could you read those two
22		sentences into the record?
23	A.	"The plant technician performing the standard
24		monthly lead/lag swap of the blower operation

 witnessed the failure of the adjustable speed drive controller for the new lead blower. Also, Liberty's Londonderry control room detected a drop in output pressure levels on the high-pressure (3.5-pound) system." Q. So, in fact, the Liberty Londonderry control room detected this situation; did it not? A. I think it says two things. First sentence I read said the plant technician, who would have been part of the 24/7 staffing, he was performing the standard monthly lead/lag swap of the blower operation, witnessed the failure of the adjustable speed drive controller for the new lead blower. And in addition to that, yes, the control room detected the drop in output. Q. Can the Keene plant be set off shut off from the control center in Londonderry? A. I can't answer that. I'm not sure. Q. Do you know if that's what happened in this case? A. I do not. 			
 Also, Liberty's Londonderry control room detected a drop in output pressure levels on the high-pressure (3.5-pound) system." Q. So, in fact, the Liberty Londonderry control room detected this situation; did it not? A. I think it says two things. First sentence I read said the plant technician, who would have been part of the 24/7 staffing, he was performing the standard monthly lead/lag swap of the blower operation, witnessed the failure of the adjustable speed drive controller for the new lead blower. And in addition to that, yes, the control room detected the drop in output. Q. Can the Keene plant be set off shut off from the control center in Londonderry? A. I can't answer that. I'm not sure. Q. Do you know if that's what happened in this case? A. I do not. 	1		witnessed the failure of the adjustable speed
 detected a drop in output pressure levels on the high-pressure (3.5-pound) system." Q. So, in fact, the Liberty Londonderry control room detected this situation; did it not? A. I think it says two things. First sentence I read said the plant technician, who would have been part of the 24/7 staffing, he was performing the standard monthly lead/lag swap of the blower operation, witnessed the failure of the adjustable speed drive controller for the new lead blower. And in addition to that, yes, the control room detected the drop in output. Q. Can the Keene plant be set off shut off from the control center in Londonderry? A. I can't answer that. I'm not sure. Q. Do you know if that's what happened in this case? A. I do not. 	2		drive controller for the new lead blower.
 the high-pressure (3.5-pound) system." Q. So, in fact, the Liberty Londonderry control room detected this situation; did it not? A. I think it says two things. First sentence I read said the plant technician, who would have been part of the 24/7 staffing, he was performing the standard monthly lead/lag swap of the blower operation, witnessed the failure of the adjustable speed drive controller for the new lead blower. And in addition to that, yes, the control room detected the drop in output. Q. Can the Keene plant be set off shut off from the control center in Londonderry? A. I can't answer that. I'm not sure. Q. Do you know if that's what happened in this case? A. I do not. 	3		Also, Liberty's Londonderry control room
 6 Q. So, in fact, the Liberty Londonderry control room detected this situation; did it not? 8 A. I think it says two things. First sentence I read said the plant technician, who would have been part of the 24/7 staffing, he was performing the standard monthly lead/lag swap of the blower operation, witnessed the failure of the adjustable speed drive controller for the new lead blower. And in addition to that, yes, the control room detected the drop in output. 17 Q. Can the Keene plant be set off shut off from the control center in Londonderry? 19 A. I can't answer that. I'm not sure. 20 Do you know if that's what happened in this case? 22 A. I do not. 	4		detected a drop in output pressure levels on
 room detected this situation; did it not? A. I think it says two things. First sentence I read said the plant technician, who would have been part of the 24/7 staffing, he was performing the standard monthly lead/lag swap of the blower operation, witnessed the failure of the adjustable speed drive controller for the new lead blower. And in addition to that, yes, the control room detected the drop in output. Q. Can the Keene plant be set off shut off from the control center in Londonderry? A. I can't answer that. I'm not sure. Q. Do you know if that's what happened in this case? A. I do not. 	5		the high-pressure (3.5-pound) system."
 A. I think it says two things. First sentence I read said the plant technician, who would have been part of the 24/7 staffing, he was performing the standard monthly lead/lag swap of the blower operation, witnessed the failure of the adjustable speed drive controller for the new lead blower. And in addition to that, yes, the control room detected the drop in output. Q. Can the Keene plant be set off shut off from the control center in Londonderry? A. I can't answer that. I'm not sure. Q. Do you know if that's what happened in this case? A. I do not. 	6	Q.	So, in fact, the Liberty Londonderry control
 9 read said the plant technician, who would 10 have been part of the 24/7 staffing, he was 11 performing the standard monthly lead/lag swap 12 of the blower operation, witnessed the 13 failure of the adjustable speed drive 14 controller for the new lead blower. And in 15 addition to that, yes, the control room 16 detected the drop in output. 17 Q. Can the Keene plant be set off shut off 18 from the control center in Londonderry? 19 A. I can't answer that. I'm not sure. 20 Q. Do you know if that's what happened in this 21 case? 22 A. I do not. 	7		room detected this situation; did it not?
 have been part of the 24/7 staffing, he was performing the standard monthly lead/lag swap of the blower operation, witnessed the failure of the adjustable speed drive controller for the new lead blower. And in addition to that, yes, the control room detected the drop in output. Q. Can the Keene plant be set off shut off from the control center in Londonderry? A. I can't answer that. I'm not sure. Q. Do you know if that's what happened in this case? A. I do not. 	8	Α.	I think it says two things. First sentence I
11 performing the standard monthly lead/lag swap 12 of the blower operation, witnessed the 13 failure of the adjustable speed drive 14 controller for the new lead blower. And in 15 addition to that, yes, the control room 16 detected the drop in output. 17 Q. Can the Keene plant be set off shut off 18 from the control center in Londonderry? 19 A. I can't answer that. I'm not sure. 20 Q. Do you know if that's what happened in this 21 case? 22 A. I do not.	9		read said the plant technician, who would
 12 of the blower operation, witnessed the 13 failure of the adjustable speed drive 14 controller for the new lead blower. And in 15 addition to that, yes, the control room 16 detected the drop in output. 17 Q. Can the Keene plant be set off shut off 18 from the control center in Londonderry? 19 A. I can't answer that. I'm not sure. 20 Q. Do you know if that's what happened in this 21 case? 22 A. I do not. 	10		have been part of the $24/7$ staffing, he was
 failure of the adjustable speed drive controller for the new lead blower. And in addition to that, yes, the control room detected the drop in output. Q. Can the Keene plant be set off shut off from the control center in Londonderry? A. I can't answer that. I'm not sure. Q. Do you know if that's what happened in this case? A. I do not. 	11		performing the standard monthly lead/lag swap
 14 controller for the new lead blower. And in 15 addition to that, yes, the control room 16 detected the drop in output. 17 Q. Can the Keene plant be set off shut off 18 from the control center in Londonderry? 19 A. I can't answer that. I'm not sure. 20 Q. Do you know if that's what happened in this 21 case? 22 A. I do not. 	12		of the blower operation, witnessed the
 15 addition to that, yes, the control room 16 detected the drop in output. 17 Q. Can the Keene plant be set off shut off 18 from the control center in Londonderry? 19 A. I can't answer that. I'm not sure. 20 Q. Do you know if that's what happened in this 21 case? 22 A. I do not. 	13		failure of the adjustable speed drive
16 detected the drop in output. 17 Q. Can the Keene plant be set off shut off 18 from the control center in Londonderry? 19 A. I can't answer that. I'm not sure. 20 Q. Do you know if that's what happened in this case? 22 A. I do not.	14		controller for the new lead blower. And in
 17 Q. Can the Keene plant be set off shut off 18 from the control center in Londonderry? 19 A. I can't answer that. I'm not sure. 20 Q. Do you know if that's what happened in this 21 case? 22 A. I do not. 	15		addition to that, yes, the control room
18 from the control center in Londonderry? 19 A. I can't answer that. I'm not sure. 20 Q. Do you know if that's what happened in this 21 case? 22 A. I do not.	16		detected the drop in output.
 19 A. I can't answer that. I'm not sure. 20 Q. Do you know if that's what happened in this case? 21 A. I do not. 	17	Q.	Can the Keene plant be set off shut off
 20 Q. Do you know if that's what happened in this 21 case? 22 A. I do not. 	18		from the control center in Londonderry?
21 case? 22 A. I do not.	19	A.	I can't answer that. I'm not sure.
22 A. I do not.	20	Q.	Do you know if that's what happened in this
	21		case?
	22	Α.	I do not.
23 Q. Mr. Mullen, when you made the assessment that	23	Q.	Mr. Mullen, when you made the assessment that
24 EnergyNorth rates were lower than Northern	24		EnergyNorth rates were lower than Northern

Γ

			2
1		Utilities' rates, what was that based on?	
2	A.	That was based on the discussion that was had	
3		at the hearing the other day.	
4	Q.	No. I mean what was your conclusion based	
5		on, that EnergyNorth's rates were lower than	
6		Northern's rates? Did you do an analysis of	
7		the two rates?	
8	Α.	That was done the other day when we were	
9		questioning Mr. Frink. And that was the	
10		existing Northern rates to the rates that	
11		would result from the settlement agreement,	
12		as shown as attached to the settlement	
13		agreement.	
14	Q.	And were you looking at commercial or	
15		industrial or all rates?	
16	Α.	I believe that was residential rates.	
17	Q.	Did you look at the commercial or industrial	
18		rates?	
19	A.	No.	
20	Q.	Do you know if the rate classes are	
21		comparable between EnergyNorth and Northern?	
22	A.	When you say "comparable," in what way?	
23	Q.	Well, on the commercial side there are	
24		different breaks of usage generally for rate	

			2
1		classes. Do you know if they line up the	
2		same for EnergyNorth and Northern?	
3	Α.	I'm not familiar, offhand, with Northern's	
4		commercial rates. I can't answer that.	
5	Q.	And with respect to the residential rates, do	
6		you know, again, if the rate structure is the	
7		same?	
8	A.	Well, structure is one thing. I think the	
9		blocks are different.	
10	Q.	The block. That's what I was getting at with	
11		"structure." So there's a customer charge	
12		and then there's a couple blocks for both	
13		companies.	
14	Α.	Correct.	
15	Q.	Do you know if the blocks are different?	
16	Α.	They are.	
17	Q.	How many customers does EnergyNorth have?	
18	Α.	In total? A little over 90,000.	
19	Q.	Do you know how many customers Northern	
20		Utilities has in New Hampshire?	
21	Α.	Offhand, I don't. I'm more familiar with the	
22		electric side.	
23	Q.	Would you accept, subject to check, in their	
24		annual report in 2016, it was about 32,000	

Γ

			2
1		customers?	
2	A.	Subject to check, sure.	
3	Q.	With regard to the implications of the	
4		Commission approving Staff's approval in this	
5		case, you indicated that there would have to	
6		be staffing reductions at EnergyNorth. Do	
7		you recall that?	
8	A.	I do.	
9	Q.	Would you agree that both payroll that	
10		both recommended revenue requirements in this	
11		case contained funding for a full compliment	
12		of employees and that the only distinction	
13		between the two of them is that Staff	
14		adjusted for three and a half expected	
15		vacancies?	
16	Α.	I think if you focus solely on that	
17		adjustment, then I understand your question.	
18		However, when you look at the totality of the	
19		recommendation, then that one particular	
20		adjustment may not have that impact, but the	
21		rest of the adjustments would.	
22	Q.	So where would the what is it about	
23		Staff's adjustment that would require	
24		EnergyNorth not to fill positions?	

1	A.	Well, I think, as I went through, the
2		reduction in dollars, especially first where
3		you're below temporary rates, so that is
4		already refunding dollars to customers, as
5		well as looking at, you know, each year the
6		Company has to plan its budgets for capital
7		and for OEM based on certain assumptions.
8		Now, if you and now I can certainly say
9		that the assumption going into the year was
10		not that we would get less than temporary
11		rates coming out of the rate case. So when
12		you start looking at lower dollars coming in,
13		obviously something's got to give.
14	Q.	But you would agree that the Company would
15		have the opportunity to earn 9.4 percent on
16		all the investments it makes, with the
17		exception of the training center and a
18		portion of the iNATGAS facility under Staff's
19		proposal; would you not?
20	Α.	I don't agree.
21	Q.	Why is that?
22	A.	118 a lot of the other adjustments have
23		impacts to the earnings and cash flow of the
24		Company. So I don't agree that Staff's

		275
1		proposal gives a reasonable opportunity to
2		earn 9.4 percent ROE coming out of this
3		proceeding.
4		MR. DEXTER: That's all the
5		questions we have.
6		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner
7		Bailey.
8	QUES	TIONS BY COMMISSIONERS:
9	BY C	COMMISSIONER BAILEY:
10	Q.	We all agree now that if the Commission
11		approves the settlement agreement, we are
12		making a finding on the prudency of the
13		Keene the costs of Keene for the 24/7
14		operations at the blower and the recovery of
15		the response costs, that we're making a
16		finding those are prudent.
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	Okay. And based on what you just went
19		through with Attorney Sheehan, I was sitting
20		here wondering what the point of that was.
21		Is it your position that Staff should have
22		proved, based on the information that it had,
23		that those things were imprudent?
24	A.	The Company was directed to deal with the

1		costs in this proceeding. We submitted the
2		costs. We included them in our rate case
3		filing, just like we include all our other
4		costs. They're subject to review. There was
5		extensive discovery that was done on them.
6		Our understanding was that this was the
7		proceeding where there was going to be a
8		determination on that. However, Staff's
9		position in the case was that essentially
10		that Keene shouldn't be included and
11		shouldn't be included in the distribution
12		rates. And Mr. Frink's testimony mentioned
13		these costs. He said they may or may not be
14		prudent, but that's as far as he went. So
15		we're left you know, we were left with,
16		just like any other costs we sought recovery
17		of, they were included in our filing, subject
18		to review and recommendations and I'm
19		sorry. I lost my train of thought at the end
20		of that response.
21	Q.	Well, you knew from the order that we issued
22		that we were going to review the prudency of
23		these costs in this rate case
24	Α.	Correct.

		277
1	Q.	didn't you? So where is the evidence that
2		you put in your petition that shows that the
3		costs were prudent?
4	Α.	We submitted all the costs for review.
5		They've been reviewed. They've been audited.
6		We included them in this proceeding. A lot
7		of that information was also provided in
8		other dockets or other proceedings. I guess
9		it's a matter of how many times do we have to
10		submit the same information for review.
11	Q.	You understand that the Commission has to
12		make the decision.
13	Α.	Perfectly.
14	Q.	Okay. And so do we have that information?
15	Α.	You will.
16	Q.	Okay. Thank you. That's all I have.
17		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner
18		Giaimo.
19	QUES	TIONS BY COMMISSIONER GIAIMO:
20	Q.	Good evening.
21	Α.	Good evening.
22	Q.	Just one real quick question with respect to
23		the training center. At what number would
24		you have thrown in the towel and said it just

		27
1		doesn't make sense to do it? Is there a
2		number?
3	Α.	I can't answer that. I wasn't managing the
4		project. I came in later in the process. I
5		can't give you a number.
6	Q.	All right. Thanks.
7	QUES	TIONS BY CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG:
8	Q.	I just want to follow up on a question Mr.
9		Dexter asked you a few different times in a
10		few different ways related to the DCF
11		analysis that you talked about this
12		afternoon, Exhibit 75. He wanted to know if
13		you would be comfortable bringing that to
14		upper management, and you ultimately said
15		yes, with a lot of other answers. I just
16		want to make sure I understand.
17		Isn't part of why you would be willing
18		to bring this to upper management is that you
19		would be able to say, Well, it can't be worse
20		than that minimum number, and we're telling
21		you we think it will be better?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	That's what I thought. That's all I have.
24		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Sheehan,

Γ

			2
1		do you have any redirect?	
2		MR. SHEEHAN: Just two short	
3		topics.	
4		REBUTTAL REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
5	BY M	R. SHEEHAN:	
6	Q.	On that DCF analysis, Mr. Mullen, isn't it	
7		true that one element of the analysis, that	
8		the analysis includes the Company's	
9		authorized rate of return as a discount rate	
10		in the DCF?	
11	Α.	Yes.	
12	Q.	And so if you have a positive present value,	
13		that is telling you that the Company is	
14		investing the money, getting all of it back,	
15		plus all of its rate of return, plus the	
16		positive value?	
17	Α.	That's correct.	
18	Q.	So in this case, if our rate of return was	
19		10 percent, we would earn 10.33 percent on	
20		that project.	
21	Α.	That's correct.	
22	Q.	So you would enthusiastically recommend this	
23		to senior management; would you not?	
24	Α.	Yes.	

			2
1	Q.	Second topic. Commissioner Bailey asked	
2		about the presence of evidence on the Keene	
3		topic. Isn't there a presumption of prudence	
4		when a Company makes a rate case filing that	
5		literally contains thousands of decisions	
6		that comprise all the costs involved in the	
7		requested rates?	
8	Α.	That sounds like a legal question.	
9	Q.	Fair enough.	
10		For example, we are asking the	
11		Commission for recovery of a \$10 million	
12		investment in the Tilton high line; correct?	
13	Α.	Correct.	
14	Q.	We put that in that is included somewhere	
15		in all the schedules and line items in our	
16		filing; correct?	
17	Α.	Correct.	
18	Q.	We did not submit one invoice related to the	
19		high line in this case; did we not?	
20	Α.	That's correct. Nor would we request any.	
21	Q.	Exactly. So when we file a case with	
22		thousands of elements in it, including dozens	
23		of million-dollar projects, including a \$10	
24		million project, it's really the Staff that	

1 will focus on issues we think are imprudent and elevate them to a point of dispute; 2 correct? 3 The Staff or --4 Α. Or whoever. 5 Q. 6 Α. Yes. 7 And otherwise, if we had to affirmatively 0. 8 prove every element in this rate case, we would be in trial for a year. 9 10 Correct. Α. 11 So there -- thank you. 0. 12 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you, 13 Mr. Mullen. I think you can return to your 14 seat. I believe that's all we're 15 16 going to do this afternoon. We're going to 17 return tomorrow morning when you're going to discuss exhibits and do closings. 18 It seems to me, given the way 19 20 you're lined up at this point, the order of 21 closings would be Staff, then OCA, then the 22 Company, 118 the OCA and the Company are 23 essentially taking the same position, and Staff is taking a different position. 24

Γ

	28
1	Everyone agree with that?
2	MR. KREIS: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. Is
4	there anything else we need to take care of
5	before we adjourn for the day?
6	MR. DEXTER: What time will we
7	reconvene?
8	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Were you
9	asking the same question, Mr. Dexter, before or
10	after the
11	MR. DEXTER: What time are we
12	going to reconvene?
13	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I think
14	we're reconvening at 10:00. The only question
15	is whether we're going to do the electric
16	hearing first or this.
17	MR. DEXTER: My recommendation
18	would be to do this first. But that's just my
19	recommendation. I haven't spoken to are we
20	off the record?
21	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Actually,
22	we're on the record right now. Do you want to
23	go off the record?
24	MR. DEXTER: Sure.

	283
1	(Discussion off the record)
2	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So we'll go
3	back on the record. So we had an off-the-record
4	discussion and agree that the first order of
5	businesses tomorrow at 10:00 will be to finish
6	this proceeding and then open up the other
7	proceeding that is noticed for 10:00 tomorrow
8	morning.
9	With that, we'll adjourn for
10	the day. Thank you.
11	(Whereupon the Day 6 hearing was
12	adjourned at 5:37 p.m.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed
3	Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public
4	of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby
5	certify that the foregoing is a true and
6	accurate transcript of my stenographic
7	notes of these proceedings taken at the
8	place and on the date hereinbefore set
9	forth, to the best of my skill and ability
10	under the conditions present at the time.
11	I further certify that I am neither
12	attorney or counsel for, nor related to or
13	employed by any of the parties to the
14	action; and further, that I am not a
15	relative or employee of any attorney or
16	counsel employed in this case, nor am I
17	financially interested in this action.
18	
19	
20	Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter
21	Registered Professional Reporter N.H. LCR No. 44 (RSA 310-A:173)
22	
23	
24	

HEARING ON THE M			1	March 20, 2018
	\$39,507 (1)	31:13;136:11;	accurate (2)	adding (3)
Φ	123:18	137:14;140:12,13;	108:4,5	93:7,8;214:8
\$	\$4 (2)	146:24;147:21;	accurately (1)	addition (3)
• • • • •	69:10;222:18	160:15;165:15;	21:1	176:20;231:9;
\$1 (1)	\$4.3 (3)	200:17	achieve (1)	270:15
69:8	259:23;260:11;	absence (1)	24:1	additional (5)
\$10 (9)	261:20	156:18	achieved (1)	10:3;14:5;253:12;
190:4;192:18;	\$420,000 (2)	absolute (1)	24:2	254:5;263:16
206:10;207:4,23;	96:2,9	43:1	achieving (1)	additions (2)
210:4,24;280:11,23	\$46,000 (1)	absolutely (2)	29:7	187:19:188:1
\$100,000 (1)	258:7	60:17;229:6	acquired (2)	address (12)
87:9	\$46,752 (1)	accept (12)	94:16;241:22	7:6;32:12,13;36:9;
\$116,000 (1)	258:3	53:15;73:6;93:4,6,	acronym (1)	106:2,3;107:23;
237:20	\$5 (1)	6,18;118:2;171:22;	223:4	195:22;211:18;
\$12 (1)	203:12	192:9;267:3,9;	across (1)	215:10;252:13,20
209:24	\$50 (1)	272:23	166:3	addressed (7)
\$12-(1)	93:14	acceptable (3)	action (1)	30:6,6;33:5;
212:16	\$50,000 (1)	81:7;200:16,22	221:13	104:22;106:8;
\$12,400,000 (1)	12:10	accepted (1)	actions (2)	188:11,16
209:3	\$500,000 (3)	194:20	183:12;184:22	addressing (6)
\$133 (2)	65:1;68:13;98:5	accommodate (5)	active (1)	6:24;7:1,15,18;
93:3,12	\$7 (2)	94:18,20;95:6,13;	39:9	38:3;252:23
\$14-(1)	206:11;207:5	266:16	activities (1)	adequate (2)
212:16	\$750,000 (1)	accommodated (1)	78:24	117:17;119:12
\$14,000 (1)	221:10	79:8	activity (3)	adequately (2)
261:19	\$8- (1)	according (5)	156:14,15;192:6	69:20;252:20
\$14.88 (1)	190:2	75:19;81:8;180:9;	actual (11)	adjectives (2)
44:24	\$82,000 (1)	207:5;214:15	21:2;39:22;49:1;	5:17,18
\$142 (1) 07-9	96:16	account (61)	68:9;85:4;123:24;	adjourn (2)
97:8 \$149.410 (1)	\$87,000 (1)	91:7;130:21;131:9;	124:5,7,8,8;222:18	282:5;283:9
\$148,410 (1) 254:12	96:5	132:16;133:4,13;	actually (60)	adjourned (1)
\$15 (1)	\$9 (4)	134:9,10,11;140:18;	6:24;7:15;9:1;	283:12
212:16	190:2;192:19;	141:17;142:3,11;	10:11,12;11:12;	adjust (1)
\$152 (1)	195:5,23	143:1,9,15;144:5;	12:15;18:4;25:3;	183:8
93:23	\$90,000 (1)	146:4;147:7;149:5,6,	28:13;30:18;33:2,16;	adjustable (2)
\$177 (1)	93:17	18;150:1,15,15,17,	34:9;35:23;36:9;	270:1,13
97:3	\$933,000 (1)	19,20;151:8;153:23;	45:2,14;47:17;48:7;	adjusted (2)
\$2 (3)	206:1	160:13;161:3,4,12;	52:15;54:2,3,10;	55:1;273:14
45:2;69:9;222:12	\$934,000 (1)	162:6;164:21,23;	59:23;60:23;61:1;	adjustment (36)
\$200,000 (2)	209:14	165:2;168:3,4,7,12;	78:23;84:15;87:11;	5:15;6:3,19;8:17;
242:9,14	_	170:24;178:14;	89:1,20;99:15;	12:5;21:4,8,13;30:8;
\$201,000 (3)	[180:2,3,8,8;181:9;	108:12;110:7;113:1;	31:15,19;32:6,20;
238:7;256:4;257:3		185:16;198:4;199:7;	118:17;122:6,11,18;	34:10;36:15;46:14,
\$21.50 (1)	[Laughter] (1)	200:10;201:20;	141:15;177:17;	23;49:13;50:18;
45:7	128:21	202:7,14,18,19;	199:22;200:13,15,20;	103:17;105:4,24;
\$213 (3)	[No (2)	203:12;234:17,17	205:21;206:12;	106:10,11,22;113:14,
259:24;261:8,12	5:8;127:17	accounts (24)	208:8;212:5,13;	22;114:18;115:3,11;
\$213,000 (3)	[sic] (6)	132:7,14,15;	224:4;229:16;	116:15;241:3;258:3;
222:6;259:12;	39:19;146:5;	142:10;150:5;151:2;	232:20;235:5;	273:17,20,23
260:16	229:13;251:24;	158:20,23;160:9,10;	242:14;268:8,10,15;	adjustments (7)
\$22 (1)	260:1;261:8	161:10,22;162:19;	282:21	35:19;46:18;62:17;
210:19	•	164:22;165:4;	actuals (1)	191:5;237:12;
\$237,000 (6)	A	166:18;170:23;	86:2	273:21;274:22
86:8;88:8,11,22;	ahandan (1)	183:20;192:5;	add (18)	adjusts (1)
123:9,19	abandon (1)	193:16;202:24;	6:8;32:3;37:7;	33:21
\$237,084 (1)	47:22	203:10,21;206:20	78:22;82:22;89:17;	administrative (1)
121:12	ability (2)	accrual (4) $146.15.158.22$	90:24;91:1;92:2,14,	242:10
\$25.50 (1)	78:8;268:23	146:15;158:22;	23;93:11,16;121:23;	administrators (1)
45:8	able (7)	169:14;170:4	123:8;159:6;200:12;	29:5
\$3 (3)	29:20;215:8;216:4;	accrues (1) 41:2	205:14	adopt (3)
69:9;221:5,9	245:22;269:3,11; 278:19	41:2 accumulated (3)	added (6) 33:2;36:24;82:20;	41:17;129:18; 211:17
\$337,000 (1)	above (10)	185:22;187:5,12	93:10;105:14;113:2	adopted (5)
96:20	above (10)	105.22,107.3,12	75.10,105.14,115.2	auopicu (3)

HEARING ON THE M	ERITS		· /	March 26, 2018
24:20;42:10;47:13;	208:7	21:1	amplify (1)	100:16
66:21;208:15	aggregating (1)	alleviate (1)	159:16	Applications (1)
adopting (2)	123:4	108:20	analyses (4)	128:4
30:7;38:10	aggressive (4)	allocated (2)	75:10;80:20;	applied (1)
adopts (1)	211:3,11;214:11,	218:10;262:8	143:17;150:22	223:11
38:2	14	allow (2)	analysis (67)	applies (1)
advances (1)	ago (6)	37:11;41:15	41:1;65:23;68:16;	18:6
163:11	67:22;71:10;77:19;	allowed (2)	69:11;71:20;74:14;	apply (5)
advantage (1)	159:9;243:1;264:23	67:7;74:19	75:16,21;76:12,19,	93:16;96:23;97:7;
63:10	agree (86)	allows (2)	21,22;77:12,14,16,	168:3;212:6
advice (1)	19:19;20:1,20;	162:23;163:19	17,20;80:22,23;81:4,	applying (1)
39:4 advisory (1)	21:6,11,18;23:9; 28:2;29:3,11;30:2,	almost (17) 7:2,5;8:20;12:22;	6,13,14,15;98:7;	195:1
39:9	23;31:18;32:16;	44:8;57:15;99:21;	101:5,11,21;117:15; 131:22,23;142:16;	appreciate (3) 59:19;150:13;
Advocate (3)	33:15;34:11;36:8;	163:16;170:23;	143:6;145:8;148:16;	176:13
40:5;45:11;63:21	39:2,18;40:24;42:6,	180:20;190:9;	151:18;154:2;155:8;	approach (16)
affect (1)	19;43:2,19,23;44:22;	193:16;201:6;	156:2;162:5,7,8;	22:10,12,13,18;
69:10	45:6,23;46:15;47:9;	202:22;203:11;	163:24;164:1,20;	118:4;195:11,12,24;
affiliate (1)	49:8;50:1;51:12,14;	214:21;231:9	165:3,9,20;166:1;	201:24;203:14,18;
224:15	53:1,11;57:19;58:15,	alone (1)	200:23;201:3,5;	207:22;208:4;212:4,
affirmatively (2)	19;59:5,21;60:9;	203:22	202:19;206:9;	12,13
219:22;281:7	62:9;66:10,14;75:23;	along (7)	207:17;222:17,23;	approaches (1)
afraid (1)	77:17;79:23;82:1;	129:8;132:6;149:3;	223:12;259:9,21;	20:23
30:20	84:10;92:22;93:20;	150:12;188:9;	260:12;262:10;	appropriate (9)
afternoon (5)	98:15,17;105:8;	235:12;255:3	271:6;278:11;279:6,	26:12;65:11;80:20,
120:15;130:8,9;	113:12,17,19,22;	alternative (1)	7,8	24;82:22;89:13;
278:12;281:16	116:18;118:23;	246:2	analyst (3)	120:13;232:4;248:18
afterwards (1) 47:2	124:14;125:20; 126:5,14,20;131:4,	Although (2) 223:13,19	65:4,5;66:2	appropriateness (2) 66:12,16
AFUDC (4)	23;151:7;171:19;	altogether (1)	analyze (1) 155:21	approval (2)
222:19;223:4,6;	181:15;195:19;	37:20	and/or (1)	192:14;273:4
259:9	197:21;202:1;	always (4)	224:7	approve (5)
again (57)	203:14;205:3;	140:8;164:5;	annual (6)	22:3,16,19;196:6;
22:23;25:23;34:5;	209:16;211:7;224:1;	171:24;186:14	123:14;156:9;	246:17
42:16;48:9;50:21;	273:9;274:14,20,24;	ambiguous (1)	209:13;214:3,7;	approved (7)
67:3;71:9;74:7;	275:10;282:1;283:4	124:17	272:24	28:23;32:17;41:6;
76:16,18;79:2;93:12,	agreed (2)	American (1)	answered (5)	108:19;192:8;
17,21;135:4;138:11;	32:18;250:22	158:17	5:16;75:22;224:22,	199:23;246:22
139:22;143:16;	agreement (29)	amortization (24)	24;239:23	approves (1)
145:10,22;148:24;	22:3,9;30:2,4;34:8;	130:15;167:20;	anticipate (1)	275:11
149:3,14;150:1,18;	43:17,18,20;44:19;	172:17;182:6;	187:24 anticipated (1)	approving (3) 8:21;222:14;273:4
151:10;153:18; 158:4;160:24;166:3;	47:5;48:3;119:21; 192:13,17;194:20;	184:15;194:7,11; 195:11,21;207:6,12,	188:20	approximate (1)
171:7;172:24;	192.13,17,194.20, 195:7,21;196:7;	16;208:2,3;209:2,17;	anticipating (1)	87:22
174:23;184:6;	206:21;238:13;	237:13,18;254:19,24;	188:21	approximately (5)
188:17;191:13;	240:15;241:24;	255:24;256:11,14;	anymore (4)	207:5;214:8;
195:12;219:17,21;	242:3;245:11;	257:21	12:18;13:11;	218:15;219:11;221:4
220:5;227:19;	255:10;256:23;	amortize (9)	100:20;264:22	approximation (2)
228:24;230:6,18;	271:11,13;275:11	169:18;177:19;	apologize (2)	93:14;156:16
237:5;243:16;	ahead (4)	182:20,22;192:17;	16:23;56:18	April (1)
244:19;256:8;	33:16;160:8;183:3;	195:7;207:23;	apparent (1)	250:1
257:21;259:18;	254:8	208:16;238:18	44:2	arbiter (2)
262:1;263:12;264:3;	aid (1)	amortized (2)	appear (2)	63:16;64:5
265:6;268:2;272:6 against (7)	242:20 AL-AZAD (2)	131:5;177:2 amortizing (2)	116:15;226:12 appears (5)	area (3) 246:7,7;268:19
14:2;17:23;29:17;	AL-AZAD (2) 5:20;197:1	179:10;205:2	56:21;95:5;146:10;	areas (1)
45:14;137:9;145:23;	alerted (1)	amount (11)	168:20;237:22	128:17
168:14	269:20	9:18,23;51:12;	appended (2)	argue (2)
agencies (1)	Alex (1)	67:18;106:24;140:5,	52:7,15	103:3;139:18
257:7	144:17	6,6;209:13;263:2;	appendix (6)	argument (4)
agency's (1)	A-L-E-X (1)	269:11	54:11;55:14,15,22;	11:11;101:6;112:4,
28:10	144:18	ample (1)	56:21;61:5	5
aggregated (1)	aligning (1)	177:14	apple-to-apple (1)	arising (1)

Min-U-Script®

SUSAN J. ROBIDAS, N.H. LCR (603) 540-2083 shortrptr@comcast.net (2) adopting - arising

DAY 6 March 26, 2018

HEARING ON THE MERITS March 26, 2018					
50:16	259:12;260:14;	159:5,21;160:14,15,	Baltimore (2)	144:18;200:14	
arose (1)	261:2;274:9	18,21;163:22;	54:14;55:1	B-A-U-H-A-N (1)	
62:12	assumptions (1)	164:18;166:16;	band (5)	144:18	
around (4)	274:7	167:16;179:2;184:3;	179:11;181:7,19;	beauty (1)	
13:9;152:6;159:10;	asymmetrical (2)	197:14,20,24;198:3,	182:7,8	33:11	
203:2	35:10;46:2	7,10,11;201:14,21;	base (10)	became (1)	
around-the-clock (3)	attached (3)	202:12;207:16;	67:11;95:15;	224:16	
252:12;253:13;	239:10,12;271:12	212:4;214:20,22;	110:22;111:2,13,15;	become (4)	
254:6	attachment (4)	234:19,21;267:15,22	117:7,13;199:21;	44:1;61:17;157:9;	
arrangement (1)	70:11;76:22;158:7;	averages (3)	253:22	206:22	
99:19	254:13	146:24;147:20,24	based (37)	becomes (1)	
article (1)	attachments (1)	averaging (1)	11:5;15:18;16:10;	41:14	
144:23	158:5	13:5	83:2;102:17,19;	beforehand (1)	
ASL (9)	attend (1)	aware (8)	103:18;110:22;	117:14	
199:8,18;202:5,16;	225:15	39:8;43:6;47:4;	150:23;155:17;	began (1)	
203:16,17;206:20,24;	attending (1)	63:14;64:3;66:6;	166:20;171:17;	109:14	
207:16	86:19	193:3;221:14	172:8;178:3,11;	begin (1)	
aspect (3)	attention (11)	away (5)	185:13;199:23;	249:4	
6:3;10:5;47:16	51:18;54:7;56:20;	12:8;17:10,19;	200:3,23;204:1;	Beginning (2)	
aspects (1)	57:2,5;67:4,17;	41:7,11	207:17;222:12;	19:11;219:5	
5:14	121:4;132:19,22;	awhile (1)	227:9;233:14;	begins (3)	
assessment (8)	141:5	155:17	234:22;259:19,20;	218:6,8;251:4	
47:19;205:11;	Attorney (3)		260:2,4;263:15;	behalf (1)	
244:1;247:21;252:9;	110:10;121:15;	В	267:2;271:1,2,4;	191:24	
261:3;262:13;270:23	275:19		274:7;275:18,22	behave (2)	
asset (2)	attributable (2)	back (57)	basic (5)	114:23;180:9	
110:21;183:22	207:6;232:22	8:7;13:19;20:22;	49:3,4,6;69:1;	behavior (5)	
assets (4)	audit (12)	22:15,23;23:6,8;	189:20	114:22;115:4,10;	
134:1;136:10;	9:8;238:20;239:5,	27:13;28:5;33:8;	basically (18)	168:7;180:3	
191:20,24	7;255:5,6;257:12,15,	46:8;47:1,6,20;	109:6;141:1;147:1,	behind (4)	
assets' (1)	17;258:10,11,13	48:20;59:22;64:16;	14,22;156:11;157:6;	10:16;93:5,7;	
159:18	audited (3)	71:9;79:2;91:20;	163:24;164:6;168:2;	163:21	
assigned (1)	255:19,20;277:5	92:16;93:22;110:16;	169:6;181:9,20;	believes (1)	
264:10	auditor (6)	111:10;115:5;127:2,	186:6;190:18;214:7;	243:20	
assigning (1)	12:14,23,23;62:18,	6;133:20;134:3,8;	226:19;243:24	below (4)	
191:19	21;63:2	135:21;138:23;	basics (1)	147:23;212:1;	
Assistance (11)	auditors (2)	140:20;141:14;	231:13	246:24;274:3	
38:24;39:3,8;41:1;	62:15,23	148:6;152:10;	basis (12)	Bench (2)	
51:22;52:4,19;53:4, 19;59:15;60:11	authored (1) 144:19	168:16;172:22;	19:23;60:18;71:10, 24;72:9;98:24;139:3;	150:11;235:19	
	authority (3)	173:6;174:1;179:6;	152:16,19;163:21;	144:20;145:3	
associated (7) 21:22;96:16;99:14;	118:8,10;241:16	188:20;191:17;	178:7;234:23	beneficial (1)	
111:10;112:12;	authorized (1)	192:24;193:8;195:8,	basket (5)	231:15	
122:20;260:22	279:9	22;206:1;207:7,10; 208:11;214:16;	162:9,10,12;	benefit (17)	
Association (1)	automatically (1)	230:16;265:12;	165:11;166:13	6:20;8:4;35:23;	
158:18	244:22	267:4;279:14;283:3	Bates (44)	36:5;46:12;76:12;	
assume (12)	availability (2)	back-and-forth (1)	19:11;33:20;35:16;	77:12;104:8,12,15,	
5:10;9:22;59:13;	231:21;266:15	236:3	70:12,18;71:2;73:16;	19,20;113:10;	
60:6;80:21;81:6,9,	available (10)	background (1)	74:13;75:7,24;76:1;	239:20;260:7,15;	
19;91:24;127:7;	70:19;73:18,20;	241:3	84:4;95:18;103:10;	269:7	
129:24;194:23	79:17,21;101:22;	backup (1)	123:13,16;130:19;	benefits (12)	
assumed (3)	235:14;246:1;	132:5	132:20;133:20;	43:24;76:17,20;	
170:13;173:2,6	247:19;257:11	bad (3)	135:22;138:24;	87:8,23;88:20,22;	
assumes (1)	average (65)	79:12;118:9;	141:6,15,16,17;	93:15;104:21;	
30:4	77:7;93:12;122:14,	147:21	142:13;149:6;	251:14;268:17,22	
assuming (8)	15;130:13,16;131:7,	Badgering (1)	150:14;152:2;161:5;	best (16)	
110:13;111:6;	8,12;132:1;133:16,	27:2	162:16;167:1;	20:4;136:2;139:13,	
174:18;181:20;	19;135:17;136:11;	Bailey (10)	180:24;185:6;	15,21,23,24;140:9;	
184:15;195:5,7;	137:5;140:1;141:8;	3:10;99:8,10;	197:13;201:13,18;	144:1,8;145:15;	
260:23	143:3;148:1,2,8,12;	189:6,7;213:2,3;	208:22;229:24;	146:22;149:15;	
assumption (9)	149:13,21;151:12,21;	275:7,9;280:1	237:8,11,23;238:1;	168:10;180:6;228:8	
50:12;59:17;173:1;	153:11,14,15,17,19;	balance (3)	254:9	better (16)	
175:15;177:4;	155:2;158:20,21,22;	196:4,10;218:1	Bauhan (2)	32:21;42:22;82:4;	
	1	l	1	1	

DAY 6 March 26, 2018

HEARING ON THE M	ERITS			March 26, 2018
89:6;134:21;138:5,6,	176:24;217:20;	81:18;99:23;	22;13:4;15:5,23,24;	carried (1)
9;166:4;189:2;	224:4;232:13;	262:16	16:12,23;17:5;23:3,7,	232:2
200:17,22;231:16;	251:13;252:10,24;	bulk (1)	8,12,21;27:9;30:17;	carrier (1)
	272:12;273:9,10	88:11	31:8,12;35:5;37:14;	56:15
268:11;269:11;				
278:21	bottom (14)	bullet (2)	40:4;43:9;44:13;	carry (1)
beyond (4)	40:16;114:6;134:6,	96:8,11	47:1,18;49:22;52:9,	92:6
9:7;14:18;33:24;	7;139:14;140:10;	bunch (6)	11;54:1;57:3;61:8,	case (129)
38:21	145:18;146:21;	116:22;138:11;	12;63:2,24;64:1;	5:4;16:7,13;21:6;
BGE (3)	153:11;165:20;	159:6,10;192:7;	69:4;72:5,22;73:8;	25:7;26:6,22,24;
109:7,15,18	168:23;209:1;	194:8	84:10;86:23;87:18;	27:17;31:24;32:21;
big (7)	218:19;219:1	burden (3)	88:5;90:6,17;92:20;	43:18;47:7,18,21,23;
107:5;112:11;	box (2)	39:20;122:17,19	93:20;101:1;103:10;	48:8;51:10,17,20,21,
162:23;163:18;	131:1;149:14	burning (1)	106:11;107:17,23;	23;52:6,13,21;54:2,6,
202:17,21;206:20	break (8)	240:1	108:24;110:2,5;	13,24;55:1,18,20;
big-dollar (1)	80:12;120:14,20;	business (1)	114:3;117:12;	56:11;60:12;66:17;
171:2	127:2;134:17;	242:22	120:14;121:18;	67:11,13;84:11,12,
bill (15)	152:12;176:13;178:9	businesses (2)	122:1;123:12,20;	12,13;91:9,12;92:15;
6:21;7:14,23;8:22;	breakdown (4)	245:23;283:5	127:10;128:9;	94:8;106:13;121:5;
12:20;13:6;49:17,21;	227:12;234:19;	buy (1)	129:10,24;133:2,23;	129:1,4;130:12;
50:1;114:3,5;115:3,	257:2;265:5	111:16	134:4;136:3;138:16,	131:5;157:22;169:1;
12;126:17,19	breaks (3)	buying (1)	19,20;139:18;146:6;	170:12,13;171:20;
billed (1)	96:4,15;271:24	119:15	149:20;152:11,14;	172:7,8,9,21;173:7;
242:23	Brief (5)		161:8;184:12;186:3;	174:9,14,16,17,18,
billing (21)	80:13;120:12,21;	С	190:21;193:12;	23;175:13,14,16;
10:12,12,21,22,24;	176:7;215:15		196:18;201:11;	177:7;178:1;179:21;
11:3,6,8,11,14,17;	briefly (2)	calculate (1)	204:5;206:10;207:1;	180:15;182:11,19;
12:6;13:1,2,3,7,10;	6:7;96:1	125:3	209:7;212:10;	183:17;185:5;187:5,
104:2;108:15,18;	bring (3)	calculated (4)	213:16;214:18;	15;188:2,4,5,21;
157:11	143:5;166:16;	103:5;105:10;	215:1;216:1;219:18;	190:19,21;191:1,2,6;
bills (3)	278:18	137:11;223:10	222:8;225:3;226:1;	193:1,9;194:19;
50:6,11;51:8	bringing (2)	calculates (1)	229:16;230:11,12,21;	195:16,17;196:11;
binder (2)	164:10;278:13	168:5	233:17;234:14;	197:12;199:24;
239:18;249:5	brings (2)	calculation (6)	236:9;237:16;238:2;	200:8;207:7,22;
bit (9)	93:22;128:7	105:21;198:15;	239:24;240:24;	209:6,23;210:4;
82:11;92:17;115:6;	broad (2)	232:6;259:17;262:3;	244:19;245:17;	224:13;225:12,19;
161:15;166:17;	95:21;158:24	266:22	248:20;254:10;	227:2;228:10;232:2;
179:16;194:8;	brought (4)	calculations (2)	259:19;263:15;	233:19;238:17,20;
208:20;269:5	54:6;93:11;226:5,	168:11;259:14	265:14,15;266:10,14;	240:4,18;243:14;
block (2)	15	calendar (3)	267:15;270:17;	254:10;257:16;
135:8;272:10	Brouillard (3)	171:9,11:172:1	274:8;281:13	270:21;273:5,11;
blocks (3)	243:16;244:6;	caliber (1)	candidly (1)	274:11;276:2,9,23;
272:9,12,15	252:1	99:1	180:11	279:18;280:4,19,21;
Blow (1)	Buckley (2)	call (2)	cap (4)	281:8
114:5	127:11,14	267:10;269:8	106:7,19,21,22	cases (13)
blower (9)	budget (18)	called (10)	capability (1)	170:15,16;173:3,
241:8;244:12,21;	10:11,12,21,22,24;	21:7;126:1;131:2;	165:9	19;175:4;177:5,12,
269:16,24;270:2,12,	11:3,6,8,11,14,17;	135:9,10,11;138:15;	capital (10)	13;190:13,16;227:9;
14;275:14	12:6;13:2,7,9;108:15,	235:5;266:6;268:5	41:17;42:8,24;	256:2;257:23
blue (1)	18;247:3	calling (2)	44:5;187:19;223:16;	cash (24)
223:2	budgets (1)	73:11;267:8	224:2;247:3;251:13;	6:16,19,23;7:2,4,6,
blunts (1)	274:6	calls (4)	274:6	7,16,18,19;8:4;11:23;
10:17	build (5)	22:3,10;43:20;	Capitalized (1)	14:17;49:15;112:3,
book (4)	68:9,19;79:18;	44:23	198:4	16,23;113:4,7,9,11,
167:24;168:14;	101:10;117:19	came (16)	capped (1)	23;260:6;274:23
169:9;193:14	building (8)	80:24;83:13,18;	105:24	catch (2)
borrow (1)	78:9;79:8,11;	113:6;151:15;	capture (3)	186:23;194:17
42:9	94:17,23;97:24;	153:15;155:8;	137:18;227:4,5	categories (6)
Boston (1)	101:15;108:6	159:23;162:9;165:5;	care (7)	95:21;161:14;
159:3	buildings (1)	188:12;198:21;	10:12;38:17;	191:20;226:4;
both (19)	79:21	220:6;226:18;	105:17,18;107:3;	228:20;238:2
33:3;41:2;42:22;	builds (1)	232:20;278:4		
54:17;57:15;69:15,	46:8	can (127)	113:8;282:4 careful (1)	category (4) 91:7;156:1;158:9;
15;141:24;154:7;	40:8 built (3)	7:9;10:2;11:6,6,16,	147:15	191:23
13,141.24,134.7,	built (3)	1.7,10.2,11.0,0,10,	147.15	171.23

cause (1) 114:21 caused (2) 112:15:186:10 cautioned (1) 127:21 cautious (1) 134:23 caveat (1) 200:13 center (64) 18:20,22;64:17,20, 21,23;67:15,19;68:5, 10,20;69:22,23; 72:20;74:17;76:14; 79:19;81:18,19;84:7; chance (2) 86:5;97:11,18;98:3, 10,12,18,22;99:2,20, 23;100:1,9,10,12,16; 101:2,10;110:11; 116:21:118:19; 119:22;125:13; 223:20;224:1; 225:10;226:18; 227:13;228:14,17; 229:5;231:7,11,14, 24;262:4;263:3; 265:24;266:11; 268:4;269:9;270:18; 274:17:277:23 centigrade (1) 47:2 certain (10) 24:8:65:20.20: 68:23;83:13;169:19; 186:20;191:19; 197:23:274:7 Certainly (7) 231:3;235:15; 236:15:237:16; 20 239:23;245:17;274:8 certainty (1) 24:7cetera (4) 21:24;33:23;71:21; 224:19 Chairman (112) 3:12;5:2,9;18:16, 21;19:1,3;22:6; 24:16;26:14,19;27:4; 37:1,5:40:9,19:45:17, 20;50:20;52:22; 53:10,16;55:7,11,21; 56:4,9,14;58:9; 59:20;60:20;61:4,10, 16,20;63:23;64:9,11; chart (16) 69:14;74:23;75:5; 78:1:80:8,11,15: 87:19;88:3,12,16; 99:7;107:10;111:18; 120:8,16,19,23; 121:1;126:24;127:5, charts (1) 13,18;129:23;130:3,

cheapest (1) 5;150:6;157:24; 176:3,5,13;189:5,9, 81:20 check (17) 12;196:17,22;197:2; 204:7.10:210:15: 20:20:21:11:22:1: 212:22;213:1,4,8,19; 58:20;65:2;97:5,9; 214:24;215:5,11,20; 170:19;171:24; 216:5,12;219:19; 195:9;196:1,4,9; 267:3,4;272:23; 230:9,15:247:10,23; 273:2 248:2,6,10,13,22; 258:22;259:1,2; chicken (1) 275:6;277:17;278:7, 138:22 24;281:12;282:3,8, choice (2) 13,21;283:2 148:20,21 challenge (3) choose (6) 11:16,21,22;81:21; 71:11;72:9;98:24 82:3:109:8 17:6;211:20 chooses (1) change (33) 79:7 38:18,19;47:22; chose (3) 64:16;114:21;115:3, 68:8;201:20;248:5 9;116:9,16;131:24; chosen (2) 133:17:134:19: 168:8:257:22 142:19;143:8;154:3, CI (5) 15;156:3;169:9,10; 144:23;145:5; 179:16;191:11; 147:23;165:15,24 194:8;202:1;211:14; circulated (1) 221:21;223:6; 217:10 249:16;250:8,8,9; circumstances (3) 251:10,12;259:19 185:20;254:17; changed (5) 256:10 116:4:179:1; citing (1) 194:21:206:24: 228:6 claim (1) 238:12 changes (7) 20:1 clarify (2) 21:23,23;46:13; 86:23;107:17 113:13;163:9; Clark (2) 175:18:251:20 changing (4) 222:16;245:21 108:2;202:13,16, class (4) 105:5,10,11; characteristic (1) 266:16 180:10 classes (3) characterization (2) 266:16;271:20; 83:19;232:7 272:1 charge (17) classified (1) 8:1;9:17,23;13:20; 232:5 21:3;44:24;45:3,7; classroom (1) 46:10,13;49:17; 94:20 102:10;114:13; clear (2) 123:1;242:9,16; 54:17;150:7 272:11 clever (1) charges (11) 90:23 44:20;45:23;46:8; clients (1) 50:4,5,10,17;51:3,5, 53:22 6;96:20 close (3) 216:1,19;257:20 57:20;82:13;91:5; closed (1) 121:6;123:9;141:7; 19:20 158:14,15;159:15,23; closing (3) 160:20,23;197:14,18; 26:11:62:13: 201:19;262:15 243:13 closings (2) 201:12 281:18.21

CMSR (2) **CNG** (1) coffee (1) cold (17) 17

189:7:213:3 241:10 163:17 12:20:50:4,9,15; 51:4;113:15;114:7, 18,18;115:17;116:2, 8,11,12;125:17,23; 126:19 colder (7) 9:20;35:21,23; 36:4;48:14;126:12, colleagues (2) 13:9;73:11 collect (2) 215:9;235:2 collected (3) 102:15;210:5; 235:11 collecting (1) 103:1 column (29) 83:9;86:7;89:2,14; 90:13:91:1.2:92:3; 93:17;122:9,10,14; 123:5:131:10.11; 133:22;136:18,19,20; 143:23:145:5:147:3: 165:16:168:21.23: 169:7,7;209:13; 222:4 columns (2) 122:9;139:6 combine (1) 123:18 combined (2) 14:20;105:11 comfort (1) 196:3 comfortable (5) 13:12;145:11; 178:20;219:20; 278:13 coming (7) 7:3;113:4;199:15; 206:11;274:11,12; 275:2 commence (2) 217:23;220:24 comment (5) 14:9,10;66:15; 82:6;245:18 comments (2) 62:13:245:16 commercial (5) 114:9:271:14,17, 23:272:4 **Commission (81)** 8:17;9:4;19:14,20;

DAY 6 March 26, 2018

20:16,22;21:12,15, 18:22:3.14.23:23:8. 23;24:7,20;26:12,16; 28:8.23:31:1.1.6.8.8. 9,10,12;32:11,13; 38:1,5,7,9;41:7; 47:10,18,24;48:1; 52:12,17;61:3;64:8; 66:2;79:11;90:4; 97:17:98:2:102:22; 108:7;111:1;121:18; 177:14:184:19; 187:6:192:8.14: 195:13:196:3,6,9; 198:21;199:22; 207:9;216:22;217:9; 220:2;221:9;236:9, 19;237:3,16;238:15; 243:5;244:19; 246:17;248:21; 273:4;275:10; 277:11:280:11 **Commissioner** (19) 3:10,11;99:7,10; 107:10,12;111:17; 189:5,9,11;213:1,4,6; 218:24;275:6,9; 277:17,19:280:1 **COMMISSIONERS (4)** 3:9:99:9:128:1; 275:8 commissions (1) 179:19 **Commission's (14)** 8:12:23:5:51:18; 54:7;56:20;57:2,5; 58:5;63:15,21;64:4; 255:6:256:16.19 commit (1) 29:7 committed (1) 220:19 commodity (11) 50:3,5,10;51:3,5; 113:13;125:17,22; 126:7,10,13 communicate (1) 221:20 communicating (1) 37:10 companies (9) 17:16:44:12:74:5. 8;155:18,18;157:8; 186:21;272:13 Company (234) 6:22;7:1;8:19,24; 9:4,13;10:10,17; 11:19;12:1,10;13:1, 4;14:11,14,16,18,20, 21:15:1.6.8.9.9.15. 20;16:5,17;17:1,3,3, 4,9,11,12,17;23:18; 25:16;26:23;27:16;

33:16;35:14,17,22, 24:36:5:37:24:41:5. 8.9.14.16:42:9:45:9: 46:3.21:47:20:52:24: 54:8,9,24,24;55:2; 57:7;58:1;60:7;61:1; 63:10;64:20,24; 65:18;66:1,22;69:8, 20;70:2,19;71:8,15, 17,22;72:5,6,8,19,20, 24;73:1,14,18;74:1,4; 75:19:76:13.17; 78:11,23;79:5,23; 80:2,3,19;82:2; 83:14;84:2;86:15,24; 89:10,19,20;90:5,7,8; 91:9,10,10,14,17; 94:15;96:2,8;98:4; 99:3,15,17;100:21; 104:17;108:7,15; 109:6,14:110:15,24; 111:14,15;113:9; 117:12,17,22;118:20; 119:2,3,4;122:23; 124:4;125:2,9;128:2; 129:3;142:3;152:24; 153:1,3;154:23; 155:4,5,7;170:2,14, 18,21;171:1,6;173:2, 16:175:7,9:177:5,11; 178:2;182:24; 183:18:184:7: 186:21:188:1.18; 189:23;190:5,7; 191:12;192:1,24; 193:22;194:1,14; 195:13:198:1.9.12; 199:1,20;201:2; 203:2,19;206:1; 209:8;210:7;211:15; 214:7:216:21:217:7: 218:11;220:1;221:8, 17,20;223:9,17; 228:4;233:20; 234:22;235:3;236:7, 15;238:11;240:12; 241:17;243:19; 247:1;249:17;250:2, 13,18;257:10;266:8; 269:20;274:6,14,24; 275:24:279:13; 280:4;281:22,22 company-by-company (1) 19:23 Company's (45) 7:18;15:17;21:2; 25:8;33:21;36:7; 44:4,19:45:6:46:16, 23;54:13;58:3;68:4; 71:5,11,13:78:8; 98:9:106:12:111:20: 125:10;142:4; 155:12,13,23;167:24;

168:14:169:21; 182:19:184:7: 185:15:199:16; 204:15:211:2: 212:14;214:2; 217:11;225:3;234:3; 254:23;259:10,22; 262:1:279:8 comparable (3) 246:15;271:21,22 comparative (1) 159:19 compare (2) 168:13:245:10 compared (11) 17:12;18:2;79:4; 163:15;180:21; 196:14;198:8; 214:12,15;223:7; 227:15 compares (1) 45:5 comparing (1) 181:6 comparison (2) 167:23;245:13 comparisons (1) 245:20 competent (1) 205:20 competing (1) 17:17 competition (2) 17:24:36:24 competitor (2) 17:5;18:2 competitors (5) 17:10,13,15,21; 63:11 **Complementary** (1) 58:24 complete (3) 70:20;170:19; 217:23 completed (2) 14:8;217:21 completely (5) 47:23;115:19,22; 170:1;174:15 complicacies (1) 13:7 complicated (3) 12:3;75:15;150:12 compliment (1) 273:11 component (5) 21:3;35:6;46:11; 123:19;205:23 composite (4) 164:2,10,12; 165:10 compound (2) 184:10:214:3

comprehensive (5) 21:16.19:22:10.12. 17 comprise (2) 88:10:280:6 computer (3) 163:17;233:14; 234:4 computing (1) 39:23 concept (7) 26:3:28:23:67:18: 93:5,7,19;112:19 concern (8) 6:10;29:20;30:24; 33:13;34:18;54:18; 62:19;67:15 concerned (1) 169:23 concerning (5) 31:7:78:8:197:7: 262:4:268:19 concerns (7) 5:13;10:3;34:15; 37:9;107:15,21; 108:21 conclude (5) 46:6;47:10;48:1; 81:24:110:5 concluded (3) 79:23;119:10; 266:24 concludes (2) 16:21:18:12 conclusion (22) 27:12;28:3,13; 29:23;60:18;62:8; 68:23;71:12,22; 72:10;74:7;80:2; 81:3:86:22:98:11; 116:19;118:22; 131:23;133:15; 151:15;200:15;271:4 conclusions (3) 132:5;171:14; 233:14 Concord (1) 67:14 conference (3) 67:6,12;265:8 confess (2) 53:18:185:3 confidence (1) 146:5 confidential (1) 257:9 confine (2) 38:1,11 confirm (1) 150:4 conformance (7) 137:8:138:14: 139:14,15;145:23;

147:12:166:8 confused (5) 55:8;86:2;88:6; 109:3:181:18 confusing (6) 10:1;11:19;12:1.2. 8:108:4 confusion (4) 56:10,15,17; 190:11 connection (4) 31:22:46:1:171:20; 268:17 conservation (1) 62:6 conservation-related (1) 34:1 conservative (3) 146:14;201:8; 202:3 conserve (1) 48:17 consider (6) 70:2;101:16;120:6; 156:22;214:11;269:6 considered (6) 19:15;76:17;78:10; 95:12;101:24;117:24 considering (1) 257:8 consisted (2) 257:5:267:1 consistent (11) 28:17:103:2.3.6: 152:6;201:8;208:6, 10,13;217:6;238:14 construction (4) 186:22;187:1; 188:24:218:2 consultant (3) 128:15;192:15; 233:18 Consulting (1) 128:5 Consumer (8) 40:5;45:11,12,15; 63:21;107:16;108:3, 22 consumers (3) 42:22;43:1;107:20 consumption (3) 13:16:14:3.4 contact (1) 231:14 contained (3) 95:22;123:21; 273:11 contains (4) 130:21;197:19; 223:16:280:5 cont'd (4) 3:2:5:21:197:4; 210:16

context (5) 20:17;216:17; 230:22,24;244:6 continue (12) 5:3;37:12;55:8; 154:12:194:4: 195:15,18;197:6; 200:2;220:19; 231:11;244:3 continues (3) 184:8;218:17; 251:23 **Continuing** (2) 217:19;243:21 continuously (1) 194:16 contract (3) 84:12;222:14; 260:8 contractual (2) 221:2;260:22 contrast (2) 28:8;262:23 contributed (1) 206:16 contributing (1) 111:8 control (6) 251:11,21;270:3,6, 15,18 controlled (1) 268:24 controller (2) 270:2.14 conversation (3) 204:8;218:17; 236:3 convert (1) 241:10 **Co-Op** (2) 74:2;119:9 cope(1)108:10 copies (4) 239:21,23;254:21; 256:12 copy(7)176:17;239:14; 243:12;255:5,7,8; 258:13 CORE (2) 20:6:69:19 corner (6) 130:24;131:1; 133:7;143:2;150:2; 153:19 corporate (1) 260:20 correcting (2) 38:12:184:22 corrections (1) 129:15 correctly (3)

Min-U-Script®

SUSAN J. ROBIDAS, N.H. LCR (603) 540-2083 shortrptr@comcast.net (6) company-by-company - correctly

HEARING ON THE M	ERITS	[-	March 26, 2018
36:20;38:8;57:1	59:17	84:13;159:7;162:12;	customers' (1)	Day (11)
corresponding (4)	count (2)	199:2;201:9,10;	113:11	5:4;50:3;86:11;
86:14,15;93:9,10	99:13;100:4	223:11	customer's (4)	90:24;116:1;249:14;
cost (93)	counterintuitive (1)	currently (2)	7:19;13:5;16:16;	271:3,8;282:5;
7:15;12:11;34:17;	115:13	10:10;117:1	49:14	283:10,11
42:24;49:10;67:20,	counterproductive (2)	Curve (72)	cut (1)	days (4)
20;68:5,9;76:12,16;	6:14;13:24	133:21,24;134:1,5;	265:21	12:21,21;196:13;
77:12;83:6,23;84:2;	country (1)	135:6,17,18,22;	cycle (12)	249:14
85:4,10;86:15;87:3,	159:11	136:2,9,11,12,13,14,	104:2;138:1,4;	day-to-day (1)
4;89:3,4,14,23,23;	couple (13)	17,23;137:1,2,9,13,	147:13;166:5;170:7,	234:23
90:14,18,19,20,20;	10:8;62:12;65:16;	18;138:2,9,12,19;	7;173:14,18,20,22;	DCF (6)
91:2,7;92:7,16;93:1,	70:12;119:5;154:3;	139:1,10,11,21,23,	177:21	221:24;222:17,23;
3,9,10,17,22;95:8,14;	177:11;179:8;	24;140:9,10;143:4;	cycles (6)	278:10;279:6,10
96:5,15;104:17;	189:20;219:16;	144:1,2,3;145:23;	170:7;173:21;	DE (7)
115:5,9;121:7;	220:14;255:8;272:12	146:3,13,14;147:4,	177:20;178:15;	19:15;20:15;30:1;
122:18;123:4,14;	course (8)	10,10,13;148:7,9;	184:16;188:16	124:1;125:4;228:10;
124:7,10;126:11;	109:17;190:20;	149:15,20;151:11,14,	D	230:5
128:22;216:22;	221:21;227:8;258:6;	19,20;152:3,5;156:4,	D	dead (1)
217:11;218:9,16;	263:10,10;265:17	5,8,10,11,13;165:17;		223:20
220:2,6,15;222:18;	courses (4)	166:21;167:2,4,5,5,	daily (2)	deal (9)
233:8;238:11,15,24;	264:4,9,10,11	10;168:3,8;180:1,4	77:8;231:9	18:11;126:7;
240:9,13;241:4,5; 242:20;243:3;244:7;	Court (6)	curved (1) 23:10	dampen (2)	134:10,11;169:16,17;
242:20;245:3;244:7; 245:19;246:4,5,6;	35:1;59:11;127:21; 199:5;201:4;248:1	curves (13)	11:12;170:3 Dane (2)	193:13;205:19; 275:24
249:12,15,22;251:13;	cover (1)	134:6;137:22;	237:1,6	dealing (3)
253:1,9,20,24;254:2,	51:16	140:3;143:17;144:8;	Dane's (1)	142:11;179:1;
3;255:13;258:12;	coverage (2)	146:24;147:20,21,22,	254:14	206:18
267:15,18,22	243:21;244:3	24;164:22;166:6;	data (84)	deals (1)
cost/benefit (1)	covered (1)	199:9	70:4,13,16;71:16;	133:12
101:5	96:12	customer (76)	73:3,16;79:16;83:13;	dealt (1)
cost-effective (1)	covers (1)	6:19;7:4,11,24;8:3,	84:6;88:24;89:21;	28:16
38:4	132:14	9,13,19;9:5,7,9,14,15,	91:11,17;94:6;98:14;	December (9)
costly (2)	create (1)	16;10:1,13;11:3,14,	117:20;121:23;	236:1;237:19;
6:13;12:9	58:17	20;12:4,12,17,19;	122:23;132:4,8;	238:6;239:16;
costs (88)	created (4)	13:23;14:15,20,23;	134:16,17;135:1;	244:13;250:16;
21:2;43:1;64:21,	55:14;108:3;	15:2,2,11,16,17,20,	137:10,12;138:12,19;	251:8;256:6;269:17
23;76:19;77:10;81:1;	183:17;200:14	21,24;16:7,14;33:9;	139:4,5;140:2;	decide (4)
82:8,15;86:8;89:24;	creates (4)	35:14;42:2;45:3,10;	145:24;151:18;	11:4;23:24;49:17;
90:13;95:22;96:3,9,	29:11;42:23;105:2;	49:20,22,23;50:10;	153:5,6,22;154:8,12;	236:9
21;97:1,6;104:16;	108:10	51:8,12;100:14;	157:9,16,21;159:19;	decided (4)
121:11;124:5;126:7;	credit (1)	103:1;104:2,17;	162:7;163:19;171:7,	69:22;79:18;
217:3,8;223:24;	48:20	108:8;112:22;	13,17;172:2,8;	174:15;215:23
224:2,2,3,5,18,18;	crediting (1)	113:10,23;114:12;	175:17;178:3,7,12,	deciding (2)
225:15;227:5;	46:11	115:21;126:10;	14;180:7;185:11;	76:13;95:12
235:16,17,22,23,24;	critique (1)	157:4,16;206:2,12;	186:12;187:9;	decision (18)
236:2,9,23;237:18;	77:14	214:8;231:14;	190:15;199:11,16;	32:10;43:6;49:23;
238:2,5,16,21,22,23;	cross (1)	254:20;256:12;	204:15;224:21;	68:19,22;79:12;
239:3,4,8,10,14;	18:18	266:24;267:8,11,12,	227:24;233:13,20;	80:21;101:10,20;
240:6,13;241:18;	Cross-examination (7)	16;268:3,11;269:12;	239:18;240:4,5,17,	111:3;117:14,18;
242:16;243:2,15;	3:8;19:4;27:8;	272:11	23;243:15;244:5;	119:13;148:15;
247:5;248:19;253:9,	64:12;130:6;210:16;	customers (39)	247:12,24;248:7,14;	235:21;250:14,21;
12,23,23;254:5,15,	259:3	23:2;30:14;34:20;	249:7,17,19;250:5;	277:12
18,20,22;255:17,19;	CSR (1)	40:1;41:3,14;45:7,8;	251:4,17;253:3;	decision-making (6)
256:5;257:2;258:3,	228:13	48:13,22;49:8,16;	268:18	100:17;101:9;
16,17;275:13,15; 276:1 2 4 13 16 23;	CSRs (1) 228:16	54:19,20;58:3;63:17; 64:6;105:19;111:8;	database (1) 151:1	116:7;117:4,6; 119:15
276:1,2,4,13,16,23;	Cunningham (1)			decisions (2)
277:3,4;280:6 co-training (1)	169:22	112:3,16,17;113:8; 114:16;125:16,20;	data-intensive (2) 157:10,15	191:13;280:5
119:7	cup (1)	126:6;172:19,22;	date (4)	decoupled (1)
Counsel (5)	163:16	189:23;190:7;191:7;	218:14;221:4;	44:13
67:9;75:3;204:12;	current (14)	209:24;210:5;	231:13;251:13	decoupling (76)
246:9;262:5	16:15;36:6;45:2;	268:13;272:17,19;	dated (1)	5:15;6:3;10:6;
counsel's (1)	46:16,24;67:20;79:3;	273:1;274:4	160:24	16:20;18:18;19:13;
	10.10,21,07.20,79.3,	2/3/1,2/1/1	100.21	10.20,10.10,17.13,

SUSAN J. ROBIDAS, N.H. LCR (603) 540-2083 shortrptr@comcast.net

(7) corresponding - decoupling

20:16,24;22:11; 23:18;24:10,24; 25:17,21;26:3,5,23; 27:15,16,20;28:7,9, 17,18;30:11;31:20; 33:2,11,11,14;36:17; 37:19;38:2,10,12; 39:13,17,21,24;41:6, 20,20;42:10,13,20; 43:24:44:4,7:45:24: 46:6,11,12;47:12,22; 48:2,5:51:23:52:15, 20;54:18;57:6,8,13, 18:60:24:102:2.7; 103:14;105:20,23; 107:18;109:1,14,21; 110:6;125:14 decrease (1) 36:11 decreases (1) 51:5 defer (3) 18:17;112:20; 215:24 deferred (1) 254:22 deficiency (2) 182:9;246:24 deficient (3) 184:4:186:9.19 deficit (6) 102:14:169:5.11. 13:206:10:212:9 definitely (2) 56:17;155:19 definition (2) 115:20:177:6 delay (1) 219:2 deleted (1) 68:14 deliver (1) 17:5 delivery (2) 7:3;12:15 demand (2) 29:18;50:7 demonstrates (1) 207:3 demonstrating (1) 230:4 department (1) 73:12 departments (2) 235:9;257:6 dependable (1) 145:2 depending (4) 9:17;33:12;235:10; 267:13 depends (10) 8:2;11:15;12:19; 36:2;50:7;111:3;

146:2 114:14:140:22; 224:9:263:18 depreciate (1) 169:12 determined (2) depreciated (1) 189:24 developed (4) depreciating (1) 190:6 155:2 **Depreciation** (49) deviation (1) 128:8,10:129:5; 151:14 130:11;136:15; Dexter (64) 137:3;144:21;153:8; 156:9;169:14; 173:23;174:3,20,22; 175:4,12;177:8,13, 20;178:2,6,11,15; 179:15;182:1,16; 184:15,18;185:14,21; 187:4;188:3,6,16; 190:16,19;191:3; 193:12;194:21; 196:10:197:8: 198:24;199:1,2,3; 200:3;203:7;209:2; 233:12 derived (1) 232:24 describe (6) 63:20;72:13;133:3; 9,11,17,24 149:20;228:2;229:12 DG (9) described (15) 21:19:31:5:58:10: 61:21;75:10;78:2; 158:1;176:9;191:21; 256:23 difference (7) 195:9:204:17; 215:17;228:2;263:2; 265:3 describes (3) 22 differences (2) 74:2,9;252:9 describing (1) 24:3 different (35) description (1) 218:2 design (5) 19:22;20:2;21:1; 46:16,24 detail (6) 76:23;80:6;157:4; 240:21;251:10; 255:12 detailed (5) 77:1,4;85:20; 142:7:256:9 281:24 differently (1) details (12) 269:6 77:8,9;81:23;82:6; 84:1;124:8;241:11; difficult (2) 249:11,21;256:4; 261:1:264:4 difficulties (1) detected (3) 62:14 270:4,7,16 Direct (13) deteriorate (1) 138:21 deteriorates (1)

197:4:213:14; determination (2) 216:15:218:14.16 directed (4) 258:18:276:8 40:10:112:2.18: 19:21:250:9 275:24 directing (1) 59:8;60:4;144:16; 151:6 direction (11) 62:6;109:22,23,23; 110:3;146:16; 183:13;190:4; 3:8;5:10,11,22; 210:21,22;211:1 18:14;26:7;27:5; directly (2) 32:5;40:4;43:13; 29:13:190:10 director (4) 53:11,14;59:16,21; 250:20;251:1,1,2 60:16;63:19;70:8; 74:18;75:3;77:3; disagree (8) 87:10,21;120:8,10, 81:3;83:8;89:5; 23,24;121:3;126:22; 98:12;112:19; 130:3,4,7;141:13; 114:15,16;211:5 150:10;151:4; disagreed (2) 197:24:198:4 157:19;158:4,11; 175:24;176:6,12,19; disagreeing (1) 191:18:196:21: 244:1 197:2,3,5;204:5,9,13, disappears (1) 20;213:11,12,24; 167:6 disapprove (1) 215:4;224:20;259:2, 4;275:4;278:9;282:6, 22:19 disclosure (1) 228:3 25:6:27:14:171:21; disconnect (1) 225:12:239:5:240:9: 224:23 241:21:255:10; discount (1) 279:9 discounted (2) 17:2;104:4;159:5; 259:10;260:6 168:15;169:2;253:1, discover (2) 26:21;27:12 discovery (12) 137:12:183:6 70:1;73:19;84:22; 223:23;224:14; 9:17:16:19:24:2; 225:13,18;236:13,17; 29:16;35:15;53:20, 240:14;241:2;276:5 22,22;56:11,12; discuss (3) 60:13;83:24;107:22; 43:9;228:3;281:18 discussed (11) 114:10;134:20; 179:8;183:1;188:14; 20:16;21:12;24:9; 44:7;150:22;223:8; 197:19;227:4,14; 235:9;245:19;262:9, 225:10,11;226:22; 24;265:13,22;266:8; 250:22;256:24 267:13:271:24; discusses (2) 272:9,15;278:9,10; 73:17;242:13 discussing (3) 41:2;57:20;239:8 discussion (15) 14:11;80:10;99:17; 62:24;108:9 120:18;156:7; 204:11;215:14,24; 216:17;218:5; 224:21:230:14; 3:7;5:21;62:13; 271:2:283:1.4 121:4;127:23; discussions (3) 132:19,21;141:4; 125:15;169:23;

DAY 6 March 26, 2018 2:12

192:12 dispute (7) 78:20,21;90:6; 92:10;98:23;141:24; 281:2 distinction (3) 229:14;236:11; 273:12 distracting (1) 75:2 distribute (2) 157:21:212:11 distributed (2) 17:7:176:14 distribution (12) 12:16;36:1,7; 46:17;50:17;51:6; 113:14;133:24; 136:23;238:17,19; 276:11 divide (4) 93:1:181:14: 261:16.20 divided (3) 97:1,3;261:9 division (7) 28:16;66:4,10,18; 238:21;242:18,24 division's (2) 66:7:256:20 Docket (55) 19:15.21:20:1.10. 15:22:20:23:6:24:11, 19.19:25:6.10.13.14. 17:26:9:27:13.14.14; 28:5,20,22;30:1; 31:15:32:17:33:15, 17;41:23;45:14;65:3, 6;67:5;76:2;77:15; 83:24;84:3;89:22; 124:13;129:11; 171:20;205:18; 206:7,8;209:7;219:7; 224:9,15;225:9,11; 226:5;236:22; 247:13;248:8;252:2; 256:17 dockets (7) 41:23;83:24;84:8; 205:19;223:24; 224:10;277:8 document (43) 48:5;51:22;52:4,7; 54:11;55:16;58:10, 16;61:5,21;73:17; 74:15,20,21,24; 77:22;78:2;85:20; 109:1,4;110:2;122:2, 3,6;123:8;133:1; 155:9;158:1;171:16; 176:1,9,15,20;179:5; 185:2;204:14,17,21; 217:15;229:19;

237:10:245:3:258:1 documentation (5) 254:21;255:17,21; 256:13:257:5 documents (9) 53:20;56:12; 101:11;176:4,24; 215:17;255:3.9; 260:22 dollar (2) 142:2;269:10 dollars (28) 92:9:153:10.13: 161:14,14;162:9,10; 164:13;165:2,8,10, 11;166:13;170:18, 22;184:5;186:11,15, 17,22;190:3;194:9; 223:5;226:20;263:9; 274:2,4,12 dollar-weighted (1) 149:12 done (46) 76:12;77:14,18,21; 79:5;93:21;99:20,21; 100:11;101:1;103:8; 105:6;131:14; 132:11;143:20; 171:17;174:3,20,22; 175:23;178:2,6,11; 182:1;184:21;191:1, 2:193:24:206:15: 209:12,19:210:1,6, 10;215:13;217:4,22; 225:17;226:8; 245:20;262:16,18; 264:17:266:22; 271:8;276:5 double (1) 183:20 doubled (1) 170:23 doubles (1) 109:18 doubt (2) 100:22;259:16 down (19) 13:10;93:12;97:8; 111:24;134:17; 135:7;164:11;165:5; 166:1,16;167:7; 174:24;186:23; 193:18,20;194:15,17; 203:11;264:17 downtown (1) 141:2 dozens (3) 84:6;241:13; 280:22 Dr (7) 18:4;50:2,13;51:2; 62:2;112:20;249:4 dramatically (1)

60:7 draw(4) 28:13;29:23;67:17; 171:14 drawbacks (2) 104:10.16 21 drawing (1) 57:4 dreaded (1) 223:4 drew (3) 51:18;56:20;57:1 Drive (3) 128:5;270:2,13 driven (2) 187:18;188:17 driving (1) 171:3 drop (5) 37:18;154:16; 163:4;270:4,16 due (5) 190:9;205:10; 211:2;213:17;231:20 duly (1) 127:20 duplicative (2) 55:17;227:17 during (18) 14:10:15:21:17:1; 50:15:125:23; 190:17:193:20: 199:6:224:16; 231:21:235:16: 238:8;240:17; 250:16,22;258:5; 263:4:265:17 duties (1) 263:22 Ε earlier (16) 37:9;79:2;94:7; 117:5,5;144:12; 156:7;164:4;171:16; 181:3;183:19; 186:14;187:24; 202:6;223:7;257:14 58:1 early (5) eg (1) 150:8:156:12: 167:15;219:8;250:23 egg (1) earn (3) 274:15;275:2; 279:19 earning (2) 41:12,12 earnings (10) 23:11,13,15,16,19, 21;41:9;42:20,23; 274:23 easier (1) 42:9 electric (27)

easily (2) 139:18:174:13 economic (8) 21:23:35:6:38:18: 49:6:50:8:67:3:75:9, economics (2) 49:3,4 economist (1) 35:4 economists (1) 35:5 economy (1) 33:22 educated (2) 9:5;269:12 EEI/AGA (1) 158:17 effect (18) 23:19;38:13;39:24; 42:4;44:3;58:2;64:8; 75:14;81:1;96:23; 98:3;109:8;112:8; 170:4;186:13;190:5; 217:2;223:10 effective (1) 11:13 effectively (3) 12:15,18;103:22 effects (1) 36:21 efficiencies (1) 74:15 efficiency (37) 6:15:10:18:16:20: 19:17,23;20:6,18; 21:22;24:21;28:17, 21,24;29:4,6;31:21; 33:23,24;36:23; 37:22:38:4,14,17,18, 22;57:13,14,21;59:6, 8;60:3,4,15;61:2; 62:3,7;75:10;110:8 efficient (2) 18:1,3 effort (1) 196:12 efforts (1) 33:22 138:22 eight (1) 207:18 either (6) 30:13;37:4;62:21; 66:20;156:13;265:11 elaborate (1) 23:12 elaborated (1) 112:24

17:3,4,7:28:16; 32:18:54:15.18.19: 55:2;89:6,11:173:13; 224:13;227:1; 229:13,15;231:5,10, 17,22;232:14;264:8; 265:4;268:5,9; 272:22:282:15 electricity (4) 17:5;29:14:30:13; 231:13 electronic (7) 161:19;162:4,21; 163:6,21;164:11; 166:19 electronics (3) 163:5,9,9 element (4) 243:19,20;279:7; 281:8 elements (2) 6:9;280:22 elevate (1) 281:2 elicit (1) 216:10 eliminate (3) 30:11:112:13; 207:20 eliminated (2) 14:14:33:13 eliminates (2) 14:16:34:2 else (10) 28:6:100:14:127:7, 15;140:15;190:24; 194:4;215:13;233:9; 282:4 e-mail (1) 152:24 emanated (1) 169:20 embodied (1) 6:4 emergency (2) 242:20;256:5 emphasis (1) 157:15 employee (6) 91:8;92:14;93:15; 263:10,10:267:23 employees (24) 65:8,20,21;74:11; 77:11;86:19;90:24; 93:13;99:18;100:11; 229:12;231:6,10,12, 15,22;232:23;262:8, 21,24;263:20;268:4; 269:8;273:12 encourage (2) 48:13;179:18 encouraged (1) 61:1

DAY 6 March 26, 2018

end (17) 8:18:14:13:16:5. 11:42:19:104:4.5; 131:16:134:2: 144:22;147:23; 168:6;172:3;219:14; 226:18;269:13; 276:19 ended (1) 5:24 ending (1) 129:6 energy (37) 6:14;9:19;10:18; 16:20;19:16,22;20:6, 18;21:22;24:20; 28:16,21,24;29:3,6; 31:21;33:22;36:23; 37:22;38:4,13,17,18, 21;57:12,13,14,21; 59:6,7;60:2,3,5,15; 61:2;62:3;110:8 EnergyNorth (26) 5:3;25:7;26:22; 82:14,14;84:5,11; 121:7;159:22;174:3, 9;175:8,9,12,15; 217:20;242:9,23; 256:21;267:1; 270:24;271:21; 272:2,17:273:6,24 EnergyNorth's (4) 125:21;225:11; 256:22;271:5 engage (2) 75:15;76:18 **Engineering** (1) 251:2 enhanced (1) 144:17 enhancement (2) 251:10,12 enhancements (3) 251:20;252:17,22 enhancing (1) 110:7 enough (16) 28:4;60:1;72:24; 91:6;148:24;151:5; 152:19;175:17; 182:15:183:15; 202:1:212:10: 213:21;216:6; 248:12;280:9 entered (2) 236:14;260:8 enthusiastically (1) 279:22 entire (4) 98:4:126:2,4:131:9 entirely (2) 36:22;37:20 entirety (2)

62:5:185:16 entitled (6) 27:7:51:23:121:6; 209:2:241:17:269:19 environment (3) 39:21;85:5;268:24 environmental (1) 78:16 equal (2) 194:5;233:9 equation (1) 37:19 **Equipment (5)** 142:12;154:10; 166:23;268:9,10 equity (5) 41:16;42:7;43:8, 20;44:5 equivalent (2) 15:15,19 **ERTS (11)** 161:19;162:4,17, 21;163:6;166:12,14, 18,20;203:4,17 especially (2) 233:19;274:2 essence (3) 29:3:155:18; 189:22 essentially (5) 53:19:124:6; 203:12:276:9:281:23 established (2) 102:10:264:23 estimate (6) 87:7;140:17;218:9; 222:13:254:3:267:15 estimated (4) 153:2;249:22; 256:1:257:23 et (4) 21:24;33:23;71:21; 224:19 evaluate (1) 172:4 evaluation (1) 159:17 even (20) 7:13;8:5;12:9; 14:12;15:2;73:4; 79:9;104:22;114:12; 116:2;120:5;145:6; 152:12;154:8; 199:19;200:16,21; 211:23;227:11; 235:18 evening (2) 277:20,21 event (8) 24:9:47:13:186:13; 244:13,20;251:9,22; 253:5 events (1)

242:21 exchange (9) eventually (2) 110:15;111:9 **Eversource** (2) 74:3:119:8 exchanged (1) everybody (10) 240:17 10:23;11:18,24; excluded (1) 12:4;13:22;35:11; 110:14 48:24;49:1;105:3; excludes (1) 266:8 259:9 everybody's (1) exclusive (1) 51:19 79:19 everyone (4) exclusively (7) 150:11;190:24; 208:20;282:1 evidence (10) excuse (3) 48:21;49:1;68:8; 83:7;236:8;239:10; Exhibit (91) 248:18;253:4;277:1; 280:2 evolution (1) 157:13 evolving (1) 157:17 exact (1) 87:21 exactly (23) 8:13;10:14;16:9; 36:24;40:22;49:12; 53:9:56:2,16:91:4; 101:3,18:102:16; 114:1:117:10: 121:18;122:4; 124:15;207:8,24; 208:18:215:10; 280:21 **EXAMINATION (15)** 3:6,7,13;5:21;20:2, 3;52:19;67:14;121:2; 127:23;189:18; 197:4;213:23; 216:15:279:4 examined (1) 124:13 example (10) 35:3;68:14;70:16; 278:12 79:9;115:19;116:6; 119:19;132:8; exhibits (5) 143:19;280:10 Excel (2) 85:21:124:2 existed (2) except (3) existence (1) 34:2;143:7;166:18 exception (1) 190:12 existing (19) 274:17 excerpt (5) 40:17;52:3;53:7; 217:13;220:5 excerpted (4) 52:14:58:16:61:7; 132:12 excess (1) 271:10 182:10 exists (1)

71:9:79:3:88:19: 110:9;216:20,23; 217:1:225:1:246:9 70:20,23;71:7,12; 72:1,16;81:14 54:9;102:19;245:1 7:23;39:12,16; 40:18;42:14;51:20; 52:3,8,16:53:1,2; 54:12;55:12,15;56:1, 7,22,22,23;58:6,8,11, 14,17;61:6,17,22; 70:5,9;78:3;83:1,2; 94:5,11;95:18,23; 108:24;121:21; 122:2;123:8,21; 127:8;129:11; 132:12,18:134:3,12; 139:6;141:6;143:12; 149:17.24:150:8: 157:20,23;158:2; 160:21;165:1; 176:10,15;179:6; 182:4;185:3,12; 197:12:204:15,18,22; 206:3;207:3,11; 212:6;215:24; 217:10:218:23; 220:5:221:24: 222:24;223:7; 229:23;237:7,9; 241:23;244:5; 248:20,24;259:6,8; 262:11;269:14; 128:13;215:18; 248:7,9;281:18 133:16:189:21 39:20;92:6;142:19; 143:8;148:12;149:9; 157:17;195:11,23; 198:11;199:21; 200:2;201:21;202:5, 12,14;204:3;245:11; 255:11;257:4;258:2

119:9 exit (1) 117:5 Expansion (1) 105:19 expect (8) 110:4;141:1; 153:24:167:11; 173:18;177:9; 178:10:194:5 expectation (2) 192:24;195:8 expectations (1) 112:16 expected (6) 105:9;131:8; 221:10;234:24; 251:14;273:14 expects (1) 220:24 expenditure (1) 77:7 expense (4) 42:21;136:16; 156:9;237:13 expenses (3) 7:14;123:24; 242:10 expensive (3) 81:21;82:3;114:8 experience (9) 41:20:115:22: 118:6,7;128:10,17; 159:18;162:20; 192:10 experiment (1) 48:4 expert (2) 73:6.8 expertise (5) 65:3,6,23;66:7; 71:11 explain (24) 5:18;7:9,10;9:12; 25:3;43:5;69:3;94:4; 136:3;161:8;179:12; 198:18,20;205:22; 225:3;233:17; 251:10,22;252:19,21, 22;253:22;265:17; 268:2 explained (2) 14:1;199:14 explaining (4) 167:22;254:17; 256:9,13 explains (1) 250:13 explanation (11) 71:6;72:14;106:14; 118:3;119:12;142:8; 221:2;254:23;

DAY 6 March 26, 2018

explanations (2) 117:18.19 explore (1) 67:8 explored (1) 101:4 exposing (1) 40:1 exposure (1) 253:2 expressed (2) 26:2;28:9 extended (1) 183:7 extensive (4) 94:17,23;95:12; 276:5 extent (6) 31:23;38:9;41:14; 46:6;49:8;68:24 extra (3) 85:16.16.18 extracted (2) 40:17;42:16 extremely (1) 146:14 F face (4) 15:1.2:17:13:18:10 faced (1) 170:2 faces (1) 35:11 facilitate (1) 20:4 facilities (5) 78:23:100:12,14; 119:21:265:7 facility (22) 78:9,14;89:20; 94:17:100:20; 101:16;110:16; 117:19;118:2;119:8; 216:18;225:16; 231:20;236:2; 252:13,18;253:14; 254:7;262:16; 265:21:266:10; 274:18 facility-specific (3) 226:16;227:19,20 facing (5) 35:13,14,15; 207:14;211:21 fact (16) 22:2,9;72:13; 114:19:116:15; 150:8;169:3;174:12; 177:16;179:4; 185:10:186:15; 188:1,13;260:19;

Min-U-Script®

DAY 6 March 26, 2018

HEARING ON THE M	ERIIS		I	Marcii 20, 2018
270:6	71:10;79:16;102:1;	112:10;283:5	6:16,20,24;7:2,5,6,	foundation (1)
factor (2)	181:22;194:3,4;	finished (2)	7,16,18,19;8:4;11:23;	60:17
136:15;156:9	211:9;216:2;218:5;	5:12;175:23	14:18;49:15;111:10;	four (15)
factoring (1)	230:24;278:9,10	fire (1)	112:3,16,23;113:4,7,	26:8;81:12;84:8;
200:6	field (2)	257:6	9,11,24;172:6;260:6;	132:14,15;142:10;
factors (2)	154:9;268:11	firm (1)	274:23	161:8,13;162:19;
183:1;191:13	Fifteen (1)	126:5	flows (1)	165:4;191:21;193:1,
facts (1)	261:17	first (43)	110:16	9;211:22;241:2
116:10	figure (8)	18:24;19:14;28:12;	fluctuations (3)	fourth (4)
failure (3)	12:24;86:20;	59:3;67:4,21;71:1;	21:21,21,24	145:5;165:16;
244:21;270:1,13	102:13;121:11;	84:11;85:18;86:7;	fluid-like (1)	225:9;241:24
failures (3)	135:4;139:22;	91:19;94:11,15;96:8;	8:16	frame (1)
167:15;241:8;	181:12;215:9	102:3;103:17;	focus (8)	174:14
244:12	figuring (1)	111:22;119:24;	34:14;83:10;84:17;	Franz (1)
fair (24)	62:15	122:9;124:24;	100:17;123:13;	26:1
23:14;28:4,4;34:3,	file (13)	130:12;136:19;	224:16;273:16;281:1	free (3)
5;60:1;76:24;84:6,	170:14,16;173:7;	150:18;158:14;	focused (1)	17:22,23;47:22
20,22;140:5,5;	175:12,15;177:5,7,7,	191:17;194:19;	67:2	frequencies (3)
148:24;151:5;	10,11;188:5,5;280:21	198:23;220:13;	follow (6)	175:2,3,4
152:19;172:13;	filed (14)	225:7;228:7;229:10;	91:8;180:3;193:24;	frequency (3)
191:15;205:11;	25:7,20;128:24;	237:11;240:8;	195:3;226:1;278:8	66:12;177:9;
213:21;216:5;224:6;	169:1;174:9,14;	241:23;244:15,23;	followed (2) 68:21;207:10	182:16
248:12;262:13;280:9 fairly (6)	184:18;185:5;219:8; 224:11;226:24;	247:1;265:12;270:8;	·	frequency's (1) 188:6
37:10;77:1,4;	228:23;230:3;255:14	274:2;282:16,18; 283:4	following (5) 150:12;200:24;	Friday (15)
120:12;266:20,21	filing (11)	fit (3)	219:14;250:15,17	5:12;10:19;14:1,
fall (2)	173:2;182:21;	137:15;138:9;	follows (2)	12;20:14;34:4;36:19;
97:15;180:15	185:16;213:15;	144:14	26:17;180:18	37:16;39:1;48:9;
falls (1)	236:21,22;238:9;	Fitchburg (1)	follow-up (1)	51:18;54:7;62:2;
142:4	276:3,17;280:4,16	173:12	84:20	83:3;92:10
familiar (8)	fill (1)	fits (1)	food (2)	Frink (4)
25:6;31:14;38:23;	273:24	137:9	13:18,19	245:10,12;246:9;
44:18;174:23;	final (10)	fitting (1)	forcing (4)	271:9
234:24;272:3,21	5:19;14:9,10;	146:22	11:18,24;12:3;	Frink's (6)
far (7)	20:14;68:1;224:20;	five (21)	29:16	216:19;239:11,12;
37:8;89:1;157:4;	255:5;257:15;	9:2;77:18;136:24;	forgot (1)	243:24;245:18;
191:4;221:16;266:6;	258:11,13	154:11;171:7,13;	119:17	276:12
276:14	Finally (5)	173:9;175:20;	form (6)	front (22)
fashion (1)	46:14;62:11;63:5;	177:22;178:14,22;	31:19;45:24;	20:11;55:23,24;
48:23	219:13;257:20	183:10;184:8;187:9;	152:16;225:17,21;	72:15;81:11;92:21;
fast (1)	finance (1)	191:22;192:20;	250:13	96:1;121:9;132:10;
189:24	242:18	195:8;196:2;202:22;	format (9)	141:9;154:18,20;
fault (2) 170:5;248:3	financial (8) 65:4,23;74:14;	215:8;266:7 five-minute (3)	124:1,3,12,12; 226:1,7,21;227:10;	158:12;185:8; 192:13;197:16;
favorable (3)	75:9,16;183:4;221:3;	120:11,20;176:3	229:1	222:1,4;223:14;
45:9,12;46:3	252:11	five-year (3)	formed (1)	239:18;244:8;259:6
favorably (1)	find (29)	194:7;195:21;	152:19	fruitful (1)
45:5	8:15;35:5;62:23;	210:10	former (1)	17:8
feature (1)	80:24;81:7;83:17;	fix (1)	25:8	fuel (1)
112:6	102:2,4;113:9;	16:8	forms (1)	18:8
February (1)	124:16;134:19;	fixed (19)	56:12	fuels (1)
244:16	137:2;139:21,23;	7:15;12:17;13:11;	formula (1)	246:3
feel (1)	140:9;143:18;	16:3;21:2,2;44:20,	195:2	full (4)
268:15	144:10;145:22;	24;45:7,23;46:8,10,	formulas (1)	41:20;165:2;171:7;
feels (1)	150:7;157:1,2,9;	12;125:21;126:1,1,2,	124:3	273:11
27:6	166:4;176:2;180:1;	6,10	forth (2)	full-time (2)
fell (1)	187:6;230:11;237:3;	flat (2)	128:14;183:5	87:1;90:9
182:7	263:11	136:23;146:14	forward (7)	fully (2)
felt (1)	finding (2)	flawed (2)	69:22;76:13;	39:24;263:14
148:13 FEDC (1)	275:12,16	35:18;68:20	108:16;161:11;	function (3)
FERC (1) 150:19	fine (2) 190:23;215:11	flip (1) 240:3	174:7;226:5,15 found (3)	49:10;170:21; 177:8
few (12)	finish (2)	flow (26)	25:2;202:2;256:18	fundamental (2)
IVW (14)	······3··· (<i>2</i>)		23.2,202.2,230.10	runuamental (2)

Min-U-Script®

SUSAN J. ROBIDAS, N.H. LCR (603) 540-2083 shortrptr@comcast.net

HEARING ON THE M	ERITS
	GI I (10)
26:2;30:10	Giaimo (10)
funding (1)	3:11;107:11
273:11	111:17;189:
further (15)	213:5,6;277
18:14;99:5;120:9;	gist (1)
126:23;129:21;	190:8
171:3;179:13;	given (8)
182:17;189:3;	34:15;75:17
196:16;210:14;	140:14;147:
219:16;250:21;	180:7;246:2
219.10,230.21,	
255:11;258:21	251:20;281:
future (2)	gives (7)
17:6;32:21	11:3;115:6;
	165:20,24;1
G	275:1
0	
	giving (13)
gain (3)	7:22;8:21;11
75:10;146:4,5	12:7;17:11,1
gained (1)	98:3;180:6;
74:16	201:5;202:2
Gas (70)	goal (3)
5:3;7:14;9:19;17:3,	6:14;16:19;3
9,11,12,19;29:14;	goes (13)
30:13;32:18;48:14,	14:2;32:24;4
21,22;54:14,19,20;	76:1;109:18
55:2;78:17;89:11,15;	168:15;185:
113:16;122:19;	197:18;214:
123:2,17;131:5;	218:5;226:1
132:24;140:20;	good (28)
	8:10;11:21;1
141:19;157:8;	
158:17,23;159:4,20;	28:19;64:14
173:13;205:11;	72:24;79:12
217:11;220:7;	14;118:8;13
229:13,15;231:5,10,	137:15;140:
13,17,22;232:13,23;	144:14;145:
238:15,24;240:9,13;	147:21;151:
241:4,22;243:4;	156:19,21;1
244:7;246:2;250:20;	211:20;277:
251:1,2;253:9,19,20,	grab (1)
	226:20
24;255:13;258:12;	
262:8;264:8;265:4;	grade (1)
268:6,9	31:13
gas-only (1)	gradual (1)
232:16	203:18
gathering (1)	Granite (4)
163:19	84:12;224:1
	04.12,224.1
gave (6)	227:1;228:1
6:8;128:17;143:10;	granting (1)
164:1;186:12;225:14	43:7
gears (1)	gratified (1)
218:21	150:11
general (3)	great (1)
37:7;218:18;	240:21
242:10	greater (2)
generally (5)	136:14;195:
16:1;66:6;194:24;	Green (1)
242:8;271:24	74:3
generic (1)	Grid (10)
22:20	25:9;89:19,2
gentleman (2)	100:12,13,20
	262:18;264:
144:16;169:21	
gets (1)	Grid's (1)
268:10	225:16

<u>`</u>	
)	ground (2)
11,12;	184:5;187:7
39:10,11;	group (2)
77:18,19	139:16;227:14
//.10,19	
	grouped (1)
	153:14
	grouping (2)
17;	134:6;145:8
47:11;	groups (1)
5:23;	158:24
31:19	growing (1)
	154:10
6;159:11;	growth (7)
4;168:12;	211:3,11;214:3,7,9,
r,100.12,	
	11,20
	guarantee (1)
;11:23;	15:5
1,18;18:1;	guaranteed (1)
6;194:23;	260:13
2:20;206:1	guarantees (2)
2.20,200.1	
	260:20,20
9;39:6	guaranty (1)
	260:13
4;45:14;	guess (12)
18;154:15;	21:17;23:7;25:15;
35:19;	61:14;62:11;76:10;
14:16;	94:9;95:24;143:9;
5:17;265:23	150:3;259:5;277:8
	guidance (1)
1;19:6,7;	238:15
14,15;	Guide (3)
12;107:13,	39:13,17;52:16
12;107:13, 130:8,9;	39:13,17;52:16 guy (1)
130:8,9;	
130:8,9; 0:12,12,13;	guy (1)
130:8,9; 0:12,12,13; 45:10;	guy (1) 118:7
130:8,9; 0:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10;	guy (1)
130:8,9; 0:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24;	guy (1) 118:7 H
130:8,9; 0:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10;	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3)
130:8,9; 0:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24;	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14
130:8,9; 0:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24;	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3)
130:8,9; 0:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24;	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1)
130:8,9; 0:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24;	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16
130:8,9; 0:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24;	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1)
130:8,9; 0:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24;	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1) 17:22
130:8,9; 0:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24;	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1) 17:22 Hampshire (7)
130:8,9; 0:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24;	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1) 17:22 Hampshire (7) 19:13;25:1;27:1;
130:8,9; 40:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24; 77:20,21	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1) 17:22 Hampshire (7)
130:8,9; 40:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24; 77:20,21	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1) 17:22 Hampshire (7) 19:13;25:1;27:1; 39:10;241:22;
130:8,9; 40:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24; 77:20,21	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1) 17:22 Hampshire (7) 19:13;25:1;27:1; 39:10;241:22; 250:24;272:20
130:8,9; 40:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24; 77:20,21	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1) 17:22 Hampshire (7) 19:13;25:1;27:1; 39:10;241:22; 250:24;272:20 hand (8)
130:8,9; 40:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24; 77:20,21 4:13; 3:10	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1) 17:22 Hampshire (7) 19:13;25:1;27:1; 39:10;241:22; 250:24;272:20 hand (8) 17:11,19;18:1,9;
130:8,9; 40:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24; 77:20,21	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1) 17:22 Hampshire (7) 19:13;25:1;27:1; 39:10;241:22; 250:24;272:20 hand (8) 17:11,19;18:1,9; 58:7;157:19;176:1;
130:8,9; 40:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24; 77:20,21 4:13; 3:10	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1) 17:22 Hampshire (7) 19:13;25:1;27:1; 39:10;241:22; 250:24;272:20 hand (8) 17:11,19;18:1,9;
130:8,9; 40:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24; 77:20,21 4:13; 3:10	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1) 17:22 Hampshire (7) 19:13;25:1;27:1; 39:10;241:22; 250:24;272:20 hand (8) 17:11,19;18:1,9; 58:7;157:19;176:1; 204:13
130:8,9; 40:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24; 77:20,21 4:13; 3:10	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1) 17:22 Hampshire (7) 19:13;25:1;27:1; 39:10;241:22; 250:24;272:20 hand (8) 17:11,19;18:1,9; 58:7;157:19;176:1; 204:13 handed (3)
130:8,9; 40:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24; 77:20,21 4:13; 3:10	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1) 17:22 Hampshire (7) 19:13;25:1;27:1; 39:10;241:22; 250:24;272:20 hand (8) 17:11,19;18:1,9; 58:7;157:19;176:1; 204:13 handed (3) 77:23;141:12;
130:8,9; 40:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24; 77:20,21	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1) 17:22 Hampshire (7) 19:13;25:1;27:1; 39:10;241:22; 250:24;272:20 hand (8) 17:11,19;18:1,9; 58:7;157:19;176:1; 204:13 handed (3) 77:23;141:12; 179:6
130:8,9; 40:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24; 77:20,21	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1) 17:22 Hampshire (7) 19:13;25:1;27:1; 39:10;241:22; 250:24;272:20 hand (8) 17:11,19;18:1,9; 58:7;157:19;176:1; 204:13 handed (3) 77:23;141:12; 179:6 handle (4)
130:8,9; 40:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24; 77:20,21 4:13; 3:10	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1) 17:22 Hampshire (7) 19:13;25:1;27:1; 39:10;241:22; 250:24;272:20 hand (8) 17:11,19;18:1,9; 58:7;157:19;176:1; 204:13 handed (3) 77:23;141:12; 179:6 handle (4) 19:22;157:11,12;
130:8,9; 40:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24; 77:20,21	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1) 17:22 Hampshire (7) 19:13;25:1;27:1; 39:10;241:22; 250:24;272:20 hand (8) 17:11,19;18:1,9; 58:7;157:19;176:1; 204:13 handed (3) 77:23;141:12; 179:6 handle (4)
130:8,9; 40:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24; 77:20,21	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1) 17:22 Hampshire (7) 19:13;25:1;27:1; 39:10;241:22; 250:24;272:20 hand (8) 17:11,19;18:1,9; 58:7;157:19;176:1; 204:13 handed (3) 77:23;141:12; 179:6 handle (4) 19:22;157:11,12; 179:8
130:8,9; 40:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24; 77:20,21 4:13; 3:10)	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1) 17:22 Hampshire (7) 19:13;25:1;27:1; 39:10;241:22; 250:24;272:20 hand (8) 17:11,19;18:1,9; 58:7;157:19;176:1; 204:13 handed (3) 77:23;141:12; 179:6 handle (4) 19:22;157:11,12; 179:8 handout (1)
130:8,9; 40:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24; 77:20,21 4:13; 3:10) 95:19 9,23;	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1) 17:22 Hampshire (7) 19:13;25:1;27:1; 39:10;241:22; 250:24;272:20 hand (8) 17:11,19;18:1,9; 58:7;157:19;176:1; 204:13 handed (3) 77:23;141:12; 179:6 handle (4) 19:22;157:11,12; 179:8 handout (1) 143:10
130:8,9; 40:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24; 77:20,21 4:13; 3:10) 9,23; 9,23; 9,20;122:24;	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1) 17:22 Hampshire (7) 19:13;25:1;27:1; 39:10;241:22; 250:24;272:20 hand (8) 17:11,19;18:1,9; 58:7;157:19;176:1; 204:13 handed (3) 77:23;141:12; 179:6 handle (4) 19:22;157:11,12; 179:8 handout (1) 143:10 hands-on (1)
130:8,9; 40:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24; 77:20,21 4:13; 3:10) 95:19 9,23;	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1) 17:22 Hampshire (7) 19:13;25:1;27:1; 39:10;241:22; 250:24;272:20 hand (8) 17:11,19;18:1,9; 58:7;157:19;176:1; 204:13 handed (3) 77:23;141:12; 179:6 handle (4) 19:22;157:11,12; 179:8 handout (1) 143:10 hands-on (1) 268:7
130:8,9; 40:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24; 77:20,21 4:13; 3:10) 9,23; 9,23; 9,20;122:24;	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1) 17:22 Hampshire (7) 19:13;25:1;27:1; 39:10;241:22; 250:24;272:20 hand (8) 17:11,19;18:1,9; 58:7;157:19;176:1; 204:13 handed (3) 77:23;141:12; 179:6 handle (4) 19:22;157:11,12; 179:8 handout (1) 143:10 hands-on (1) 268:7 Hang (2)
130:8,9; 40:12,12,13; 45:10; 51:10; 1;165:24; 77:20,21 4:13; 3:10) 9,23; 9,23; 9,20;122:24;	guy (1) 118:7 H half (3) 203:11,11;273:14 Hall (1) 222:16 hamper (1) 17:22 Hampshire (7) 19:13;25:1;27:1; 39:10;241:22; 250:24;272:20 hand (8) 17:11,19;18:1,9; 58:7;157:19;176:1; 204:13 handed (3) 77:23;141:12; 179:6 handle (4) 19:22;157:11,12; 179:8 handout (1) 143:10 hands-on (1) 268:7

DAY 6 March 26, 2018 109:13;150:7;230:12 lpful (2) 52:21:220:17

happen (10)

266:10 **happened (7)**

270:20

173:10

happy (1)

61:18

127:11

156:19

267:20

228:21

heard (13)

262:2

heat (2)

heating (7)

heavily (1)

128:11

height (5)

156:8

236:19

7:11,13;8:23;

73:13;108:20;

11:22;37:2;41:13; 42:2,12;54:1;61:8;

held (1)

help (15)

hearing (14)

hear (7)

122:7;226:3;

50:2,13:51:1;

112:5:147:17:

150:11:215:21

48:11;62:1;88:19;

112:6,11;200:9;

205:5,8;234:11;

5:5;80:14;120:22;

219:24;222:11;

224:16;243:6,9; 271:3;282:16;283:11

115:24;116:17

18:7,8;48:22; 113:16;115:21;

116:2;245:6

136:13,14,17,23;

127:4;176:8;215:16;

91:19;107:15;109:5;

health (3)

hard (1)

hate (1)

head (1)

happens (11)

happening (4)

8:18:100:1:116:10:

212:2:247:2.6:

25:14;160:6;

183:16:191:5;

205:22;266:18;

12:24;98:17,21;

47:3;156:6;160:17;

183:21;266:11,12,12

161:23;166:13;

170:17;179:14;

168:9;180:12;194:5;

helpful (2) 53:21:229:17 helping (2) 113:8,11 helps (2) 112:22;268:11 here's (4) 67:16;114:15; 153:12,12 herewith (7) 58:11:61:22:78:3; 158:2;176:10; 204:18;215:18 hey (2) 11:21;208:11 high (6) 76:16;138:16; 186:8;205:17; 280:12,19 higher (17) 6:21;45:23;109:22; 125:16,22;126:13; 136:13;137:19; 138:4,14;148:11; 160:18;164:7; 172:15;201:6; 202:24;267:23 highlight (2) 239:22:240:22 high-pressure (1) 270:5 hindsight (1) 188:22 hire (1) 233:18 hired (1) 89:20 historical (4) 135:1:151:1:162:7; 225:14 historically (2) 28:10;193:24 history (8) 19:12;24:10,23; 28:14;123:23; 134:16;151:2;225:6 hit (1) 160:3 Homeowner (1) 114:5 hones (1) 147:9 honestly (1) 190:22 Honigberg (100) 3:12;5:2,9;18:16, 21;19:1;24:16;26:14; 27:4;37:5;40:9,19; 45:20;50:20;52:22; 53:10,16;55:7,11,21; 56:4,14;58:9;59:20; 60:20;61:4,10,16,20;

HEARING ON THE M	ERITS			March 26, 2018
		251.12		
63:23;64:11;69:14;	Hypothetical (4)	251:13	159:17;217:1;220:7;	22:15;109:20;
74:23;75:5;78:1;	81:10;82:5;92:20,	implementing (1)	230:7,23;233:7;	121:19;122:4;
80:8,11,15;87:19;	21	252:18	238:16;239:4;	124:19;169:4;198:7
88:3,12,16;99:7;	Hypothetically (3)	implications (1)	242:14;250:23;	indicated (3)
107:10;111:18;	92:5,18;121:16	273:3	253:9,21,23;254:20;	122:21;144:12;
	92.3,18,121.10	_/*/*		
120:8,16,19,23;	Ŧ	implying (1)	256:11;257:3,15;	273:5
126:24;127:5,13,18;	I	89:3	262:9,14;263:6,11,	indicates (1)
129:23;130:3;150:6;		important (3)	12;264:13,15;	169:11
157:24;176:5;189:5,	Idaho (14)	27:6;119:14;149:4	265:11;266:23;	indication (2)
9,12;196:17,22;	54:8,9,13,24;	imposing (1)	276:2,10,11,17;	48:18;144:14
197:2;204:7,10;	55:23;59:6,13,14;	56:9	277:6;280:14	indications (1)
210:15;212:22;	60:2,6,12;109:6,14,	impossible (2)	includes (6)	148:22
	18	-	88:8,9;166:14;	indices (1)
213:1,4,8,19;214:24;		12:22;62:23		
215:5,11,20;216:5,	idea (24)	improvements (1)	196:7;232:13;279:8	138:8
12;219:19;230:9,15;	6:18;7:10;11:21;	243:18	including (5)	individual (5)
247:10,23;248:2,6,	12:6;14:2;16:8;	improves (2)	79:19;122:17;	15:11;104:24;
10,13,22;258:22;	17:23;28:11,19;	145:24;146:1	185:19;280:22,23	105:2,10;153:21
259:2;275:6;277:17;	41:24;57:6,12;66:9;	imprudence (1)	incorporate (2)	indulgence (1)
278:7,24;281:12;	112:1;113:3,4;159:8;	258:19	166:11,12	58:6
282:3,8,13,21;283:2	170:16;174:17;	imprudent (3)	incorporated (1)	industrial (2)
honors (1)	186:24;212:7,8;	68:22;275:23;	5:15	271:15,17
127:19	261:10;265:1	281:1	incorrectly (1)	industries (1)
horse (1)	ideas (1)	inadequate (2)	170:14	231:18
223:19	113:6	117:7;118:1	increase (14)	industry (2)
hotter (1)	identification (10)	inadvertently (1)	33:7;36:10,11;	117:23;128:16
9:24	58:12;61:23;78:4;	56:9	50:11;67:10;92:16;	ineffective (4)
hour (8)	158:3;176:11;	inappropriate (5)	136:15;148:13,14,23;	6:13,17;111:23,23
	204:19;215:19;			
92:7,16;93:14,23;		65:11;71:13;79:4,	156:7,10;169:14;	inefficiency (1)
97:4,8;122:11;	253:8,19;254:4	6;245:13	246:11	34:3
191:17	identified (6)	inappropriately (1)	increased (7)	inefficient (1)
hourly (5)	130:19;134:12;	23:1	60:7;146:11,11;	34:12
77:7;93:22;122:14,	135:18;155:9;	iNATGAS (15)	206:24;216:22;	inferior (1)
15;267:15	252:20;254:13	216:18;217:8,20,	217:8;222:16	118:18
hours (61)	identify (1)	21;219:2,7,21;220:3,	increases (5)	inflated (1)
82:19,23;83:1,1,9,	253:11	9,15,21,24;221:22;	50:14,15;51:3;	122:18
15;85:2,15,17;86:6;	illogical (1)	259:9;274:18	138:20;156:8	inflation (6)
		iNATGAS-related (1)		
91:1,8,20;92:3,8,15,	144:6		increasing (4)	34:24;35:2,8,11,12,
23;93:2,8,11,16;96:4,	imbalance (23)	221:5	35:24;36:6;137:4;	13
5,5,11,16;97:1,7;	167:21,22,23;	incarnation (1)	138:8	influence (1)
98:21;99:13;122:12,	171:4;172:9;185:21;	226:10	incremental (7)	164:16
16,16;124:8;125:4;	187:4,11,13;188:10;	incentive (6)	83:4;85:24;86:1;	inform (1)
224:18;225:5;	189:21;190:3,5;	30:5,9,11,19,24;	91:22,23;263:5,6	217:7
226:12;227:13;	193:8;194:6,13;	31:5	incrementally (1)	informal (1)
229:7,11;230:7,19,	195:2,6,11,23;	incentives (1)	184:2	97:14
21;231:23;232:3,22;		20:24	increments (1)	informally (1)
	205:10;210:19;			
233:4,7;249:14;	212:17	incident (7)	147:8	223:10
251:23;262:5,6,7,23;	immediate (1)	236:1;237:19;	incurred (5)	information (70)
263:1,2,24;265:2;	212:2	238:6;239:16;	68:9;124:6;225:15;	49:21;53:20;73:20;
266:23;267:2	immediately (1)	250:16,17;256:6	237:18;254:18	82:8;83:15,23;84:18;
house (2)	220:22	incidents (1)	Indeed (1)	85:21;113:2;125:8;
116:1,17	impact (12)	269:15	53:9	155:13;157:5;
huddle (1)	16:19;35:13;57:11,	include (11)	indented (1)	168:10;180:6;
120:11	17,19,20;58:1;183:4;	26:10;85:13;86:5;	185:10	220:20;221:14;
human (2)	212:3;246:21;247:7;	118:16;228:1;233:4;	Index (33)	225:4,14,20;226:6,
76:8;242:19	273:20	240:12;242:16,19;	137:7,8,17,17;	17,21;227:9,14,22;
hundred (1)	impacted (1)	264:1;276:3	138:1,3,4,14,14,15,	229:1,4;233:21,22,
261:14	191:12	included (54)	17;139:5,5,14,15;	24;234:2,9,12,15,18,
hurdles (1)	impacts (2)	35:7;54:12;80:4;	144:10;145:16,20,22,	20;235:2,11,14,20;
7:21	33:21;274:23	85:2;87:4,5;89:4,18;	23;146:2,6;147:12,	236:16,18;240:7,22;
hypothesize (1)	Implementation (1)	90:3,13,16,16,20;	12,13;152:10;	241:8,10;247:19;
93:13	51:24	94:19;95:15;103:14;	165:17;166:4,5,8;	249:9;250:2,5,21;
hypothesized (1)	implemented (3)	105:20;110:22,23;	192:5;200:14,14	251:7,15;253:7,16;
115:16	13:15;250:9;	117:7;123:9;131:16;	indicate (7)	255:1;257:9,11,13,
-		•	h	

18:258:16:260:3.4: 264:7.16:265:19: 275:22;277:7,10,14 informed (8) 192:16,21,23; 216:21;220:1,1; 221:8;268:12 infusion (2) 186:11;190:10 inherent (1) 110:12 initial (7) 67:5:68:19.21: 225:10:236:21; 238:9,17 initially (2) 238:14;243:2 initiated (1) 250:18 in-laws (1) 115:24 input (1) 155:23 inquiring (1) 115:8 inside (1) 180:15 installation (4) 161:20;162:1; 164:4,6 instance (10) 8:15:25:4:139:11: 143:18:149:9,22; 160:10:161:16: 169:24;265:7 instances (3) 197:23;201:12,20 instead (1) 203:18 institution (1) 120:2 instruct (1) 37:2 instructor (1) 269:1 instruments (2) 162:3;164:14 intact (1) 124:3 Intangible (2) 158:9:160:22 integral (1) 128:12 integrated (3) 59:9;60:5;162:8 integrity (1) 154:12 intend (1) 239:21 intended (2) 67:8:252:13 intent (2) 229:3;260:7

interest (1) 63:16 interested (2) 26:15:38:10 interests (3) 29:17;45:15;64:5 interfaces (1) 154:9 interim (1) 174:13 internal (4) 27:24;90:2;122:22; 123:3 internalized (2) 44:9,10 interpret (3) 143:21;147:16; 186:3 interpretation (1) 204:1 interrupt (2) 183:3;207:1 interrupts (5) 35:1;59:11;199:5; 201:4;248:1 intervening (1) 190:13 into (31) 5:15;13:7;15:13; 42:17:60:19:69:11; 76:1:78:15:81:23; 82:6:91:2:134:17: 142:5:163:18; 173:14:183:2: 185:16;194:24; 200:6;216:3;217:15; 219:13;232:3; 236:14:238:21: 239:15;241:11; 253:4;260:8;269:22; 274:9 introduced (4) 70:5;94:7;243:15; 253:4 introducing (3) 12:8;13:6,23 invest (1) 61:2 invested (2) 96:2,8 investigation (8) 19:16,20;28:1,2; 191:15:239:15; 256:17,20 investing (3) 170:21;195:18; 279:14 investment (13) 29:22;30:16;31:3; 98:9;117:12;193:21; 218:14:221:4: 222:17;259:11; 261:1;262:1;280:12

investments (8) 183:19;184:7; 188:19:193:23; 194:3:195:14: 205:10:274:16 invests (1) 211:15 invited (1) 45:21 invoice (1) 280:18 invoices (1) 257:6 involved (11) 25:10,12;28:15; 75:17;77:10;116:6; 127:12;128:11; 192:11;234:8;280:6 involves (1) 36:14 Iowa (5) 135:17,18;137:13; 147:10;156:4 **IPC** (1) 60:6 Iqbal (49) 5:5,12,20,23;10:3; 14:5;16:22;18:13,19; 19:6;30:20;33:14; 38:23:55:19:58:15; 61:8;62:1;64:14; 69:17:77:5:80:18: 87:13:88:18:90:22; 97:10;99:11;111:19; 120:9,11;121:4; 127:1;157:20; 196:19;197:1,6; 204:21;210:18; 215:1;223:22; 224:21;226:11; 227:16,18,21;228:5; 232:5,20:234:11; 262:10 Iqbal's (8) 70:11;141:5,21; 224:17;232:3; 262:14;263:7;266:22 irrespective (5) 57:18;60:24;109:7, 21;110:5 isolate (1) 49:17 isolated (1) 97:23 isolation (1) 185:15 issue (39) 6:16;7:2,16,19,20; 8:11,12,24;9:7,8,8; 13:24:14:19:16:18; 19:15;20:16;26:5,17; 27:22,23,24;30:7; 33:6;67:2,3,13;

72:21:91:15:106:2,3, 9:163:6:176:16: 185:7;205:1;239:1, 20:243:1.14 issued (1) 276:21 issues (7) 9:5;19:22;20:3; 67:8;78:16;130:12; 281:1 italics (1) 230:2 item (10) 8:16,22;49:24; 85:13,13;90:17; 104:24;105:2;107:3, 5 itemized (1) 123:24 items (8) 103:13:153:3.21; 154:1:155:16:171:2: 234:16:280:15 J January (2) 185:5;250:23 job (5) 30:20,21:64:4; 269:3.3 jobs (1) 128:16 Joe (1) 114:5 Johnson (7) 17:1:18:4:33:5: 50:2,13;51:2;62:2 Johnson's (1) 112:20 jointly (1) 250:22 iudgment (6) 117:24;136:2; 140:6;149:1;155:12, 23 jump (1) 135:21 Jumping (1) 254:8 jumps (2) 218:23;219:17 June (4) 221:1;229:21; 230:19;255:14 justice (1) 262:2 justification (1) 100:9 justify (3) 42:6,7,24

DAY 6 March 26, 2018

K Keene (20) 235:16,22;236:23; 238:4,6;239:3;242:9, 17,23;243:18;249:12, 22;251:3;256:6; 258:3;270:17; 275:13,13;276:10; 280:2 Keene's (3) 237:13;254:15; 258:11 keep (7) 14:21;137:20; 148:14;161:1;201:9; 202:4;228:24 keeping (3) 201:14.21:203:15 kept (1) 170:18 key (3) 67:13;138:3,11 KeySpan (1) 159:4 kind (10) 23:10:68:15:75:16, 21;134:22;138:22; 177:17;225:22; 240:24;266:4 kinds (3) 66:13;228:3;235:7 knew (4) 16:9;175:22;217:3; 276:21 knowing (2) 62:22;145:15 knowledge (1) 95:7 known (4) 20:5:30:7:188:22; 189:2 knows (2) 27:5;191:1 Kreis (59) 18:23;19:1,2,5; 22:6,8;24:18;26:10, 14,18,20;27:7,10; 32:15;37:1,13,15; 40:7,13,22,23;43:16; 45:17,21,22;50:24; 52:10;53:9,12,17; 54:5;55:7,10,13;56:2, 8,16,19:58:5,13; 59:22:60:1,18:61:4, 10,12,18,24;64:2,9; 127:7,10;129:23; 130:2:212:22.24: 258:22,24;282:2 L

HEARING ON THE M	ERIIS	Γ		Marcii 20, 2018
label (1)	least (11)	130:17;131:7,9,12,	96:3;99:3;103:13;	94:11;97:20;102:18;
228:12	12:10;30:4;31:23;	22;132:1;133:16,19;	107:5;153:1;234:16;	103:10;104:15,23;
labor (4)	66:6;119:12;135:3;	135:10,17;137:6;	240:4	103.10,104.13,23, 108:24;110:1;
96:15,19;97:6;	175:20;178:13;	138:20;139:9,10;	listed (8)	108.24,110.1, 112:21;114:6;
127:11	223:9;225:3;228:9	140:2,17;141:1,3,8,	82:13;90:14;212:5;	123:13;128:20;
lack (2)		21;142:1,16,19;	225:5;233:5,8;	125.15,128.20, 132:18;134:24;
190:15,16	leave (2) 154:17;203:22	143:3,8;145:19;	244:15;264:5	135:16;136:5;137:6;
lag (1)	leaving (2)	145:3,8,145:19, 146:10;147:5,9;	listened (1)	139:9,14,21;141:16;
171:24	27:17;156:23	148:8,12,23;149:10,	34:4	142:10,13;143:16;
laid (1)	led (2)	21;151:13,21;153:2,	lists (3)	144:8,9;145:4,8,17;
6:10	123:21;143:7	14,23;154:6,16;	76:16;229:11;	147:21;149:19;
language (1)	left (10)	156:2,16,23;157:6;	238:1	151:8,16,17;158:8;
196:8	27:20;54:23;133:3;	158:20,21;160:18,21;	literally (1)	160:2;161:3,23;
large (12)	144:2;145:12;147:3;	162:11,12,20;163:1,	280:5	162:16;163:14;
47:14;112:13,14,	154:4;222:4;276:15,	22;164:2,8,11;	little (22)	165:18;166:2;168:2;
18;114:2,9,12;	15	165:13;166:3,9,16,	40:17;41:1;65:15;	170:22;171:2;183:4;
115:12;142:2;183:6;	left-hand (1)	20,22;167:3,11,17;	75:1;82:11;109:3;	185:2;188:4,11;
244:13,24	153:18	168:3,8;170:10,11,	114:10,11;115:6;	206:3,9,18,21;212:3;
larger (2)	legal (2)	11;180:2,4;181:23;	133:7;136:10;	214:13;238:21;
51:21;211:21	242:18;280:8	182:2,5;183:22;	162:22;164:5,16;	242:5;244:23;264:3;
last (61)	length (6)	184:3,24,24;185:1;	166:17;179:12,16;	265:7,22;269:14;
5:24;13:13;15:6,7;	163:13;185:20,23;	198:8,10,12,22;	194:8;208:19;	271:17;273:18
16:23,24;21:7;32:4;	187:3,10;223:8	200:3;201:22;	261:19;269:5;272:18	looked (5)
51:16;56:23;59:2;	lengthy (1)	202:12;206:5;	live (2)	81:4;145:14;
63:5,6;67:11;83:24;	239:24	207:16;212:4,8,10;	124:2;201:11	184:17;188:9;191:7
84:13;96:18;97:13,	less (11)	234:21	lived (1)	looking (45)
15;110:9;123:5;	7:5;13:20;14:3;	likely (1)	225:22	10:7;28:5;39:16;
131:12,14;136:7;	18:3;41:15;81:21;	193:2	lives (19)	42:14;44:11,11;
138:16;146:21;	104:5;141:3;169:7;	limit (1)	130:13;146:22;	52:18;55:18;56:10;
148:21;154:11;	232:17;274:10	31:11	153:17,19;155:2;	67:10;70:9;75:7;
155:24;157:2,3;	lesson (1)	limited (3)	159:21;162:19;	76:24;88:24;96:7;
160:6;161:3;163:13;	225:6	39:23;72:18;	165:11,12;191:19;	118:10;134:17,20;
168:21;169:21;	letters (1)	231:21	194:21,24;195:1;	139:4,18;140:2;
170:3,6,13;171:15;	135:8	line (36)	197:14,20,24;198:3;	143:1;145:3;146:19;
172:7,8;178:1,2;	level (20)	23:3;30:17;40:20;	201:14;234:19	150:1,4;153:9;
183:17;186:7;	12:2;24:8;76:16;	68:14,14,15;114:7;	local (1)	155:17;159:10;
188:20;189:21;	104:1,1,2,2;105:5,11,	123:17;131:6;	119:22	161:10;173:11,12;
192:8;206:16;208:6;	11;157:4;168:13;	133:19;135:9,14;	locate (1)	183:1;185:12,14;
209:6,23;211:9;	180:14,16,19;184:1;	141:19;144:3;153:3;	245:24	202:7;209:12;
214:3;220:14,16;	187:24;202:15,15;	155:16;167:7;	location (1)	212:12;245:24,24;
225:12;235:7;240:1;	222:5	205:23;209:1;	78:17	263:8;267:5;271:14;
266:7	levelized (2)	217:19,24;218:13,22;	Londonderry (4)	274:5,12
late (1)	13:1,3	223:3,5;229:24;	266:12;270:3,6,18	looks (1)
156:12	levels (2)	230:8;231:1,8;238:4,	long (13)	146:9
later (5)	194:9;270:4	4,5;272:1;280:12,15,	31:8;47:8;61:5,13;	loop (1)
112:13;214:21;	leveraged (2)	19 19	106:8;117:23;	257:20
219:11;252:2;278:4	41:17;42:8 Liberty (25)	line-by-line (1) 153:21	123:23;151:2;196:1;	loosens (1) 46:1
latest (1) 125:6	33:14;34:14;47:6;	lined (1)	204:24;212:10; 234:24;235:7	
layer (1)	50:6;72:2;76:9;	281:20	longer (7)	lose (1) 146:5
8:8	77:11;79:7,10,13,18,	lines (5)	119:8;164:3,5,5;	losing (1)
lead (2)	22;129:1;214:19;	109:7;164:3;	19.8,104.3,5,5, 194:24;195:1;212:7	32:11
270:2,14	218:11;220:19,24;	220:14;230:24;267:6	longest (3)	lost (15)
lead/lag (2)	231:12,21;233:24;	lingering (1)	161:24;162:1,2	30:7;31:14,18;
269:24;270:11	231.12,21,255.24, 234:1;241:22;	167:8	look (92)	32:1,4,5,7,8,19,23;
Leading (1)	250:24;251:22;270:6	link (5)	7:1,23;9:6,15;11:7,	33:4,10;36:23;37:21;
231:4	Liberty-EnergyNorth (1)	255:8,9,12;256:16,	10;14:19;22:5;23:8;	276:19
leads (1)	159:3	233.8,9,12,230.10,	28:20;39:12;40:12;	lot (30)
86:22	Liberty's (3)	linked (1)	41:19;42:15;55:20;	5:17;12:12;84:15;
learning (1)	211:9;221:4;270:3	190:19	57:15;58:22;59:2;	104:10;113:16;
268:13	licensed (1)	lion's (1)	69:21;71:20;74:13;	116:10,12;140:8;
lease (1)	263:21	161:21	75:24;76:21;77:6;	142:4;147:15;
224:15	life (94)	list (7)	83:8;85:4,19;89:6;	157:16;170:21;
			,,,,,,.,,,,,,,	

184:5;188:3;205:9; 224:4.10:236:12.15: 247:20;264:7,8; 265:13,18,21;267:7; 268:21;274:22; 277:6;278:15 lots (4) 35:4:42:12:85:21; 227:4 love (1) 261:12 low (4) 146:16;147:22; 232:10:267:10 lower (14) 11:8;41:15;42:6, 24;45:2;92:7;153:18; 203:17;207:19; 233:8;246:15; 270:24;271:5;274:12 lowers (1) 93:3 LRAM (1) 32:2 LU (4) 153:6;154:18; 155:10;233:23 lunch (3) 120:14;127:1,3 Μ **MAC's (2)** 159:17,20 main (3) 100:6;113:3;232:8 Maine (3) 43:6,11,14 mainly (2) 112:18:186:11 mains (16) 130:22:131:5: 132:1,24;133:4; 134:11,14;141:19; 160:11,12;171:1; 180:8;183:23; 186:11,15;205:11 mains' (1) 140:21 maintain (2) 139:18.19 maintained (1) 202:12 major (4) 183:19;187:19; 188:24;196:12 makes (8) 68:12;140:21,22; 147:4;160:9;184:1; 274:16:280:4 making (16) 10:9;42:22;46:18; 49:12;52:11;57:10;

75:11:80:20:100:8; 101:20:111:5: 148:15:211:23; 261:2:275:12.15 malfunctions (1) 269:16 man (2) 236:2;251:23 management (16) 34:3,12:85:5; 100:10,18;111:3; 122:7;128:4;242:17; 259:23;260:10; 267:22;268:3; 278:14,18;279:23 manager (2) 76:8;251:3 managing (1) 278:3 Manchester (5) 78:9,14;79:9; 81:13:265:8 mandate (2) 39:7:61:3 mandated (1) 85:6 mandatory (9) 10:23;226:2; 228:21;262:21; 263:16,20;264:1,13; 265:2 manned (1) 249:13 manner (2) 34:20;55:2 manning (3) 252:12:253:13; 254:6 manual (1) 144:21 manufactured (1) 78:17 manufacturer's (1) 166:22 many (16) 12:20,21;29:13; 122:10;173:7; 180:12;191:13; 223:23,23,23;231:14; 243:17;257:6; 272:17,19;277:9 maps (1) 145:23 March (1) 226:19 Mark (2) 76:3,4 marked (25) 39:14;52:7;54:8, 10:55:24:56:24; 58:11,23;61:22; 77:24;78:3;129:10; 132:12,22;141:6;

158:2;176:10; 204:14.18.22:215:18: 220:5;222:23; 241:23:244:5 market (6) 17:22,23;18:4,5,6,7 Maryland (1) 54:14 Massachusetts (2) 173:13:225:16 massive (2) 186:10:190:10 match (1) 110:1 material (2) 90:15;113:5 math (11) 92:6;93:2,4,5,6,7, 21;96:18;97:3; 209:16;232:4 matter (11) 36:22;37:21;42:3; 89:7;94:24;104:22; 129:1;227:6;263:13; 266:13;277:9 maximize (2) 30:12,15 may (20) 79:22;84:18;88:4, 6;92:22;111:24; 118:24;126:6;164:6; 191:12:216:12: 224:11:227:17,17; 228:4;238:7;264:3; 273:20;276:13,13 Maybe (10) 39:11;52:11;53:21, 21;114:24;120:13; 142:23;143:5;173:9; 230:12 mean (27) 23:12,14,22:30:9, 10;32:8,12;46:20,21; 66:24;79:6;86:23; 131:11;136:1,1; 138:7;141:24; 146:22,23;167:4; 173:11;183:3;186:1; 227:4;232:21; 267:18;271:4 meaning (4) 140:23:171:8: 172:12;173:22 meaningful (1) 175:17 meaningfully (1) 211:18 meaningless (2) 147:18;152:11 means (19) 59:14.18:60:6: 98:5;102:22;106:14,

135:15:136:8,9; 147:1:152:9.17: 166:6:185:23: 202:17.23 measure (2) 57:24;137:9 measures (1) 252:19 mechanical (3) 144:7;147:6;163:8 mechanism (25) 6:4:11:19:12:9: 13:15;21:4,9,14; 30:8;31:15,19;32:6, 20;33:3;36:2,6; 47:13;51:7,14;57:7,8, 18;61:1;103:15; 105:20,23 mechanisms (2) 19:17;20:4 meet (2) 72:23;200:19 meeting (1) 250:23 memorandum (1) 255:7 memory (1) 51:19 mention (5) 32:4;48:7;70:23; 228:7:237:17 mentioned (11) 20:8;48:10;67:21; 167:19:171:15: 177:3;180:17;225:8; 243:1;257:14;276:12 mentions (3) 79:17:220:15: 236:23 merits (1) 22:13 meter (11) 161:20;162:23,24; 163:5,13,15,18,20; 164:7;203:3,21 metering (4) 157:7,8;163:11; 164:11 meters (6) 161:4,9,16,18; 162:2;202:8 method (4) 33:10:144:16; 179:9:199:12 methodology (4) 11:22;32:23;103:9; 109:8 methods (3) 66:21,24;179:8 microphone (2) 5:10;247:11 Mid-Atlantic (1) 173:15

DAY 6 March 26, 2018

middle (6) 131:21:142:17: 156:1:187:7:218:7; 242:6 mid-period (1) 106:10 midpoint (1) 178:23 might (38) 8:6,7:9:16,21,23; 11:22;13:19;20:4,12, 17,17;24:4;25:2,4; 27:22,24;35:5;42:3,3, 4;44:1;46:13;48:19; 49:20;58:1;88:10; 93:6;98:1;114:20; 115:14;121:15; 159:6;212:1,3;215:7; 267:10,11,12 mild (2) 115:18:116:13 Millbury (1) 225:16 million (62) 69:8,9,9,10;161:16, 20,21;166:14,15; 168:24;169:9,10; 180:20,21:181:2; 186:15;187:14,18,18; 190:3,4;192:18,19; 193:17,18:195:3,3,5, 23:202:22.22:203:12. 13:206:10,11,17,17, 19,22;207:4,5,18,19, 23;209:24;210:4,19, 24;212:16;218:15; 221:5,9;222:12,18; 259:23.24:260:11: 261:8,12,21;280:11, 24 million-dollar (1) 280:23 mind (3) 120:1;148:14; 161:1 mine (1) 102:4 mini (1) 193:12 minimal (1) 104:13 Minimum (5) 222:5,13,19; 259:11:278:20 miniscule (1) 51:12 minus (1) 105:24 minute (4) 176:2;192:20; 215:12:243:1 minutes (2) 71:10;215:9

22;113:11;131:7;

DAY 6 March 26, 2018

HEARING ON THE M	ERIIS	Γ	1	March 26, 2018
miscellaneous (1)	13:19,20;14:3;	263:8;265:1,23	73:12;91:1;101:7;	non-quantifiable (3)
253:21	15:12;17:24;18:2;	MULLEN (25)	106:7;118:1;127:15;	268:16,22;269:7
misheard (1)	21:1;26:12;29:18;	3:4;82:19;87:11,	165:14,15,16;176:1;	Nor (1)
88:5	40:11;41:17;42:8;	12,22;185:6,12;	192:4;215:10;	280:20
missed (1)	48:13,17,19;50:14;	186:2;205:21;207:6;	233:21,22;234:2;	normal (7)
25:4	51:4;53:6;61:2;82:3,	215:22,22;216:7,14,	282:4	8:16;9:24;48:15;
misunderstanding (1)	22;89:17;92:8;	17;218:9;219:20;	needed (3)	102:24;160:16;
81:5	113:16;114:8,17,19;	230:18;247:12;	30:6;78:15;106:11	191:14;242:22
mitigate (1)	116:12,14;126:17;	248:24;249:4;259:5;	needs (4)	normalization (8)
112:14	145:21;152:1;157:3,	270:23;279:6;281:13	53:24;71:14;94:21;	35:20;48:12;50:17;
mitigates (1)	14,20;159:2;169:12;	Mullen's (6)	215:13	62:4;63:1,9;103:24;
42:20	171:13;175:20;	75:12,20;84:14;	negative (1)	106:6
mitigating (1)	176:14;178:14;	185:4;188:8;230:11	187:14	normalize (1)
112:8	187:9;188:23;	multi-page (1)	neighboring (1)	15:23
mitigation (1)	200:12;203:18;	122:2	73:21	normalized (7)
44:1	204:14;207:15;	multiplication (1)	neither (1)	15:18,21;16:1,11;
mixing (1)	223:14;227:2;233:4,	122:13	191:3	47:2;102:17,19
253:20	7;241:9;268:7;	must (2)	net (7)	Normand (32)
model (9)	272:21	81:21;153:4	181:10;223:10;	120:15;127:20;
21:16,19;57:15;	morning (23)	mutual (1)	259:24;260:15;	128:1,3,24;130:8;
109:21;110:2,6,6;	5:3;19:6,7;34:5;	242:20	261:8,12,14	141:12;157:22;
137:23;143:18	43:5;48:10;64:14,15;	myself (3)	New (31)	158:8;176:20;
models (2)	104:21;107:13,14;	40:18;145:11;	19:13;24:24;26:24;	189:20;196:18;
29:15;57:16	111:19;115:16;	170:5	39:10,23;73:1;93:1;	198:14;199:10,19;
modest (1)	116:5,6;117:21;		111:14,15;179:24;	200:7,20;201:16,23;
116:14	121:8;125:15;	Ν	183:12;186:17,22;	202:2,13;204:2;
modified (1)	174:24;216:3;		188:3;241:22;	205:8,17;208:5;
34:6	248:21;281:17;283:8	name (3)	249:13,23;250:24;	211:1;212:5,15;
module (1)	mortality (2)	128:2,3;144:17	252:11,19,22,24;	233:12;234:15,16;
163:14	133:24;180:10	named (1)	253:2,12;254:5;	235:12
modules (3)	most (11)	76:3	266:19,20,21;270:2,	N-O-R-M-A-N-D (1) 128:3
162:22;163:12; 266:8	11:13;15:5;113:6; 119:14;157:10,12;	names (1) 264:9	14;272:20 next (44)	Normand's (7)
moment (3)	181:24;193:1;	name's (1)	8:18;9:21;24:9;	205:5;206:3,9,13;
56:5;91:24;269:15	224:19;226:9,13	154:23	47:20;58:8;73:16;	207:10;208:16,22
money (17)	motivated (1)	narrative (3)	74:13,22;75:24;	Northern (11)
6:20;13:19;14:22;	114:17	221:2;254:16;	81:17;106:12;	43:7,13;94:6,16;
42:10;91:6;98:1;	motivates (1)	256:9	109:17;115:18;	95:11;173:12;
164:15;172:18,22;	115:12	NARUC (1)	131:10;136:12;	270:24;271:10,21;
189:22;190:7;191:6;	Mountain (1)	144:21	137:5,6,16;142:10;	272:2,19
194:15;209:9;	74:3	National (11)	145:8;152:5;161:18;	Northern's (6)
212:20;247:2;279:14	move (7)	25:8;89:19,23;	162:1,2;166:1;173:7;	94:12;95:19;
month (24)	23:7;44:16;67:1;	100:12,13,20;122:24;	174:8,21;178:10;	245:11;246:15;
8:19;9:17,19,21;	149:3;160:17;	225:15;262:18;	179:21,22;184:8;	271:6;272:3
10:15;12:17,19;	167:18;203:19	264:17,22	186:18;194:4;	notation (1)
15:12,24;16:10;	moved (3)	natural (11)	196:10;218:23;	133:22
102:11;103:23;	26:24;194:22,24	29:14;30:13;32:18;	223:19;235:16;	note (3)
113:15;114:8;	movements (1)	48:14,21,22;54:19,	245:9;249:19;	22:21;52:13;
115:17,18,18,23;	112:13	20;158:23;159:20;	251:17;252:5;	196:22
116:8,8,9,11,13,13	moving (6)	246:2	253:15,17	noted (1)
monthly (18)	12:15,16,18;44:23;	nature (1)	nice (2)	19:14
5:13;6:3,18;7:6;	70:12;157:14	257:8	76:7;166:7	notes (4)
10:4;11:7;34:10;	much (32)	Naylor (1)	night (1)	10:7;40:17;42:17;
104:1,1;106:5;	6:23;8:1,14;10:14;	26:1	240:1	102:2
111:21;112:2,7,12;	11:4;15:6;16:9;	nearly (2)	nine (1)	noticed (1)
249:12,22;269:24; 270:11	65:15;67:18;94:22; 95:2;112:16;113:23;	21:20;218:19	132:12 non-curve (1)	283:7 notwithstanding (1)
months (4)	95:2;112:16;113:23; 138:2,18;146:3;	necessarily (3) 43:2;67:17;126:12	167:9	37:9
172:4;196:14;	147:13;148:17;	43:2;07:17;120:12 necessary (4)	none (4)	Nowhere (1)
249:24;264:23	158:24;179:19;	106:5,16,20;	79:24;152:8;	48:5
months' (1)	193:20,21;194:15;	250:10	212:13;230:11	40.5 NPV (3)
13:5	207:19;211:21;	need (19)	non-labor (2)	222:5,8,12
more (54)	212:8;246:4,5,6;	5:6;55:19;59:17;	96:20;97:7	number (95)
	,	,,.,.,.,.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		

Min-U-Script®

SUSAN J. ROBIDAS, N.H. LCR (603) 540-2083 shortrptr@comcast.net

(17) miscellaneous - number

DAY 6 March 26, 2018 ordered (1)

observed (1)
20:22
obtain (1) 30:15
obvious (1)
183:9
obviously (4)
53:7;122:9;264: 274:13
OCA (4)
18:18;104:22;
281:21,22 occur (1)
186:14
occurred (3)
190:17;231:19; 269:16
occurring (1)
186:18
occurs (1)
186:24 October (7)
219:10,24;244:1
20;245:5;250:1;
253:5 OEM (1)
274:7
off (21)
42:22;80:8,10; 88:18;117:4;120
18;194:22;204:6
215:12,14;230:1
14;267:20;270:1 17;282:20,23;28
offer (6)
65:10,14,19;66:
68:8;74:10 offered (2)
68:3;117:17
offhand (5)
237:7;265:6;266 272:3,21
office (3)
99:21;231:14;
269:8 offices (2)
257:12,17
official (1) 97:13
offset (6)
50:15;51:4;113:
114:20;193:20,2 off-the-cuff (2)
232:6,11
off-the-record (1)
283:3 often (1)
228:13
oftentimes (1) 146:1
oil (1)
17:16
old (5)

(1)	44.9.129.20.150.7.
(1)	44:8;128:20;159:7; 252:24;253:2
	once (1)
	155:17
)	one (134)
-)	6:22;7:7,16;9:3,19;
(4)	16:23,23,24;18:8;
2:9;264:12;	20:7,7;21:14;24:4,
	16;32:24;33:6;34:18;
	35:2,3,8;37:6;40:15;
04:22;	46:5;49:17;51:13;
22	53:24;62:9;63:8;
	64:8;69:24;70:16,18;
	71:15;72:19,22;
(3)	74:13,22;75:24;
231:19;	78:10;81:13,14,15,
	17;84:17;85:13;
(1)	89:10,11,17,21;
	90:17;91:13;99:11;
	100:6;103:17;
_`	107:15,20,23;108:6;
7)	111:16,22;112:6;
24;244:16,	113:19;115:23;
5;250:1;	117:19;122:23;
	125:1,4;130:12,14;
	132:7;134:7,9,15,23;
	135:2,3;136:24;
0.8 10.	139:15;140:10,12,13; 145:6,21;146:17;
0:8,10; 17:4;120:16,	143:0,21,140:17, 148:7;155:24;
22;204:6,11;	148:7,155:24, 157:20,161:9,171:6;
14;230:12,13,	174:9;176:14;
20;270:17,	177:20;182:5;188:7;
20,23;283:1	190:22,23;198:3;
20,23,283.1	200:12,15;201:17;
4,19;66:11;	200:12,13,201:17, 202:16,21;203:11;
:10	204:14;205:23;
)	206:5,5,6;210:21;
, 7:17	212:11;213:22;
5)	219:11;222:4;
65:6;266:1;	226:13;229:21;
1	233:11;234:3;
	241:12;243:12;
31:14;	245:22;246:3;254:8;
	259:8;263:11;
	265:11;269:1,1,4,4;
17	272:8;273:19;
)	277:22;279:7;280:18
	one-sentence (1)
1.4.112.17	6:12
1:4;113:17;	one-tenth (1)
193:20,23	147:8
ff (2) 1	online (1) 226:18
cord (1)	only (29)
	11:16;12:11;33:1,
	6;38:16;42:13;44:1;
	59:13,14;60:2;68:3;
s (1)	81:4;87:18;89:13,15;
- (-)	90:18;104:1;115:5;
	116:5;145:6;161:17;
	181:22;186:10;
	192:3;223:6,20;
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

243:11:273:12; 282:14 on-the-job (13) 70:21,23;71:7,12; 72:1,16,22;79:20; 81:14;117:24;118:4, 16,18 open (1) 283:6 open-mindedness (1) 28:9 operating (4) 94:18;249:12,15, 22 operation (4) 97:21;250:19; 269:24;270:12 operational (6) 251:9,14,22; 262:21;263:19;269:9 operations (5) 221:1;242:22; 251:2,3;275:14 opinion (6) 65:19;69:1,11,19; 80:5;117:1 opinions (3) 65:10,14;66:11 opportunities (1) 119:11 opportunity (7) 24:1:29:21:31:2: 47:12:247:21; 274:15:275:1 opposed (2) 28:11;201:22 opposite (1) 170:20 opted (2) 125:21:126:3 optimal (4) 18:3.5.7.8 option (21) 11:24;12:7,8; 73:11,17;81:20,22; 82:3;101:5,21,22,23; 119:15,18,23;120:6; 125:21;126:1; 156:22;182:6,12 options (18) 21:8:69:21:70:2, 18;73:20;78:10; 79:17,24;80:3,22; 81:2,12,24;95:11; 101:4;120:5;145:15; 246:1 order (18) 20:15,21;21:15; 23:6,8;27:5;30:18, 22:43:15:58:17: 179:17;193:7;219:9; 220:6;243:12; 276:21;281:20;283:4

218:3 organization (1) 62:5 orient (1) 222:3 orienting (1) 62:4 original (10) 35:17:45:6:54:3; 113:3;176:22; 182:21;199:10; 213:14;222:12; 230:12 otherwise (5) 115:11;121:16; 228:18;231:19;281:7 ought (2) 171:6;183:10 out (63) 6:10;9:1;12:24; 23:21;26:24;27:5; 35:5;36:16;37:19; 50:3,14,16;58:7; 59:14;62:13,15;69:9; 75:1;77:13,23;81:15; 82:2;96:4,15,19; 102:13;104:21; 108:13;114:11,24; 117:10:126:19; 134:4:135:5:139:23; 140:16:146:15: 152:11:156:17; 157:20;161:12; 164:15;166:14; 170:12,13;171:16; 176:1,4,14;179:6; 180:13;193:2,2,7; 204:13;215:9;220:6; 235:9:247:5:262:17; 263:11;274:11;275:2 outcome (1) 109:9 output (4) 147:6,15;270:4,16 outreach (1) 74:2 outset (1) 232:2 outside (11) 65:22;160:16; 163:2;167:13; 180:18;181:19; 191:14;214:13; 231:20;242:21; 265:23 over (55) 24:23;44:2;96:3; 109:17;111:20; 117:21;131:5; 140:23;142:9; 144:13,13,24;145:6,

16;156:17;158:19;

DAY 6 March 26, 2018

HEARING ON THE M	IERITS	T	1	March 26, 2018
160:16;161:23;	5,6,7,11,16;42:14,15;	nonognonha (1)	notontly (1)	263:21
163:9;169:19;	52:9;54:8,10,10,12,	paragraphs (1) 40:15	patently (1) 87:13	Performance (3)
			pattern (1)	20:24;57:11,17
170:16;172:13,22;	13;55:12,22,24;	parameters (6)	116:11	
177:2,9,12,19;	56:23,24;58:16,23; 71:3;73:22;77:6;	137:3;138:3;	PAUL (3)	performed (7) 78:24;85:20;86:4;
182:10,12,20,22;		139:19;140:14; 179:16,24		
185:21;186:16;	82:12;84:4;85:4; 103:10;108:24;		127:20;128:3; 215:23	99:2;101:14;105:5; 263:3
187:3,11,17;188:10,		paramount (1) 154:13		
16;190:11;192:20; 195:7;208:17;210:1,	121:6;123:10,16; 130:20;131:1,18,21;		pause (3) 221:17;261:18,22	performing (2) 269:23;270:11
6,10;214:3,19;	130:20,131:1,18,21, 132:23;133:6;134:4,	paraphrase (1) 177:17	pay (11)	perhaps (3)
216:18;227:8;	15;135:5;136:6;	paraphrasing (4)	8:7,14;14:23;	41:16;227:24;
238:18;239:19;	139:6;141:7,15,16;	59:24;76:18;217:3;	15:12;16:9,10;23:21;	235:19
259:20;260:1;	142:14,17;143:15;	243:17	31:13;122:14,15,24	per-hour (2)
261:19;272:18	144:9;145:4,9;	parentheses (2)	paying (1)	93:3;233:8
overall (20)	146:18,21;149:6,23,	150:16,20	10:14	period (31)
16:6;20:2;32:14;	24;150:22;151:6,16;	part (46)	payroll (6)	16:4;66:18;96:3,
42:24;49:10;52:18;	152:5;153:18;	13:13;15:22;28:12,	90:19;122:17;	12;130:15;131:6;
57:24;97:21;104:23;	156:19;160:12,23;	15;32:16;51:21;	257:7,10;267:18;	145:13;155:21;
137:22;163:15;	161:9,18;162:16;	52:21;53:4,5;56:6;	273:9	169:19;172:23;
195:1;202:14,19;	164:24;165:22,23;	61:6;67:16;84:3,5;	pejorative (1)	173:11,17;182:10,13;
203:1,9,10;218:9;	166:2;167:1;168:18;	95:5,8;97:13,14,21;	232:21	183:7,23;188:10;
203.1,9,10,218.9, 239:4;265:20	176:21;179:7;185:6;	102:8;111:2,13,14;	penciled (2)	192:20;193:21;
over-collect (2)	197:13;201:18;	119:12,14;127:9;	133:12;150:1	209:17;210:1,7,11;
14:22;33:8	206:4;208:22;	149:1;160:21;163:5;	pending (2)	241:3;254:1,4,24;
over-collected (3)	213:14;217:13,14,15;	188:8;193:18;196:6;	34:16;44:19	255:13,24;256:14;
16:6;103:7;206:2	218:1,6,8,17,19,23;	199:11;236:21;	Pennsylvania (1)	257:22
over-collections (1)	219:1,6,17,18;220:7,	238:19,20,24;239:5;	128:6	periodic (1)
112:15	8,8;223:2;230:8;	248:15;255:15,16,23;	people (18)	177:21
over-depreciated (1)	237:11,12,23,24;	256:15;257:1;	13:11;66:4;101:7;	periodically (3)
209:9	241:23,24;242:2,2,7;	270:10;278:17	104:23;114:23;	160:2,19;167:14
over-depreciation (1)	244:24;249:5,7;	partially (1)	115:12;117:22;	periods (1)
172:14	251:4,17;252:5,16;	54:11	126:17;150:7;	253:11
overdoing (1)	253:3,7;256:3;	participation (2)	157:10,12;159:13;	permanent (3)
46:13	257:24;262:11;	11:8;13:10	234:22;264:4,10;	236:24;237:5;
overhead (1)	269:19	particular (24)	266:14;268:23;269:4	250:18
124:9	Pages (28)	8:3;18:7;22:17;	people's (2)	permits (1)
Overruled (2)	20:21;61:13;	30:17;39:5;47:16;	115:4;266:16	47:5
63:23;88:16	132:13,20,21,22;	49:24;67:15;71:5;	per (9)	perpetrator (1)
overruns (1)	134:5,10,12,14;	77:14;78:16;89:8;	65:1;92:7,16;	56:17
34:17	143:14;149:19;	95:3;179:11;200:10;	93:23;97:4,8;102:24;	person (7)
overtime (4)	150:4,7,9,14,17;	202:6;234:2,5;	122:11;214:9	76:3,7;144:17;
77:7;122:15,16,16	151:7,8,12;152:15;	235:10;236:24;	percent (40)	200:13;224:6,7;
overwhelms (1)	161:8;197:19;	240:18;244:23;	7:5,7,8,9,13,14,16,	235:5
113:13	217:14;218:6;	256:18;273:19	18,19;8:6;14:18;	personal (1)
owe (1)	219:16;239:7;253:15	particularly (3)	43:8,21;106:1;	260:19
190:7	paid (3)	62:19;199:13;	109:15,16,18,19,19;	personally (1)
owed (1)	90:1;101:15;	245:21	135:7;146:12;164:7;	171:5
189:23	217:22	parties (3)	179:12,20;180:16;	perspective (8)
own (4)	pain (1)	18:11;67:6;176:17	181:8,16,20;206:14;	24:5;29:24;38:16;
29:17;56:10;74:10;	105:1	partner (1)	207:13,15;212:1;	57:9;98:6;101:23;
233:20	painful (1)	119:6	214:8,22;261:23,24;	203:5;225:4
owner (2)	51:11	partnering (1)	274:15;275:2;	pertained (1)
25:8;211:10	paper (3)	119:10	279:19,19	95:8
ownership (2)	81:12;200:20,21	parts (2)	percentage (4)	pertaining (1)
191:11;211:9	papers (1)	117:16;163:20	11:9;29:7;144:5;	21:20
Р	144:18	party (1)	180:22	petition (1)
1	paragraph (16) 40:15,20;47:4;	18:9 porty's (2)	percentage-wise (1) 8:6	277:2 phasing (1)
nackaga (?)	40.13,20,47.4, 58:22;59:3;71:1;	party's (2) 7:7,8	perfect (1)	156:17
package (2) 251:5;252:6	156:2;185:10;	passes (1)	205:18	phone (1)
PAGE (128)	204:24,24;205:24;	145:12	Perfectly (1)	269:13
3:6;19:11;23:5;	204.24,24,205.24, 220:13,17;244:24;	past (2)	277:13	209.15 phrase (2)
33:20;39:16,18;40:3,	245:1;269:19	84:21;194:3	perform (1)	59:18;116:20
55.20,57.10,10,70.3,	213.1,207.17	01.21,177.5	Perior III (1)	57.10,110.20

physical (1) 221:5 piece (2) 24:23:155:22 pile (1)247:14 place (9) 26:12;113:14; 140:23;148:17; 172:17:221:3; 229:10;250:19;269:1 plan (15) 8:3;25:17;38:2,11; 41:6;42:11;46:7; 48:2;59:9;60:5; 107:1;112:17; 155:19;231:1;274:6 planning (1) 34:17 plans (4) 54:18;187:1,1; 241:10 plan's (1) 38:12 plant (24) 142:4;153:12; 158:9;160:22;168:2; 183:19:186:11; 188:1,19;190:10; 193:22;221:6;241:9; 249:13,15,23;250:15, 19:251:9.11.23: 269:23:270:9,17 played (1) 82:2 playing (1) 179:18 please (19) 27:9;64:1;71:20; 75:4;121:20;123:23; 124:2;127:19; 159:16,18;176:22; 179:13;184:13; 198:20;204:6;238:3; 251:9,12,22 plenty (1) 260:19 plus (7) 105:24;169:9,10; 172:6;236:17; 279:15,15 pm (8) 120:22;127:3,4; 176:7,8;215:15,16; 283:12 **point** (57) 9:15;10:9;14:6; 27:7;49:12;50:3,14; 51:9;52:11;54:22; 57:3,4,6,10:60:24; 67:4;69:5;71:15; 73:15;77:13,15:89:9; 100:8;101:3,18,19;

104:13,24;105:4; 108:6:112:11: 115:15:117:10.11; 134:4;147:19; 157:20;183:9,11,12; 184:21;186:20,21; 190:14;194:14,16; 205:21:211:23; 214:18;218:7;224:7; 232:8;233:2;247:18; 275:20;281:2,20 pointed (5) 9:1;104:21;108:12; 114:11;171:16 points (11) 137:10,12;138:12, 19;145:24;151:18; 171:8,13;175:17; 178:14;202:22 point-something (1) 207:19 Policies (2) 58:24;253:2 policy (15) 32:10;36:9,11; 39:6;211:11;249:13, 16,23,24;250:8; 252:11,19,24;253:12; 254:6 pollution (1) 78:18 poor (3) 34:16:152:10.14 portfolio (2) 66:3;79:22 portion (6) 112:9:114:3.4; 230:1;232:16;274:18 Portsmouth (1) 94:16 posed (2) 83:14:263:15 position (21) 10:16;28:18;32:22; 45:14;67:5,24;68:1; 69:7;101:6;102:8,9; 109:5;119:24; 182:19;200:5,6; 212:14;275:21; 276:9;281:23,24 positions (1) 273:24 positive (9) 169:4,6,10;222:20; 223:15;260:6,18; 279:12,16 possibilities (1) 21:14 possibility (2) 21:13:41:19 possible (5) 20:23;25:5;26:4; 93:24;212:18

possibly (1) 217:4 potential (2) 22:24:114:17 potentially (1) 41:13 Power (8) 54:8,9,13,24;60:7; 74:3;109:6,14 PowerPoint (1) 268:14 practice (1) 8:13 practices (2) 65:7;159:8 precedent (1) 26:13 precisely (2) 34:13;40:11 preclude (1) 108:14 predict (2) 10:2;180:13 prefer (2) 23:15;199:21 prefiled (9) 19:8,12;22:22; 24:11:33:19:34:13: 35:16;121:5;197:9 prehearing (2) 67:6,12 preliminary (2) 67:23:150:3 preparation (1) 234:8 prepare (3) 120:14;129:5; 131:19 prepared (8) 53:4;129:7;154:21; 157:22:158:17: 239:17:240:4:255:6 preparing (1) 26:9 presence (3) 115:2;249:14; 280:2 present (8) 223:11;243:9; 259:24;260:15; 261:9,12,14;279:12 presentation (3) 128:13;146:8; 268:14 presented (3) 153:7;201:16; 236:7 presents (3) 149:21;204:2; 214:2 preserved (1) 39:20

250:24 pressure (4) 154:11:211:4; 212:2:270:4 presume (1) 45:13 presumption (1) 280:3 pretty (2) 158:24;223:19 previous (7) 77:15;78:23;89:22; 121:23;199:2;206:8; 220:22 **PREVIOUSLY (5)** 5:20;197:1;216:14; 254:13;256:24 price (18) 10:18,20;11:12; 14:2;21:23;39:23; 48:10,16,23,24;49:2, 9.18:108:2.21: 113:13;125:21;126:1 pricing (1) 108:1 primarily (1) 139:4 principal (1) 128:4 principle (2) 24:6;111:4 printer (1) 240:1printout (1) 221:24 prior (25) 74:15:79:5.9: 124:13:128:15: 131:10,19;156:23; 174:2;181:18;200:3; 211:9.10:224:10: 228:8:238:14:241:3; 249:15,24;250:4; 262:19,20;264:18,19; 266:18 probably (21) 66:11;82:21; 113:23;157:2; 163:16;164:14; 171:11;172:2,3; 173:19:175:21,22; 177:12,13;181:22; 187:17;191:9;194:7; 196:13;224:8;245:22 problem (19) 6:24;29:12;55:13; 72:12;83:19;91:12; 105:2;107:6,7; 108:11;152:8;164:9; 183:14,15:184:11,23; 191:8;207:13;214:12 problems (2) 9:6:111:20

DAY 6 March 26, 2018

proceed (1) 216:13 proceeding (28) 183:9;217:12; 220:7;225:13; 226:23;227:11; 228:8;229:22;230:5; 236:6:238:8,16; 239:6;240:10,11; 241:1,21;243:4; 244:7;247:20;258:6, 6:275:3:276:1.7: 277:6;283:6,7 proceedings (7) 34:15;144:22; 236:18;239:19; 240:8;265:18;277:8 process (15) 36:17;42:23;46:23; 59:10;60:5;84:22; 117:4,6;144:7;147:6; 191:19,21,23;192:3; 278:4 produce (4) 51:7;61:14,19; 172:5 produced (4) 158:5,7;159:9; 174:5 produces (1) 193:16 Production (27) 142:12:235:16,17, 22,23;236:23;238:5; 239:3,14;240:6; 241:5,18;249:13,23; 250:20;251:1; 252:12.18:253:8.13. 20,21,23;254:3,7,15; 258:3 professional (3) 12:23:15:4:108:11 Program (5) 20:6;38:22;147:8; 168:5;266:5 programs (5) 21:22;29:6,9; 31:21;57:22 progress (2) 218:2;240:18 progression (1) 161:24 Project (20) 38:24;39:3,9;41:1; 51:23;52:4,20;53:4, 19;60:11;217:23; 218:10,18;220:3,15; 221:18,22;278:4; 279:20;280:24 projected (1) 67:20 projection (1) 195:14

president (1)

projections (1) 195:17 projects (1) 280:23 propane (1) 17:16 properly (1) 101:24 property (1) 110:13 proposal (31) 10:6,20:16:15; 25:22;26:23;27:17; 32:19:33:21:34:7: 35:7,17;36:14;38:15, 20,22;45:6;105:6,16; 106:16;108:14; 131:4;179:4;185:15; 192:16;194:18; 195:20;203:15; 211:18:238:18; 274:19:275:1 proposed (24) 25:16:33:14: 131:10;133:22; 151:13;159:21; 167:3;182:24; 197:14;198:12; 199:24;201:13; 203:20;211:20; 212:5:238:11; 240:12:245:10: 246:10.18.22.23: 254:22.24 proposing (10) 10:22;11:1,2,20; 16:16,17:55:3:57:8; 149:10:201:7 proposition (2) 37:8:58:19 protect (2) 27:6;221:3 protecting (1) 157:16 protections (1) 260:21 protocols (1) 252:23 prove (1) 281:8 proved (1) 275:22 proven (2) 119:1,2 proves (1) 60:23 provide (36) 24:7;31:1;36:5; 39:3;47:11;55:16; 71:18,20,22;73:1; 99:18;108:18; 110:20:118:16; 119:20;123:23;

124:2,5:153:1; 159:12.19:201:2: 213:16:225:24; 226:7;227:9,12; 228:24;229:3; puts (1)230:21:231:16: 175:20 234:14;249:9,17; putting (4) 250:2;251:12 194:9 provided (46) 9:3:73:4,7,15: 77:20;82:4;83:5,16; 84:2,18:86:16:99:3, 15;101:12;119:4; 153:4,5;154:23; 124:23 158:14;159:15; 199:1,9,18;201:1; 124:21 206:7;221:1;224:14; qualified (2) 225:13,21;230:4; 233:1;234:9,18; qualitative (1) 236:5,15;240:8; 156:20 242:17;250:3; quality (2) 251:15;255:1,3,21; 258:13;262:10; quantity (1) 264:6;277:7 99:1 provider (2) questioner (1) 119:16,17 218:20 provides (2) quick (1) 47:14:63:10 277:22 providing (8) quickly (1) 23:20:104:19; 149:4 108:15:115:15; quite (2) 120:3:127:8:225:4: 227:23 quote (3) prudence (4) 247:21;248:19; 260:13 258:19;280:3 quoted (1) prudency (2) 232:13 275:12;276:22 auotes (1) 185:11 prudent (6) 117:8:235:22; 236:10;275:16; 276:14:277:3 public (1) R1 (5) 252:10 **PUC** (7) 19:13;39:10;47:22; R1.0(1) 133:4;150:20;151:2; 143:4 169:22 R3 (3) PUCs (1) 181:23 R3.0 (3) pull (2) 70:4:248:17 139:1 purport (1) R4 (1) 57:24 151:19 purpose (2) R5.0 (1) 39:2:109:3 145:18 purposes (1) raise (2) 110:14 put (17) raised (5) 88:14:104:14; 117:12;133:9; 162:22;163:18; ramp(1) 186:15;187:20; 117:5

194:15:211:3; 213:15;226:21; 228:12;239:17; 269:10:277:2:280:14 ran(1) 8:9:49:21:184:5; range (7) 0 qualification (1) qualifications (1) Rank (5) 66:11;124:20 81:1 **RAP** (2) 66:12;79:13 161:15:180:11 42:17;230:6; R 44:23;45:3,7; 146:13:148:6 44:23;45:8;134:5 133:22;135:19; 89:9;91:15 62:20;72:21;97:23; 130:12;243:2 21:4,8,13

rampant (1) 181:24 ramping (1) 245:6 168:11 random (2) 200:18,23 137:22:158:19; 159:1,11;160:13; 167:12,13 ranges (1) 158:22 137:21,21,23; 139:5;143:24 ranking (1) 109:1;113:6 rate (114) 5:4;7:4;12:9,16,16, 18;16:2;19:17,22; 20:2;21:1;25:7;26:6, 22;27:23;32:21;36:2, 6;41:21;44:11,15,16; 46:16,24;47:7,18,21, 23;67:10;84:11; 95:15:102:10,11,17; 103:23;105:5,10,11, 19:106:12:110:22: 111:2,13,14;117:7, 13:125:22.24: 126:13:128:22; 129:1;146:15;170:4, 15:171:20:173:3.7. 19:174:8.14:175:4. 13,14,15;177:5,7,11, 12:184:9:187:15; 188:2,4,5;190:13,15, 19,21;191:1,2,6; 193:1,9;194:19; 195:15,18;196:10; 214:20;223:12; 224:13;225:12; 227:1;228:10; 233:19;236:24; 237:5;238:17,20; 239:6;246:11;256:1; 257:16,23;271:20,24; 272:6;274:11;276:2, 23:279:9.9.15.18: 280:4;281:8 ratemaking (2) 36:3;98:6 ratepayer-funded (7) 29:6;36:23;37:22: 38:13,16,21;57:21 ratepayers (2) 111:11:209:10 rate-reconciling (3)

DAY 6 March 26, 2018

rates (44) 13:17;21:3;54:18, 19;103:5;112:8,9,14; 125:17,22;126:15; 159:22;169:15; 170:10:179:18: 238:19;245:10,12; 246:12,14,15,17,19, 22,24;253:22; 254:20;256:12; 270:24;271:1,5,6,7, 10,10,15,16,18; 272:4,5;274:3,11; 276:12:280:7 rather (10) 14:6;23:16;45:5; 59:7;134:14;161:9; 201:15;202:13; 268:13:269:2 rationale (2) 241:6:250:7 R-curve (1) 136:8 read (13) 60:19;71:1;123:20, 22;230:20;231:1; 237:16;238:2; 242:15;244:19; 245:1;269:21;270:9 reading (11) 20:21:28:14:33:20; 39:19:40:5.14:42:16: 59:12.23:128:5: 162:24 reads (1) 94:15 ready (2) 127:6;248:20 real (3) 87:7:104:17; 277:22 reality (3) 15:8,8,10 really (19) 11:13;51:1;52:18; 53:2,23;55:17;62:22; 106:19;124:20; 140:22;155:1;157:5; 218:5;225:23;230:8, 24;240:7;245:23; 280:24 real-time (7) 48:12;50:16,18; 62:3,16;63:1,9 reason (27) 7:12;10:16;33:1; 36:20;37:18;42:12; 47:11;51:13;72:19; 78:20,21;98:23; 100:6,22;113:20; 124:11;125:7,10; 150:13,18,24;152:9; 161:13;193:19;

Min-U-Script®

DAY 6 March 26, 2018

HEARING ON THE M	ERIT
232:11;247:17;	21
259:16	recip
reasonable (19)	11
23:24;24:1;29:20,	reco
21;31:2;50:12;98:8; 156:16;163:2;	16 reco
179:22;195:10,22,24;	22
203:13;207:22;	22
208:4;246:12,19;	reco
275:1 reasonableness (1)	14 14
68:4	14
reasonably (4)	19
46:5;47:10;48:1;	20
227:22	25
reasoning (4) 254:19,23;256:10,	26 reco
13	38
reasons (2)	98
9:2;63:8	15
reassessed (1)	16
247:4	17
REBUTTAL (17) 3:4,7,8,13;84:14;	18
108:12;185:4;215:6;	18 19
216:9,15;224:12;	20
225:8;226:24;	20
228:11;229:20;	23
259:3;279:4	26
recall (41) 5:23;6:2,5;22:19;	28
25:13,16,19,24;26:5;	Reco 13
52:6;67:22,23;76:4;	27
121:14;125:18;	reco
132:9;156:6;162:18;	35
174:1,2;202:9,10;	13
214:4,6;216:23; 217:1,5;222:20;	14 14
217:1,5;222:20; 224:24;232:23;	14
233:15;234:5,7,8;	17
236:3;243:7,22,24;	19
244:4;245:13;273:7	19
Recalling (1)	20
219:7	20
recaps (1) 220:11	27 reco
receive (5)	14
49:11;125:16;	20
259:24;260:15;268:4	reco
received (3)	19
124:12;231:22; 233:13	reco
receiving (3)	10
125:8;234:5;	reco
267:14	16
recent (6)	reco
43:6;60:8;195:19;	11
205:10;226:10,13 recently (2)	23 25
193:3;224:19	25
recess (6)	reco
80:13;120:21;	10
127:3;176:3,7;	reco

15:15	282:7,12
procate (1)	reconvening
13:10	282:14
ognize (2)	record (33)
64:9;165:8	45:19;53:2
ollection (5) 2:14;33:1;217:6;	80:9,10;12 127:9;190
28:8;267:5	196:23;20
ommend (16)	215:12,14;
42:18;143:7;	14,16;235
45:1;156:3,5;	8,12,14;24
70:10;184:17; 93:11;196:8;	248:15;25 269:22;282
01:21;208:1;	283:1,3
58:19;259:22;	recording (4
50:11;261:5;279:22	161:19;16
ommendation (39)	166:19
8:7;68:18;97:17;	records (2) 257:7,10
8:2;139:3;140:1; 52:16,20;165:5;	237:7,10 recover (7)
69:16,18;175:8,11;	64:20;186
77:1,18;178:21;	192:18;21
84:14;185:13;	241:18;24
87:8;188:12,13,15;	recovering (
93:6;198:10;200:2; 03:22,24;206:3,5,7;	243:2 recovery (8)
07:11;208:16;	32:2,23;33
39:13;258:10;	68:5;275:
60:2,17;273:19;	280:11
82:17,19 ommendations (3)	red (2) 223:3,3
31:2;143:3;	Redirect (10
76:18	3:13;120:1
ommended (27)	189:14,18
5:20;130:14;	23;279:1,4
38:24;139:10,11; 41:7,21;142:1;	redo (1) 91:13
48:7,8;151:13;	reduce (1)
56:24;160:15;	16:15
72:21;182:11;	reduced (4)
94:22;197:20;	23:11;192
98:1,8,21;201:23; 02:11;207:17;	206:20,23 reduces (3)
08:2;210:6;234:21;	41:21;43:1
73:10	reducing (9)
ommending (4)	23:19;46:
42:20;178:18,24; 02:4	163:22;20 203:3,4,9
ommends (2)	reduction (6
98:9;210:10	15:16,16,1
oncile (3)	42:1;274:2
6:12;104:5;	reductions (34:1;273:0
06:23 onciled (3)	re-examine
6:4,5;105:13	47:12
onciliation (10)	refer (8)
11:21;112:2,7,12;	23:3;30:17
38:24;241:4; 53:10,24;255:14;	54:2,3;224 229:16;26
58:12	reference (1
onciling (1)	55:12;75:1
04:3	147:19;15
onvene (2)	177:15;18

184:21:229:7; 230:19:238:10: ening (1) 240:11:255:18; 258:8.9 ;53:23;60:19; referenced (4) 10;120:17,18: :190:24: 254:12 3:204:6,11; references (3) 237:22;252:17: 2,14;230:13,13, :235:20:236:5, 256:17 14;247:16,18; referred (5) 50:9;200:20; 5:250:12; 2;282:20,22,23; referring (10) ng (4) 9;162:4,21; 220:16;224:9; 233:23;235:23; reflect (6) ;186:16; 8;212:9,20; reflected (3) 8;244:22 15:10;34:7;90:1 ring(1)reflecting (1) 166:6 y (8) reflects (1) 23;33:4,10; 177:21 275:14;276:16; refresh (1) 51:19 refund (7) et (10) 120:12:121:2: refunding (1) 4.18:213:10.18. 274:4 9:1,4 regard (9) 221:13,22;273:3 regarding (3) 84:7:185:20; ;192:19; 220:20 regulated (4) 20,23 63:17,18;64:6,7 ;43:1;46:7 **Regulation** (1) g (9) 51:24 ;46:7;58:3; regulator (1) 2;202:16,23; 9:13 regulators (5) on (6) 15:4;39:4,5; ,16,19;29:8; 104:18;181:24 274:2 regulatory (14) ons (2) 8:12;9:7;38:24; 273:6 nine (1) 52:4,19;53:3,18; reject (1) 30:17;52:9; 118:3 3;224:10; relate (1) 6;267:12 239:19 ce (14) related (24) ;75:11; 9;159:13; 5;183:12;

237:19:239:10.13; 240:6:241:2.6:249:9: 253:12;254:5;256:4, 5;262:23;265:2; 278:10:280:18 21:15;55:19;158:6; relates (1) 240:7 relationship (1) 39:9 relevant (3) 116:23;229:23; 242:12 228:13;250:3;252:2 reliable (1) 156:22 17:15;56:5;143:10; reliance (2) 79:19;148:17 relied (4) 242:1;244:9;253:17 91:10;155:12; 222:14;232:9 44:3;91:16;154:5; rely (11) 159:7:160:5:168:8 71:6:91:16:148:2, 3,4;152:12;160:1,1; 167:10;192:8;198:24 relying (9) 52:21;72:1,16; 115:2;201:15;202:3; 227:16,18,22 remaining (9) 170:11;182:1; 184:24;201:14; 8:2;9:16,21;51:11; 206:5;212:4,8,10; 114:13;206:12;247:2 218:15 remains (1) 217:22 remember (16) 29:19;55:3;64:19; 6:21;10:10;14:17; 70:18;79:13;155:14; 25:20;47:8;51:9; 89:2;106:8;121:17; 169:6;172:24;174:6; 183:22;185:3;192:4; 236:4 remembering (1) 57:1 reminding (1) 248:11 reminds (1) 48:16 remote (3) 157:8;162:23; 163:11 remove (2) 97:6:98:4 39:3,8;40:24;51:22; rendered (1) 12:20 59:15;60:10;242:18 renovate (1) 95:8 renovations (7) 78:15;94:18,19,23; 95:2,6,13 rep (1) 8:12;19:22;36:9; 267:16 64:21,23;128:17; repackaging (1) 217:8;224:1;234:3; 53:19

Min-U-Script®

SUSAN J. ROBIDAS, N.H. LCR (603) 540-2083 shortrptr@comcast.net (22) reasonable - repackaging

25:15:43:17:48:5;

73:18;80:4;84:9;

HEAKING ON THE M	ЕК
repeat (8)	
14:7;27:9;37:14;	re
50:23;52:23;64:1;	
75:4;88:5	
repeated (1)	re
14:12	
repeating (1)	
142:23 rephrase (2)	re
175:10;198:19	
replace (3)	
32:19;155:20;	
167:14	re
replacing (1) 100:13	
report (21)	re
39:1;53:4;54:4;	10
61:6;71:17;131:17;	R
168:16;172:5;174:5;	
180:5;239:1,5,8,15;	re
255:5;256:20,22;	
257:15;258:11,14; 272:24	re
Reporter (6)	
35:1;59:11;127:22;	
199:5;201:4;248:1	
represent (5)	
93:2;146:3;165:10;	
167:16;219:19	
representing (1) 154:8	
represents (5)	
156:15;158:18;	
159:2,3;164:12	re
reproduce (2)	
52:17;55:14 reps (2)	re
268:3,11	
request (39)	re
68:4,13;70:4,17;	
71:1;73:3,16,23;	re
76:1;89:22;121:23;	
122:23;132:4,8,9; 157:22;199:11;	re
204:16;224:22;	re
225:19;226:6;	
227:24;233:13,20;	Re
240:5;244:9;249:7,	
11,19,20;250:5,7; 251:4,17,19;252:7;	
253:3,15;280:20	re
requested (9)	re
64:24;152:24;	
234:16;249:21;	
252:9;253:19;254:2,	
11;280:7	
requesting (2) 229:4;240:23	
requests (13)	
70:13;71:16;79:16;	re
83:14;84:7;185:11;	
223:23;239:19;	
240.17 23.247.12.	re

248:14:253:17 252:14 eauire (5) respondent (1) 100:15:115:8; 76:3 196:9;247:1;273:23 responding (1) equired (3) 227:7 33:17;99:22; responds (1) 231:20 49:2 equirement (10) response (62) 44:14;47:11;68:13; 72:23:98:5:106:15, 19;110:19;118:14; 133:21 equirements (3) 94:19;163:12; 273:10 equires (3) 47:5,6;67:14 esearch (1) 24:21 eservation's (1) 94:1 eserve (38) 130:15;167:21; 168:1,1,13,20;169:2, 9,17,18;172:9,15,16; 177:1;179:8;180:14; 181:1,10;182:20; 183:24;184:3; 276:20 185:21;186:8,9,19; response] (2) 187:4,11,13;193:14, 5:8:127:17 14:205:2,9,15; responses (11) 206:14:207:4.20: 209:3:210:19 eserved (1) 209:24 268:18 eserves (4) 167:24;168:6,14; responsive (2) 169:8 eset (1) rest (6) 177:15 esidential (2) 271:16:272:5 restaurant (2) esolve (3) 13:17,21 53:24;183:14,15 result (10) esolved (4) 26:6,16;27:15;30:1 esource (8) 271:11 28:21,24;29:4; 59:7,9;60:3,5,15 resulted (5) esources (2) 76:8:242:19 espect (18) results (14) 27:15;28:7;31:20; 46:14;58:14;60:12; 62:3;105:12;108:21; 109:4;112:23; 125:14;177:18; 203:21;205:17; resumed (5) 213:17;272:5;277:22 espond (5) 48:23,24;49:9,19; resumes (1) 219:22 5:7 rethink (1) responded (1)

221:17 25:21;45:18,21; 49:9:50:4:70:17: 75:7;77:23;83:5; 88:24;89:24;90:7; 94:12;95:19;99:11; 124:1,24;132:13,17; 143:12,14;153:5,6, 22;154:21,24;158:6; 159:15,23;186:13; 204:15;205:1; 225:18;233:13; 234:10;235:24; 236:16;238:21,22; 239:4;243:15;244:6; 249:8;250:4,11; 252:1,8,21;253:1; 255:4,16,23;256:5,7, 15,22;258:9,16; 261:7;264:5;275:15; 89:7:94:6:117:20: 120:4;224:14; 236:13:241:1: 247:13:248:7,14; 50:22;265:15 16:3:29:22:239:20; 260:24;261:7;273:21 19:19;29:8;43:24; 51:4;152:14;223:14; 246:11,19;260:18; 192:12;225:5; 240:14;243:4;260:6 131:16,17;137:3; 142:18;143:22,23; 152:22;164:17; 171:12;183:6;200:8, 10;204:2;259:20 80:14;120:22; 127:4;176:8;215:16

retire (3) 136:10:144:5; 156:11 retired (1) 134:2 **Retirement (14)** 135:22;137:16,17; 139:1;143:4;144:24; 146:6;147:12; 149:15;165:17; 166:5;167:2,3;192:5 retirements (6) 137:18;146:4: 166:7;168:7;192:6, 10 retrace (1) 62:24 retreat (2) 99:21,24 return (26) 23:24,24;24:2,8; 27:23;29:22;30:15; 31:2;41:15,22;42:7; 43:8,20;44:4,11,15, 16;191:6;196:18; 215:1;261:24;279:9, 15,18;281:13,17 returned (4) 172:18,22;209:10; 216:7 returning (2) 170:18:196:19 revenue (72) 7:3;15:7,17;19:13; 20:24;22:11;23:17, 18:24:10.24:25:17; 26:3;28:7;29:12; 30:8;31:14,19,20,22; 32:1,2,5,7,8,9,11,14, 20,23;33:4,7,10,22; 35:19:36:1,7,10,15. 16,22;37:19,21; 38:10,11;39:13,17; 41:6;42:10,13;43:24; 44:4,7,14;45:24;46:2, 17;51:24;68:13;98:5; 102:12,14,20,24; 103:4;105:9,20,23; 110:14,19;111:9; 246:23:273:10 revenues (2) 103:18,21 reversed (1) 10:20 review (20) 22:16;25:18,19,23; 26:4,9,12;43:15; 47:18;49:22;73:8; 74:19:236:16: 257:12,13;276:4,18, 22:277:4.10 reviewed (9)

238:23;257:18;277:5 reviewing (2) 30:22;49:24 reviews (5) 133:1;229:19; 237:10:245:3:258:1 reward (1) 14:3 RFP-ing (1) 81:15 **RI**(1) 144:23 ridiculous (1) 27:3 right (77) 5:9;6:16;12:24; 14:9;42:5;43:19; 48:17;56:3,4,14; 63:4;69:16;82:3,21; 104:6;105:7;107:8; 112:1;113:18,19; 115:11;117:9,10; 119:3;126:24;127:5, 18;136:8,12;137:20, 24;138:17;139:7,12; 142:2,4,21;143:13, 24;145:19;146:16; 149:11:150:4.21; 151:6,8,17,18:161:6; 164:18:168:21: 169:13:173:4; 175:22:176:18: 177:23:181:5:182:3, 23;183:2;187:22; 188:13:206:10,17; 207:18;208:9; 215:20;220:12; 222:4;232:12,24; 240:19;242:6; 264:21;268:20; 278:6;282:22 right-hand (7) 130:24;131:1; 133:7;143:2;149:14; 150:2;209:13 risk (38) 11:4,15;14:24; 15:1,8,10,15,16,19; 16:16:17:11,14,20; 18:10;23:9,12;34:2,2, 22;35:10,21;39:20; 40:2;41:2,7,11;42:1, 21,21;44:1;46:7,8; 54:23;111:6;243:19, 20;244:2;252:9 risks (7) 23:1;34:19,22; 35:2;252:10,20,24 risky (1) 41:15 road (2)

Min-U-Script®

240:17,23;247:12;

DAY 6 March 26, 2018

HEARING ON THE M	ERITS			March 26, 2018
174:24;180:13	159:21;181:11	154:6	224:22;230:10;	156:2,23;158:20,21;
Rocky (1)	same (57)	scenario (2)	281:19	159:21;160:18,21;
128:5	7:17;9:18,22;	82:1;260:24		163:22;166:16;
ROE (1)	15:11,19:16:8,13,14;		segregated (1) 162:6	167:17;197:14,20,24;
275:2	34:6;35:12,13;52:6;	schedule (13)		
		33:17;130:20;	select (3)	198:3,7,10,12;
role (3)	53:2,3,20;73:16;	141:14;168:16,17;	90:17;199:7,8	201:14,22;202:12;
63:15,20,21	88:1;92:9;96:12;	193:3;213:15;	selected (2)	207:16;217:23;
rolling (1)	102:11;103:5,9,9;	237:22;238:7;	182:8;255:24	219:13;245:19;
216:3	109:9,16,23;110:3,5;	249:11,21;258:5;	selection (3)	267:1,8,11,12;268:11
room (8)	111:12;115:7;	259:13	139:24;147:11;	services (6) 81:16;171:1;
15:3;105:3;191:1;	116:11,18;129:13;	schedules (3)	248:18	
198:14;265:8;270:3,	141:17;142:1;143:6;	98:20;266:17;	selects (1)	186:12;242:17,21,21
7,15	144:5;163:14;166:2;	280:15	147:10	session (3)
room's (1)	173:15;189:11;	scheme (1)	self-explanatory (1)	91:14;125:5;199:6
239:20	207:16;214:22;	46:10	32:3	sessions (1)
rough (4)	224:12;226:1,4,7,21;	science (1)	sell (6)	231:23
87:7;93:14;179:2;	229:1;260:23;264:7;	114:24	13:18,20;29:14;	set (8)
262:1	269:1;272:2,7;	scope (3)	30:14;97:24;110:23	15:20;102:10;
roughly (5)	277:10;281:23;282:9	65:22;68:17;	selling (1)	103:6;184:14;249:4;
87:8;161:17;	sample (3)	191:14	29:18	252:5,5;270:17
178:17;222:18;	15:3;158:16;159:1	Scott (1)	sells (1)	sets (1)
227:12	sampling (1)	219:1	110:16	241:2
round (1)	158:18	scrutinize (1)	send (2)	setting (2)
150:12	Sandy (1)	155:16	48:16;233:20	102:16;141:2
rows (1)	216:2	season (1)	sending (1)	settled (2)
136:7	satisfactory (3)	245:6	264:21	26:24;27:19
RPC (1)	73:5;118:3,11	seat (3)	senior (2)	settlement (46)
102:24	satisfied (2)	196:18;215:1;	260:10;279:23	5:16;6:4;14:14;
rule (2)	243:21;247:16	281:14	sense (12)	21:7;22:2,9;30:2,3;
118:13,17	saturated (1)	Second (31)	35:13;49:14;77:16;	32:17;34:7;35:9;
rules (1)	17:4	7:22;28:15;40:14,	93:19;113:20;	43:10,18,19;44:18,
71:20	save (1)	20;85:18;96:11;	140:21,22;147:4;	23;47:5,17;48:3;
run (2)	243:13	105:4;122:10,13;	160:9;184:1;203:8;	57:7;105:16;106:16;
78:15;109:13	saving (1)	130:14;136:19;	278:1	108:17,19;192:12,17;
running (1)	57:12	143:23;150:9,19;	sent (5)	194:20;195:6,20;
138:12	savings (1)	165:19;167:18;	152:23;233:24;	196:7;206:21;
C	57:14	168:21;188:8;	234:1;235:11;262:17	209:19;210:1,9;
S	saw (1)	204:24;205:24;	sentence (13)	238:12;240:14;
	152:22	206:4,13;207:1;	5:16;40:20;59:2,	241:24;242:3;243:5;
S4 (7)	saying (55)	209:1;217:14;223:2;	12,23;94:15;128:9;	245:11;246:11;
149:20;151:20,20;	7:9,17;8:10,23;	242:2,13;244:16;	220:16,22;230:20;	255:10;256:23;
152:2,5;156:13,15	11:2;27:19;31:11;	247:2;280:1	242:13,15;270:8	271:11,12;275:11
S4.0 (2)	38:19;41:24;48:19;	section (6)	sentences (2)	settling (1)
151:11;156:4	49:13,23;52:23;	58:23;220:8;242:6,	269:21,22	27:17
Safe (1)	60:11;73:12;83:4;	8,13;254:8	separate (4)	seven (4)
266:6	85:15;86:3;91:11,21;	security (4)	32:1;36:22;37:21;	177:22;178:22;
safeguards (1)	100:21;103:4,7;	154:9,13;157:5;	118:1	198:11;251:23
221:3	109:24;118:6,20;	163:12	separately (2)	seven-year (1)
safety (14)	138:1,24;140:14;	seeing (1)	175:6;242:23	198:22
66:4,7,10,18;	148:6,21;153:12;	157:13	series (2)	several (6)
73:12;85:14;99:14;	159:24;163:3;	seek (5)	239:18;241:14	44:3;67:21;83:22;
122:7,8;226:3;	178:16;180:2;181:9;	30:14;250:6;251:7;	serve (2)	122:9;170:15;239:7
228:22;252:11;	186:4,6,6;200:1;	253:7;256:3	63:15;64:4	severed (1)
256:19;265:9	201:9;203:13;207:3,	seeked (1)	service (59)	31:23
salary (7)	9;208:9,12;214:6;	256:4	49:10;100:15;	severely (1)
87:8,23;88:10,20,	227:23;233:21;	seeks (1) 253:8	119:16,16;120:3;	184:4 shall (5)
21;91:2;267:21	234:1,2;250:21;	253:8 seem (3)	130:13,17;131:7,12,	shall (5)
sales (13)	255:18;257:4	37:10;91:6;118:2	22;132:1;133:16,19;	147:16;162:8;
15:18;21:20;29:8;	SC (3) $144.2 \ 3.147.4$		135:17;137:5;140:2;	242:16,19,22 share (1)
31:22;32:1,14;34:1;	144:2,3;147:4	seems (11) 44:16;57:16;85:22;	141:8;142:16,19; 143:3;148:8,12;	share (1) 161:21
39:22;46:1;58:3; 102:17,20,21	SCADA (1) 154:5	96:22;101:9;114:22;	145:3;148:8,12; 149:10,21;151:13,21;	shareholder (2)
salvage (2)	S-C-A-D-A (1)	190:11;211:12;	153:14,17,19;155:2;	30:15;43:23
sarrage (2)	5-C-A-D-A (1)	170.11,211.12,	155.17,17,17,155.2,	50.15,45.25

Min-U-Script®

HEARING ON THE M	ERIIS	1		March 20, 2018
shareholders (10)	260:12	219:21;270:7	solve (1)	Special (2)
23:1,15,22;34:20;	shown (3)	Six (8)	9:10	222:14;260:8
46:7;47:15;63:18;	149:6;160:22;	51:24;52:13;55:20;	solved (1)	specific (4)
	271:12		207:13	107:23;230:6;
64:7;110:17;111:6		103:13;173:23,24;		236:4;252:10
sharing (1) 220:19	shows (8)	177:9;183:11	Somebody (3)	
	57:14;134:5;	Sixty-six (1)	58:7;203:6;269:11	specifically (2)
Sheehan (61)	135:23;141:8;	58:9	somebody's (1)	234:7;236:22
3:7,13;18:16,17,	150:16;161:5;	size (1)	7:7	specified (1)
23;53:11,13;64:11,	260:18;277:2	188:18	somehow (2)	29:7
13;69:18;70:10,15;	shrinking (1)	sizes (1)	114:21;140:19	speed (2)
74:21;75:6;78:5,6;	157:18	266:16	someone (2)	270:1,13
80:15,16,17;87:13,	shrinks (1)	skepticism (1)	155:6;195:13	SPEIDEL (4)
15;88:4,7,15,17;99:5;	173:11	22:17	something's (1)	27:2,4;217:16;
110:10;116:20;	shrunk (1)	skewed (2)	274:13	218:20
117:21;121:15;	157:5	136:11;156:13	sometimes (5)	spend (4)
127:24;130:19;	shut (1)	skip (4)	84:16;143:20;	12:12;116:14;
141:11;189:15,16,19;	270:17	24:23;62:11;188:7;	265:9;268:5,6	259:23;260:11
210:15,17;213:17,21;	side (5)	254:8	somewhat (1)	spending (1)
215:7,23;216:10,11,	7:1,4;170:20;	slanted (1)	194:21	60:6
16;230:10,17;	271:23;272:22	136:8	somewhere (2)	spent (7)
239:17;240:2;	signal (9)	slice (2)	245:24;280:14	69:8;84:15;94:22;
247:11,17;248:4,9,	10:18,20;11:12;	227:6;265:14	sooner (2)	95:2;202:6;221:9,11
12,16;249:2,3;	14:2;48:16,24;49:18;	slide (1)	47:7,21	spike (1)
275:19;278:24;	115:9,14	161:24	Sophisticated (1)	214:20
279:2,5	signals (4)	slightly (2)	114:4	split (1)
Sheehan's (1)	48:10,23;49:2,9	160:18;194:24	sorry (25)	161:12
99:12	significance (2)	Slow (3)	5:14;12:23;27:3;	spoken (1)
sheet (8)	136:4;138:13	171:10;186:23;	40:16;44:15;55:10;	282:19
85:21;132:21;	significant (4)	194:14	69:1;70:6,7,8;71:3;	SPR (2)
135:7;140:3;214:16,	67:10;163:4;	slowly (1)	77:3;90:4;107:19;	201:3,5
16;260:3,5	211:14;266:2	190:6	149:23;151:20,24;	spread (1)
sheets (1)	significantly (2)	slows (1)	160:8;169:11;	182:9
133:14	148:11;202:23	194:17	171:10;198:18;	spreadsheet (19)
shift (2)	Simek (3)	small (8)	229:21;230:10;	75:16;76:19,21,22;
23:1;134:19	237:1,6;254:14	113:16,22;114:17;	248:2;276:19	123:22;158:8;
shifted (1)	similar (13)	159:2;163:15,17;	sort (9)	225:21,22;227:15,18;
34:19	44:12;55:3;57:15;	165:11;244:2	37:18;140:19;	228:5,20,23;232:9;
shifting (2)	76:11;95:21;101:14,	smaller (2)	143:6;179:2;205:1;	233:1;259:15,17;
23:9,17	15;109:10,11;125:1;	169:12;266:15	216:1;226:4;228:15;	267:2,5
short (5)	227:10;252:3;258:4	smart (1)	260:24	spreadsheets (1)
134:22;145:13;	simple (1)	157:7	sorts (1)	265:11
146:9;165:12;279:2	177:6	Smith (4)	266:5	spring (3)
shortcomings (1)	simpler (1)	76:3,4;224:11;	sought (1)	219:8;240:15;
72:15	6:15	227:1	276:16	243:6
shorter (2)	simplification (1)	so-called (8)	sounded (1)	SQ (2)
154:16;211:19	190:8	21:3,16;30:4;	108:1	167:4,10
shortest (2)	simplify (1) 123:6	54:23;85:16;104:20;	sounds (1)	square (4)
162:3,20		235:24;236:1	280:8	137:11,12;167:5,7
shortfall (1)	simply (2)	social (1)	source (9)	stability (1)
103:4	36:16;38:2	114:24	53:2;89:1,7;90:15;	42:23
shortly (1)	single (1)	software (24)	113:5;121:19,21;	stabilize (4)
250:15	241:12	153:1,2,22,24;	226:11;241:16	41:9,12;42:1;49:14
shortsighted (1)	sit (1)	154:5,10;155:3,19,	space (2)	stabilized (1)
190:20	180:7	22;156:1,12,17;	94:20;95:6	32:9
shortsightedness (2)	site (5)	157:6,10,14;160:4,6;	span (1)	stabilizing (1)
170:6;191:9	78:17;81:13;	198:5;233:15;234:4,	173:22	23:17
show (5)	256:19;269:3,3	17,23;235:6,10	speak (5)	stable (1)
109:7;134:6;	sitting (1)	sold (1)	173:16;177:10;	23:15
164:24;248:18;	275:19	111:9	186:3;245:23;269:12	stacked (1)
259:15	situation (9)	solely (1)	speaking (2)	81:17
showed (2)	13:16;115:23;	273:16	161:17;178:17	stacks (1)
214:1;229:10	172:18;182:5;	solution (3)	speaks (1)	81:12
showing (1)	202.11.209.68	6.12.9.3.206.13	69.5	Staff (82)

showing (1)

6:15;9:3;206:13

69:5

202:11;209:6,8;

Staff (82)

	LINIIS
10 14 05 00 04	51.0
18:14;25:20,24;	51:2
28:10,18;32:22;33:2;	173:
34:14;52:14,24;57:9;	224:
66:3;67:9,9,16,21,24;	228:
73:23;75:8,8;76:2;	247:
77:23;90:9;94:12;	stated
95:19;102:8;123:3,4;	26:8
124:2;132:4;133:8;	statem
143:11,12;157:23;	26:1
169:22;204:16;	72:1
215:3;217:7,9;	159:
219:24;220:1,20;	188:
221:8,13,16,20;	states (
229:3;235:14;	29:1
236:16;239:13;	52:1
240:5,13,22;244:9;	185:
246:18;247:19;	statisti
249:8;250:14;251:6,	156:
18;252:8,21;253:6,	statisti
19;254:2,9;255:6,7,	143:
22;256:3;257:12,17,	Statisti
24;258:15;264:6;	152:
266:23;273:13;	statisti
275:21;280:24;	136:
281:4,21,24	156:
staffing (5)	165:
241:7;247:7;	stats (1
249:10;270:10;273:6	159:
Staff's (14)	status
67:5;109:4;211:17;	220:
235:17;239:15;	statute
243:14;246:22,23;	64:4
258:10;273:4,23;	statuto
274:18,24;276:8	63:1
stand (3)	
	stay (2
5:5;196:20;216:8	35:2
Standard (14)	steadie
24:21;28:22,24;	23:2
29:4;38:18;59:7;	steep (
60:3,15;71:17,21;	137:
118:13;200:19;	stems (
269:23;270:11	241:
stands (1)	step (1
140:16	140:
start (10)	atona (
	steps (.
6:16;19:8;88:18;	62:24
102:5;135:2;145:18;	250:
167:22;259:5;266:6;	STEV
274:12	3:4;2
started (5)	still (19
109:15;111:19;	5:5;1
128:22;207:7;225:7	44:8
starting (4)	73:5
117:11;201:13;	107:
217:19;218:13	156:
starts (7)	196:
40:21;138:21;	222:
141:16;229:24;	243:
230:8,24;231:8	stop (4
stat (1)	221:
160:4	227:
state (14)	straigh
27:18,20;39:4;	56:1

51.2.60.14.84.12.
51:2;60:14;84:12; 173:1;176:24;
224:13;227:1;
228:10;246:7,8;
247:16
ated (1)
26:8
atement (10)
26:11;43:2;67:7;
72:11;118:12,24;
72:11;118:12,24; 159:17;181:18; 188:8,14
188:8,14
ates (7) 29:16;39:19;40:21;
52:1;59:14;60:13;
185:13
atistic (1)
156:21
atistical (2)
143:22;164:1
atistically (1)
152:17
atistics (5)
136:6;137:6;
156:18;164:23;
165:14
ats (1)
159:9
atus (2)
220:2,20
atute (1)
64:4
atutory (1) 63:15
ay (2)
35:22;140:15
eadier (1)
23:20
eep (1)
137:1
ems (1)
241:21
ep (1)
140:20
eps (3)
62:24;248:5;
250:18
TEVEN (2)
3:4;216:14
ill (19)
5:5;15:12;17:13;
44:8;50:4;57:16;
73:5;82:5;106:15;
73:5;82:5;106:15; 107:18;140:17; 156:5:178:20:
156:5;178:20;
156:5;178:20; 196:23;216:8;
156:5;178:20; 196:23;216:8; 222:20;226:2;
156:5;178:20; 196:23;216:8; 222:20;226:2; 243:19;246:14
156:5;178:20; 196:23;216:8; 222:20;226:2; 243:19;246:14 op (4)
156:5;178:20; 196:23;216:8; 222:20;226:2; 243:19;246:14 op (4) 221:17;226:9;
156:5;178:20; 196:23;216:8; 222:20;226:2; 243:19;246:14 op (4) 221:17;226:9; 227:21;243:11
156:5;178:20; 196:23;216:8; 222:20;226:2; 243:19;246:14 op (4) 221:17;226:9;

straightened (1) 176:4 stream (2) 23:17,20 streamline (1) 215:8 stricken (1) 45:18 strong (1) 165:15 structure (7) 41:17;42:8;44:5; 223:17;272:6,8,11 studied (2) 60:14;191:24 studies (26) 51:17,20,21,23; 52:6,13,21;54:6; 55:18,20;56:11; 60:12;72:14;128:12, 22;157:1;160:19; 171:6:173:23:175:5: 177:14,21;182:1,16; 190:17;191:3 study (72) 28:1;54:3,13,15; 55:24;71:17;129:5,7; 131:13,14,16,17,19; 138:23;142:18; 149:7;153:8;155:21; 156:24;161:4; 166:11:168:4: 169:21;170:6;171:8, 9.13.15:172:2.7: 174:2,3,20,22; 175:12;177:8;178:2, 6,11;179:15,22,23; 182:13:183:5.10: 184:18:186:7:187:8. 15;188:3,6;189:1,22; 190:14,19;193:13,16; 196:2,14:198:23,24; 199:1,2,3,15;200:4,7; 201:15;202:3;203:8; 204:2,3 stuff (3) 85:14;122:20; 152:23 subaccount (3) 202:15,17;203:16 subaccounts (3) 161:15;202:8,21 subgroups (1) 134:20 subject (16) 20:20;21:11;22:1; 58:20;65:2;97:5,9; 121:8;171:24; 233:11;261:7;267:3; 272:23;273:2;276:4, 17 subjective (1) 42:2

submit (2) 277:10:280:18 submitted (3) 188:3:276:1:277:4 subsequent (2) 225:18;250:17 subsets (1) 165:9 substantial (1) 27:21 subtopics (1) 130:11 Subtype (2) 135:10;136:21 Sue (1) 127:19 suffice (1) 233:2 sufficient (4) 72:2;235:20;236:8; 258:15 suggest (1) 50:9 suggesting (2) 126:16;221:21 suggestion (2) 111:5;235:18 suggests (1) 246:10 sum (1) 184:12 summarize (5) 6:7:69:4:240:24: 247:14:250:11 summary (4) 153:7,10;250:13; 257:1 summer (3) 219:8,9;258:11 supplier (2) 126:8,11 supplies (1) 218:3 supply (1) 50:7 support (22) 27:21;41:21;71:21; 72:7,11,22;73:2,4,6, 7,9,14;118:5,11; 155:8;159:20; 199:18,20;202:1; 212:13:254:22: 255:17 supported (3) 101:11,20;201:10 supporting (3) 66:20;255:20; 257:4 supports (1) 248:19 supposed (1) 266:9 sure (32)

25:10:27:21:37:17; 43:9;52:24;59:12; 75:5;82:24;83:3; 85:24:91:21:106:15: 110:18;147:17; 151:5;154:22; 155:24;165:14; 176:5;204:10;207:2; 208:20;213:18; 222:3;229:18; 247:15;267:8; 269:10:270:19; 273:2;278:16;282:24 surplus (4) 102:14;169:5; 209:3;210:5 surprise (5) 26:16,21;27:11; 187:22,23 surprised (1) 183:18 surrounds (1) 260:24 survey (2) 15:3;158:24 suspect (2) 171:5;184:6 swap (2) 269:24;270:11 swing (4) 170:20:188:19; 205:15:210:18 swings (2) 112:14:170:4 switched (1) 178:19 Switching (1) 218:21 SWORN (6) 5:20;127:16,21; 129:19:197:1:216:14 swung (3) 186:8;187:17; 190:4 symmetrical (6) 32:24;33:3;35:10, 12;136:9;156:10 symposium (2) 99:16;122:8 symposium-type (1) 99:19 system (6) 13:1;17:7;243:18; 244:12,21;270:5 systems (3) 157:12;251:11,21 Т **Tab** (2) 256:21.21 table (18) 56:21;57:2,5;77:9;

Min-U-Script®

			1	
00 1 6 00 0 05 0	11.0	101 10 107 0		11 5 1 5
82:16;83:9;85:3;	11:9	191:18;197:9;	three (42)	11:5,15
89:1;90:14;95:23;	telling (3)	199:10,14;205:5;	13:5;20:23;21:7;	tomorrow (6)
96:24;224:17;225:5;	55:22;278:20;	208:1,2,23;216:9,19;	40:15;85:10;109:17;	216:3,4;248:21;
226:12;232:3;233:4,	279:13	221:8;222:11,15,21;	123:7,18;133:14;	281:17;283:5,7
5,8	tells (3)	224:12,17;225:8;	134:10,14;136:7;	took (11)
tabs (1)	115:1;147:11;	226:23,24;228:9,11;	149:18;151:12;	96:24;101:6;
256:18	166:8	229:8,17,20,22;	172:4;173:9,15,19;	167:12;172:17;
	temperatures (3)			
Take-or-Pay (5)		230:1,1,2,3,11,20,22;	175:16,18;177:12;	178:23;185:16;
222:5,13,19;	35:22,23;36:4	232:1;235:17;	181:23;184:8;188:7;	198:14;214:19;
259:11;260:14	temporarily (1)	236:24;237:4,5,8;	190:21;193:2,9,17;	247:22;248:4;261:8
talk (9)	250:14	238:10;239:11,12;	195:9,22;196:1,13,	top (4)
9:4;34:19;113:7;	temporary (3)	243:24;254:14;	14;197:18;206:4;	135:16;209:7;
131:21;142:17;	246:24;274:3,10	263:1;276:12	211:21;212:12,15;	219:17;267:20
150:5;175:5;215:12;	Ten (2)	Thanks (1)	238:18;254:24;	topic (17)
265:19	134:22;184:8	278:6	256:14;273:14	18:18;128:7,10,16,
talked (31)	tend (2)	theirs (2)	three-month (1)	18;167:18;185:14;
10:11,19;14:1,11,	41:8;211:3	109:15,16	155:21	197:7;223:19;
17;18:4;34:21;42:4;	term (3)	theme (1)	three-page (1)	224:12;233:11;
62:14;63:7;80:23;	34:13;211:19;	217:20	201:19	235:16;239:2;
86:17;91:14;103:20;	262:2	theoretical (7)	three-part (2)	242:12;245:9;280:1,
111:22;119:5,9;	termed (2)	167:24;168:1,12;	254:16;256:8	3
149:1;160:4;179:7,9;	156:19,21	169:8;172:16;	three-year (4)	topics (6)
181:3;182:15;	terms (4)	181:10;193:14	173:14;210:7;	64:16;65:21,21;
208:19;223:4;	149:21;156:20;	theory (3)	255:24;257:21	66:13;236:20;279:3
233:12;262:7;	195:6;228:9	49:6;50:8;140:9	threshold (2)	total (17)
, , ,				
263:24;268:17,21;	terrible (1)	therefore (4)	206:6;212:1	16:18;83:6;85:8,
278:11	128:18	68:20;139:19;	through-put (5)	10;92:24;96:2,9,24;
talking (48)	test (8)	209:9;264:15	30:5,9,18,23;31:5	100:9;106:23;
6:2;9:9;22:13,22;	15:18,22;16:11;	Therrien (12)	thrown (1)	122:12,18;164:21,23;
23:3,5,10;32:7;	48:7;129:6;131:15;	9:1;33:5;50:2,13;	277:24	237:20;263:9;272:18
	139:24;145:12			
34:23;38:6;43:10,11;		51:2;62:1,20;106:8;	thus (1)	totaling (1)
45:9,12;46:19;57:9;	testified (8)	108:12;213:15;	37:8	231:23
66:23;71:19;81:10;	20:14;37:16;63:7;	214:2,6	tidied (1)	totality (1)
82:5;83:2;84:21;	82:19,20;90:23;	third (8)	216:4	273:18
95:4,14;104:15;	208:5;211:2	96:15;105:9;	tied (1)	totally (7)
107:17,23;115:7,20;	testify (1)	122:13;124:24;	29:13	7:24;8:5,21,22;
116:21;135:11;	62:2	134:7;150:9;160:12;	Tilton (1)	10:1;35:15;119:17
158:10;160:11,20;	testifying (1)	182:12	280:12	tour (1)
164:21;173:20;	190:18	third-party (2)	times (7)	97:14
175:2,3;180:22;	testimony (125)	126:8,11	26:8;50:9;153:13;	towards (4)
186:2;201:17;	14:13;19:9,12;	thirds (1)	188:4;268:6;277:9;	157:14;160:17;
205:24;208:21;	22:21,22;23:4;24:11;	147:3	278:9	172:3;216:19
233:17;243:3;	25:3,21;26:10;31:4;	third-year (1)	tiny (4)	towel (1)
260:23;263:18;	33:19;34:4;35:17;	165:20	114:20,20;115:11,	277:24
268:12	36:19;41:22;48:6,9;	thirteen (1)	14	trace (1)
talks (6)	64:19;66:15,18,20;	261:14	title (1)	19:12
			133:21	
17:2;25:3;30:18;	67:3;68:3,17,18;69:3,	Thirty-five (1)		traces (1)
130:21;185:7;242:8	5;70:6,11;75:12,20,	162:13	titled (2)	205:1
task (1)	23;80:6,18;81:20;	thirty-seven (1)	82:14;220:8	track (2)
			-	
217:24	82:13;84:3,14;87:17;	24:17	today (18)	182:14;225:23
tax (1)	88:14;94:4;97:23;	though (3)	77:17;79:7;85:15;	train (6)
223:11	102:6;103:2,11;	79:9;113:12;116:2	128:7;129:13,16,18;	65:20;100:10;
tech (4)	104:20;106:9;	thought (10)	154:7;157:7,11;	101:7;231:12;269:4;
91:13;94:12;125:5;	112:21,22;113:1,3,3;	35:8;40:6;56:5;	168:10;183:20;	276:19
204:16	121:5;122:22;	73:10;108:2;109:5;	190:15;202:7;205:5;	trained (4)
technical (9)	123:10,11;128:24;	152:1;245:12;	208:5;216:1;265:13	35:4;101:14;
119:22;122:19;	129:7,12,15,18,19;	276:19;278:23	together (3)	122:11;268:24
123:2;226:2;228:17,	130:18;135:21;	thoughts (1)	123:5;153:15;	trainees (1)
21;264:13;265:20;	141:5,10,15;142:13;	215:9	187:21	77:7
268:7	161:5;176:22;	thousand (1)	told (5)	trainer (7)
technician (2)	177:16,23,24;178:18;	261:14	70:1;90:5;147:2;	89:3,15,18,21;
269:23;270:9	179:1;180:5;185:5;	thousands (3)	166:23;194:18	90:13;122:21,22
teens (1)	187:21;190:12;	98:21;280:5,22	tolerance (2)	trainers (6)
	107.21,190.12;	70.21,200.3,22	toter ance (2)	11 aller 5 (0)
	i la		1	1

DAY 6 March 26, 2018

		I	I	March 20, 2010
97.1 22.90.10 17.	Among and a diam (1)	177.12 10.179.15.	under collection (1)	
87:1,23;89:10,17;	transportation (1)	177:13,19;178:15;	under-collection (1)	unusual (1)
90:2,9	126:6	181:22;184:15;	14:24	112:10
trainers' (5)	travel (4)	188:16;190:21;	underlie (1)	up (64)
88:10,20,21;89:4,	77:8;90:20;124:10;	196:13;213:13;	133:15	17:19;38:5;40:16;
23	125:3	214:21;238:1;240:8;	underlying (1)	47:24;50:4;68:12;
trainer's (1)	traveled (1)	244:15;250:17;	177:4	70:4;81:17;92:16;
89:14	257:17	253:15,17;261:14;	understands (1)	93:22;105:2;109:24;
training (220)	treat (4)	267:13;269:4,15,20,	208:21	123:8;126:15,18,19;
18:19,22;64:16,19,	110:21;111:1,14;	21;270:8;271:7;	understood (6)	133:7;134:5,6,8;
21,23;65:7,11,12,15;	238:11	273:13;279:2	36:19;66:17;68:2;	136:24;137:1;
	treated (1)	·	161:2;187:20;208:15	138:21;140:11;
66:12,13,21,23; (7:15,10:(8:5,10,20)	111:12	two- (2)	· · ·	
67:15,19;68:5,10,20;		173:14;201:19	undertaken (1)	141:8;142:20;
69:21,23;70:20,21,	treatment (3)	type (13)	58:2	145:21,21;146:7;
24;71:7,13;72:1,16,	98:8;110:14,18	13:14;77:9;78:22;	underwent (1)	147:3;150:2,17;
20,23;74:16;76:14;	treats (2)	79:13;86:16;118:15;	94:17	153:15;157:23;
77:11;78:24;79:4,5,7,	35:9;44:19	120:3;136:8,12;	undoubtedly (1)	158:21;161:5;162:9;
10,14,18,20;81:15,	tremendous (1)	141:2;253:15;	247:5	164:24;165:5,13,19;
16,18,19;82:4,15;	154:11	262:17;268:2	unfair (1)	181:7;184:12;
83:6,15;84:7;85:6,8,	trial (1)	types (7)	87:13	186:23;188:12;
10,12,19,22;86:4,8,	281:9	98:24;226:20;	unfamiliar (1)	194:12,17;199:13;
16,19;87:4;88:8;	tricky (1)	227:3;228:1,19;	174:16	209:7;216:4;217:4;
89:19;90:14,19;91:2,	97:19	257:2;264:11	Uni (1)	225:19;228:14,16;
7;92:4,7,16;93:1;	tried (6)	Typically (15)	85:5	229:10;232:20;
94:20;95:3,4,7,9,13,	111:24;205:22;	134:21,24;136:10;	union (5)	240:1;245:6;247:11;
22;96:2,9,12,21;97:1,	227:7;228:23;229:2;	137:13;155:15;	93:15;100:11,18;	265:12;272:1;278:8;
			122:7;123:15	
2,10,18;98:3,9,12,17,	265:16	159:12;161:24;	,	281:20;283:6
18,21,22;99:1,2,4,13,	true (6)	162:24;163:1;170:9;	unique (2)	update (5)
18,20,22,23,24;	100:19,24;118:24;	172:5;179:19;188:4;	167:5;188:18	85:11;125:2;
100:1,9,10,12,13,15,	132:2;194:23;279:7	233:18;268:1	unit (7)	225:20;226:6,10
16,20;101:1,2,7,10,	trust (2)		8:14;9:20,23;	updated (7)
14,15;110:11;	90:7;118:21	U	14:20;16:1;39:22;	84:5;121:22;
116:21;118:1,4,15,	try (5)		163:18	206:18;223:16;
17,18,19,19;119:17,	50:21;161:12;	ultimate (2)	Unitil (4)	238:8;254:2;258:5
20,22;121:7,11;	170:3;263:14;265:15	57:11;140:1	74:2;119:7,19;	upper (12)
122:11,12,12,17,20;	trying (22)	ultimately (1)	120:4	17:11;18:1,9;
123:1,2,3,4,14,24;	22:7;34:14;53:21;	278:14	Unitil's (1)	130:24;133:3;135:8;
124:5,7,8,9;125:12;	56:13;84:23;88:7;	unbiased (1)	94:18	143:2;149:14;
223:20;224:1,2,3,5,	90:23;102:13,23;	39:4	units (7)	151:17,18;278:14,18
18;225:9,14,15;	109:20;111:5;119:5;	uncertain (5)	29:13;30:13;	upward (2)
226:3,3,18,20;227:2,	128:20;135:4;	7:24;8:4,5,21,22	115:17,19;116:8,9;	211:4;212:2
3,5,13,13;228:1,4,12,		uncertainty (11)	162:21	
	139:22;149:3;	• • •		usage (7)
14,14,16,17,21,22;	199:11;208:13;	8:9;10:13;13:23;	university (1)	8:15;15:23,23;
229:5;231:5,6,10,11,	226:19;227:3;232:8;	107:16,20,22;108:1,	119:23	16:11;116:11;231:9;
16,18,20,23,24;	233:3	3,6,9,21	Unless (2)	271:24
262:4,8,15,17,21;	turn (10)	unclear (6)	116:9;188:6	use (37)
263:2,3,16,17,20;	82:12;94:5;130:18;	6:13;9:11,12,12,13,	unnecessary (1)	9:18,20;16:1,2;
264:2,14,16;265:3,9,	176:21;197:8;	14	6:13	34:12;48:13,19,22;
14,20,20,23,24;	217:12;223:1;249:7;	Under (26)	unquote (1)	74:9,16;78:8,14;
266:4,5,9,11;267:1,9,	250:5;252:5	36:2,5;39:23;	260:13	100:19;113:16;
11,12,14,17;268:3,7,	turned (1)	133:19,20,21;144:11;	unrealistic (2)	114:7,17,19;116:12,
19;269:9,9;274:17;	69:9	154:11;167:2;	114:22;115:20	20;126:17;136:3;
277:23	Turning (2)	178:15;187:18;	unreasonable (1)	138:18;139:1;145:1,
training-related (1)	77:22;95:18	188:7;195:6;196:23;	244:2	20;152:23;156:20;
83:23	twice (3)	205:23;216:8;	unreasonably (1)	159:12;163:1;
trainings (2)	46:18,19;170:8	241:16;249:13,23;	47:14	166:10;194:7,11;
86:4;122:10	two (50)	250:19;252:24;	unregulated (1)	200:22;231:19;
transcript (9)	9:17;37:9;40:15;	253:2;254:17;	63:11	246:5,6;262:2
67:12;217:11,15;	51:17,21;54:6;55:18;	259:11;260:12;	unreliable (2)	used (17)
218:24;219:10,17;	86:24;87:23;89:4,5,	274:18	200:9,11	77:9;98:13;116:22,
	10,21;108:5;128:9;			24;117:1,11;144:19;
220:11;221:12;		under- (1)	unsubstantiated (1)	
243:12	130:10;147:3;154:5;	112:15	234:12	146:13;148:8;
transpired (1)	161:22;170:7;	under-collected (2)	unsupported (1)	166:18;199:12,20;
28:6	173:15,21;175:2,19;	16:7;103:8	199:23	226:11;231:7,12;
	1	1	1	l

Min-U-Script®

DAY 6 March 26, 2018

HEARING ON THE M	ERIIS	I	I	War Ch 20, 2018
234:22;268:10	180:12	93:15	106:6	47:14
		,		
useful (6)	variance (22)	Wait (2)	weather-normalization (4)	winter (7)
62:4;116:22,24;	130:15;168:20;	69:14;179:21	10:5;12:5;51:7;	126:2,4;240:9;
117:2,11;235:1	169:17;177:1,19;	walked (1)	62:16	253:11;254:1,4;
user (3)	179:10,14,17,20;	191:18	weather-normalize (2)	255:13
113:15;115:16;	180:15,19;181:1;	walking (1)	35:19;102:12	wise (1)
116:6	182:20;205:16;	92:11	weather-normalized (1)	260:16
users (4)	206:15;207:4,20,23;	wants (4)	103:18	wish (1)
112:18;114:2,4,9	208:17;211:4,19,21	13:22;26:10;31:9;	weather-normalizes (2)	174:4
uses (2)	variances (2)	239:23	46:17,22	withdrawing (1)
15:21;133:4	179:9;205:2	warm (2)	weather-normalizing (4)	27:16
using (19)	variations (1)	12:21;116:9	36:15;39:22;	withdrew (1)
9:22;71:12;81:13,	269:2	warmer (1)	103:21,22	26:23
14;103:9;109:22;	various (11)	35:21	weather-related (4)	within (6)
110:7;115:17,18;	6:9;34:15;81:12;	warranted (2)	17:10,20;18:10;	66:3;119:20;
132:7;138:2;147:14;	94:2;202:7;223:24;	148:13,14	36:10	179:11,20;182:7;
148:15;164:2;166:6;	224:18;239:19;	way (63)	web (1)	235:2
200:17;207:15;	266:13,14,23	7:22;10:2;11:10,	256:19	Without (13)
229:12;235:6	vary (1)	16;24:3,3,4;32:24;	week (3)	16:14;28:1;30:22;
usually (4)	161:14	33:4,6;35:9;38:15;	5:24;97:14;249:14	61:2;72:11;81:23;
145:2;148:19;	vendors (1)	46:2;51:11;53:24;	weeks (1)	82:5;101:1,15;
164:7;172:6	257:7	62:22;69:4;96:22;	250:17	142:23;222:19;
utilities (26)	verbal (2)	103:5,6;104:14;	weigh (1)	240:21;241:11
29:5,12;30:12;	5:8;127:17	110:3,15;111:12;	17:14	WITNESS (42)
32:18;42:21;43:7,13;	verifiable (2)	115:13;117:14;	weighted (4)	3:4;6:22;9:1;10:11,
50:6;63:17,18;64:6,	118:23;174:13	118:15;135:7,16;	135:3;153:11;	17;14:11;17:1;26:8;
7;72:2;73:21;79:20;	verify (1)	136:24;137:10;	164:18;203:1	27:3,6;37:2;44:6,14,
94:7,16;120:2;129:1;	118:22	138:17;144:1;146:7;	weighting (3)	15;48:6;52:14;59:19;
154:13;158:16,19;	version (3)	147:3;154:4;155:20;	134:21;148:20;	60:17;61:8;68:3;
159:10,20;250:24;	79:10;121:22;	156:13;169:24;	153:13	69:17;74:19;77:4,5;
272:20	125:6	170:1,2;172:11,12;	weren't (1)	87:16;88:2;110:20;
Utilities' (1)	versus (10)	173:15;180:18;	117:17	127:16;130:1;133:1;
271:1	67:20;136:9;141:2;	181:19;182:9;	what's (15)	176:17;196:20;
utility (13)	167:24;229:13;	188:21;194:12;	84:23;85:1;87:22;	204:6,8,12;205:18;
16:14;17:19,24;	246:7;249:15,23;	195:10,22;208:7,12,	92:23;121:18;	213:13;216:8;
23:21;24:8;29:17;	263:11:265:3	19;211:15;212:11;	124:21;160:6;	229:19;237:10;
40:1;41:3;43:8;	viable (2)	218:18;224:22;	170:12;171:3;	245:3;258:1
57:11;119:6;159:2;	79:24;119:11	225:23;230:3;	173:10,20;182:11;	witnessed (2)
	view (7)		214:15;220:14;	270:1,12
231:17		232:22;271:22;		
utility's (1)	9:15;74:19;97:13;	281:19	242:14	witnesses (2)
65:7	186:1;205:8,12;	ways (5)	whereas (2)	26:1;215:3
utility's' (1)	207:21	74:10;227:5;	185:15;227:19	witness's (2)
62:5	vindicate (1)	265:13,22;278:10	WHEREUPON (2)	63:20;88:13
	206:13	weak (1)	127:20;283:11	wondering (2)
\mathbf{V}	vintage (1)	148:22	whole (23)	117:16;275:20
	144:4	weakest (1)	6:18;7:6,10;17:23;	word (1)
vacancies (1)	vis-a-vis (2)	146:8	26:2;41:24;57:12;	37:4
273:15	63:11;193:7	weakness (1)	112:1;116:1;132:17;	words (7)
	visited (1)	33:9	159:6,10;170:10,11;	49:16;111:24;
vague (1)				
66:9	97:10	weather (41)	181:23;182:5;	147:2;167:8;179:23;
valid (3)	volatile (1)	5:14;8:2,17;9:18,	184:23;185:1;192:7;	183:16;236:4
89:9;140:17;154:8	23:16	20;10:4;14:14,16;	194:8;196:14;	work (9)
value (19)	volatility (4)	21:23;33:23;34:22;	207:20;212:7	53:22;66:1;128:2;
97:18,20,24;98:4,	23:11,13,20;205:9	35:11,20;36:16,21;	who's (1)	196:13;217:21,22;
6;110:11,12,13;	volume (2)	37:18,20;39:20;40:2;	267:13	233:19,22;268:8
142:2;146:22;	21:20,21	41:7;46:14,23;47:1;	width (5)	worked (2)
223:11;259:12,24;	voluminous (2)	48:12,14;50:5,10,15,	179:11;181:7,19;	117:23;175:21
260:15;261:9,13,15;	257:5,8	16;51:4;54:23;62:4;	182:7,8	working (3)
	237.3,0			
279:12,16	W	63:1,9;102:23;	wiggle (1)	40:18;128:15;
variable (1)	vv	103:24;112:9;	146:6	183:13
116:4		114:14;125:17,23;	willing (2)	world (1)
variables (3)	wages (4)	126:12	38:9;278:17	159:4
75:17;116:5;	86:18;88:8;91:8;	weatherization (1)	windfalls (1)	worse (3)
· · ·				

34:9;194:13;			1	,
	199:17,17,17;201:6;	193:17;195:2;	226:16;227:18;	134:4,13;146:12;
278:19	202:20;203:4;208:8,	199:17;206:6,14,17,	236:12;239:21;	159:6,9;160:7;
wrinkle (1)	9,17;211:10,22;	19,22;207:18;	256:1;257:9;259:18;	162:17;163:1,2;
15:13	212:7,15,19;214:4,	210:21;212:1;214:3;	267:9;274:22;281:22	166:12,24;167:6,12;
write (2)	21;238:19;254:24;	223:3;279:19	12 (17)	203:1,4,20;212:19;
111:24;133:9	256:14;259:10;	10.33 (1)	143:16;146:18;	259:10;260:12;261:9
write-up (1)	260:12;261:9,17;	279:19	163:1;166:23;	15.0 (2)
257:14	262:19,20;264:18,19;	10:00 (3)	167:12;169:7;170:9,	167:2,3
writing (2)	266:18	282:14;283:5,7	15;177:2,20;178:20;	15-137 (6)
133:7,8	yield (1)	10:38 (1)	182:20;208:7,17;	24:19;28:21;30:1;
written (2)	259:12	80:13	210:21;212:7;252:17	31:15;32:17;33:17
19:8;129:12	Yup (1)	10:58 (1)	12.3 (2)	15-157 (1)
wrong (8)	180:24	80:14	42:18,20	24:11
13:4;71:3;91:11;		100 (15)	12:00 (1)	152 (1)
115:1,6,15;184:13;	Z	7:7,18;9:20,23;	120:22	267:2
229:21		14:18;16:1;115:17,	12:07 (1)	155 (1)
wrote (1)	zero (2)	18;116:7,7,8;152:11;	127:3	169:10
124:17	64:21;136:24	165:17,18;166:5	1200 (1)	157 (2)
12,	01.21,130.21	10-017 (2)	267:6	24:14;256:3
Y	0	25:7;27:14	12-year (5)	15-period (1)
*	•	101 (6)	172:23;173:18,20;	260:1
yards (1)	0 (1)	264:8,8;265:4,4;	194:11;208:3	15th (1)
266:13	109:19	268:5,6	194.11,208.5 13 (8)	255:14
year (32)	0.0 (1)	105 (1)	84:1;132:23;133:6;	15-year (2)
15:6,7,18,22;16:6,	109:16	16:2	134:4,13;168:23;	166:20;260:5
11,12;46:18,19;65:1;	06 (2)	10-minute (1)	229:23;249:7	16 (5)
84:4,13;104:4,6;	187:15;190:14	80:12	132 (1)	146:12;217:15;
106:23;109:16;	07-064 (3)	10-year (5)	147:5	218:13;244:20;250:1
122:10;123:14;	19:16;20:15;23:6	148:16;154:1,6,15;	133 (1)	1-6 (4)
129:6;131:15;144:6;	08 (1)	201:1	185:6	153:6;154:18;
171:11;172:1,3,6;	187:16	11 (11)	133.48 (1)	155:10;233:23
214:9;219:11,14;	08-009 (1)	33:20;35:16;54:10,	139:16	16-'17 (2)
266:7;274:5,9;281:9	171:21	12;55:24;143:15;	1356 (1)	245:6;254:4
year-by-year (1)		145:9;169:7;201:6;	133:5	16-312 (1)
122:6	1	249:5;267:6	137 (1)	244:10
yearly (1)		11:48 (1)	24:13	16-383 (4)
83:6	1 (10)	120:21	1372.1 (2)	
05.0	1 (10)	120.21	13/2.1 (2)	124:1;125:4;
years (126)	1 (10) 7:19;107:6,7;	1-10 (1)	150:16,24	124:1;125:4; 228:11;230:5
years (126)	7:19;107:6,7;			
	7:19;107:6,7; 137:22;143:24;	1-10 (1)	150:16,24	228:11;230:5
years (126) 44:3,8;60:8;67:22; 77:19;85:10;109:17;	7:19;107:6,7; 137:22;143:24; 160:23;214:8,22;	1-10 (1) 94:13	150:16,24 13-point-something (1)	228:11;230:5 16-393 (1) 229:8
years (126) 44:3,8;60:8;67:22; 77:19;85:10;109:17; 111:7;134:18,18,18,	7:19;107:6,7; 137:22;143:24; 160:23;214:8,22; 220:7;221:1	1-10 (1) 94:13 1103 (1) 128:5	150:16,24 13-point-something (1) 208:8	228:11;230:5 16-393 (1) 229:8 165 (1)
years (126) 44:3,8;60:8;67:22; 77:19;85:10;109:17; 111:7;134:18,18,18, 22,24;140:21;	7:19;107:6,7; 137:22;143:24; 160:23;214:8,22; 220:7;221:1 1.3 (1)	1-10 (1) 94:13 1103 (1)	150:16,24 13-point-something (1) 208:8 13-year (2) 209:17;210:1	228:11;230:5 16-393 (1) 229:8 165 (1) 169:8
years (126) 44:3,8;60:8;67:22; 77:19;85:10;109:17; 111:7;134:18,18,18, 22,24;140:21; 141:22;142:20;	7:19;107:6,7; 137:22;143:24; 160:23;214:8,22; 220:7;221:1 1.3 (1) 109:18	1-10 (1) 94:13 1103 (1) 128:5 11-5 (1) 124:2	150:16,24 13-point-something (1) 208:8 13-year (2) 209:17;210:1 14 (10)	228:11;230:5 16-393 (1) 229:8 165 (1) 169:8 165,193,965 (2)
years (126) 44:3,8;60:8;67:22; 77:19;85:10;109:17; 111:7;134:18,18,18, 22,24;140:21; 141:22;142:20; 146:8,23;147:5;	7:19;107:6,7; 137:22;143:24; 160:23;214:8,22; 220:7;221:1 1.3 (1) 109:18 1.7 (1)	1-10 (1) 94:13 1103 (1) 128:5 11-5 (1) 124:2 116 (1)	150:16,24 13-point-something (1) 208:8 13-year (2) 209:17;210:1 14 (10) 54:14;132:23;	228:11;230:5 16-393 (1) 229:8 165 (1) 169:8 165,193,965 (2) 181:12,15
years (126) 44:3,8;60:8;67:22; 77:19;85:10;109:17; 111:7;134:18,18,18, 22,24;140:21; 141:22;142:20; 146:8,23;147:5; 148:9,13,18;149:10,	7:19;107:6,7; 137:22;143:24; 160:23;214:8,22; 220:7;221:1 1.3 (1) 109:18 1.7 (1) 109:19	1-10 (1) 94:13 1103 (1) 128:5 11-5 (1) 124:2 116 (1) 231:22	150:16,24 13-point-something (1) 208:8 13-year (2) 209:17;210:1 14 (10) 54:14;132:23; 134:4,13;135:5;	228:11;230:5 16-393 (1) 229:8 165 (1) 169:8 165,193,965 (2) 181:12,15 16-560 (1)
years (126) 44:3,8;60:8;67:22; 77:19;85:10;109:17; 111:7;134:18,18,18, 22,24;140:21; 141:22;142:20; 146:8,23;147:5; 148:9,13,18;149:10, 11;151:17,21;152:3,	7:19;107:6,7; 137:22;143:24; 160:23;214:8,22; 220:7;221:1 1.3 (1) 109:18 1.7 (1) 109:19 1:15 (1)	1-10 (1) 94:13 1103 (1) 128:5 11-5 (1) 124:2 116 (1) 231:22 118 (55)	150:16,24 13-point-something (1) 208:8 13-year (2) 209:17;210:1 14 (10) 54:14;132:23; 134:4,13;135:5; 136:6;139:6;161:21;	228:11;230:5 16-393 (1) 229:8 165 (1) 169:8 165,193,965 (2) 181:12,15 16-560 (1) 84:11
years (126) 44:3,8;60:8;67:22; 77:19;85:10;109:17; 111:7;134:18,18,18, 22,24;140:21; 141:22;142:20; 146:8,23;147:5; 148:9,13,18;149:10, 11;151:17,21;152:3, 20,21;154:12;156:4;	7:19;107:6,7; 137:22;143:24; 160:23;214:8,22; 220:7;221:1 1.3 (1) 109:18 1.7 (1) 109:19 1:15 (1) 127:2	1-10 (1) 94:13 1103 (1) 128:5 11-5 (1) 124:2 116 (1) 231:22 118 (55) 113:8;114:11,13;	150:16,24 13-point-something (1) 208:8 13-year (2) 209:17;210:1 14 (10) 54:14;132:23; 134:4,13;135:5; 136:6;139:6;161:21; 184:16;231:2	228:11;230:5 16-393 (1) 229:8 165 (1) 169:8 165,193,965 (2) 181:12,15 16-560 (1) 84:11 16-812 (4)
years (126) 44:3,8;60:8;67:22; 77:19;85:10;109:17; 111:7;134:18,18,18, 22,24;140:21; 141:22;142:20; 146:8,23;147:5; 148:9,13,18;149:10, 11;151:17,21;152:3, 20,21;154:12;156:4; 157:3,3;159:7,9;	7:19;107:6,7; 137:22;143:24; 160:23;214:8,22; 220:7;221:1 1.3 (1) 109:18 1.7 (1) 109:19 1:15 (1) 127:2 1:21 (1)	1-10 (1) 94:13 1103 (1) 128:5 11-5 (1) 124:2 116 (1) 231:22 118 (55) 113:8;114:11,13; 115:22,23;116:10;	150:16,24 13-point-something (1) 208:8 13-year (2) 209:17;210:1 14 (10) 54:14;132:23; 134:4,13;135:5; 136:6;139:6;161:21; 184:16;231:2 14.6 (1)	228:11;230:5 16-393 (1) 229:8 165 (1) 169:8 165,193,965 (2) 181:12,15 16-560 (1) 84:11 16-812 (4) 240:9;243:3;249:5;
years (126) 44:3,8;60:8;67:22; 77:19;85:10;109:17; 111:7;134:18,18,18, 22,24;140:21; 141:22;142:20; 146:8,23;147:5; 148:9,13,18;149:10, 11;151:17,21;152:3, 20,21;154:12;156:4; 157:3,3;159:7,9; 160:7,22;162:17;	7:19;107:6,7; 137:22;143:24; 160:23;214:8,22; 220:7;221:1 1.3 (1) 109:18 1.7 (1) 109:19 1:15 (1) 127:2 1:21 (1) 127:4	1-10 (1) 94:13 1103 (1) 128:5 11-5 (1) 124:2 116 (1) 231:22 118 (55) 113:8;114:11,13; 115:22,23;116:10; 117:6,8;122:23;	150:16,24 13-point-something (1) 208:8 13-year (2) 209:17;210:1 14 (10) 54:14;132:23; 134:4,13;135:5; 136:6;139:6;161:21; 184:16;231:2 14.6 (1) 161:16	228:11;230:5 16-393 (1) 229:8 165 (1) 169:8 165,193,965 (2) 181:12,15 16-560 (1) 84:11 16-812 (4) 240:9;243:3;249:5; 255:10
years (126) 44:3,8;60:8;67:22; 77:19;85:10;109:17; 111:7;134:18,18,18, 22,24;140:21; 141:22;142:20; 146:8,23;147:5; 148:9,13,18;149:10, 11;151:17,21;152:3, 20,21;154:12;156:4; 157:3,3;159:7,9; 160:7,22;162:17; 163:1,2;164:15;	7:19;107:6,7; 137:22;143:24; 160:23;214:8,22; 220:7;221:1 1.3 (1) 109:18 1.7 (1) 109:19 1:15 (1) 127:2 1:21 (1) 127:4 10 (51)	1-10 (1) 94:13 1103 (1) 128:5 11-5 (1) 124:2 116 (1) 231:22 118 (55) 113:8;114:11,13; 115:22,23;116:10; 117:6,8;122:23; 123:1;124:12;125:3;	150:16,24 13-point-something (1) 208:8 13-year (2) 209:17;210:1 14 (10) 54:14;132:23; 134:4,13;135:5; 136:6;139:6;161:21; 184:16;231:2 14.6 (1) 161:16 14-155 (2)	228:11;230:5 16-393 (1) 229:8 165 (1) 169:8 165;193,965 (2) 181:12,15 16-560 (1) 84:11 16-812 (4) 240:9;243:3;249:5; 255:10 17 (4)
years (126) 44:3,8;60:8;67:22; 77:19;85:10;109:17; 111:7;134:18,18,18, 22,24;140:21; 141:22;142:20; 146:8,23;147:5; 148:9,13,18;149:10, 11;151:17,21;152:3, 20,21;154:12;156:4; 157:3,3;159:7,9; 160:7,22;162:17; 163:1,2;164:15; 165:6;170:3,8,8,9,15,	7:19;107:6,7; 137:22;143:24; 160:23;214:8,22; 220:7;221:1 1.3 (1) 109:18 1.7 (1) 109:19 1:15 (1) 127:2 1:21 (1) 127:4 10 (51) 68:15;103:10;	1-10 (1) 94:13 1103 (1) 128:5 11-5 (1) 124:2 116 (1) 231:22 118 (55) 113:8;114:11,13; 115:22,23;116:10; 117:6,8;122:23; 123:1;124:12;125:3; 132:13;133:12;	150:16,24 13-point-something (1) 208:8 13-year (2) 209:17;210:1 14 (10) 54:14;132:23; 134:4,13;135:5; 136:6;139:6;161:21; 184:16;231:2 14.6 (1) 161:16 14-155 (2) 241:21;256:24	228:11;230:5 16-393 (1) 229:8 165 (1) 169:8 165;193,965 (2) 181:12,15 16-560 (1) 84:11 16-812 (4) 240:9;243:3;249:5; 255:10 17 (4) 217:16;218:1,6,22
years (126) 44:3,8;60:8;67:22; 77:19;85:10;109:17; 111:7;134:18,18,18, 22,24;140:21; 141:22;142:20; 146:8,23;147:5; 148:9,13,18;149:10, 11;151:17,21;152:3, 20,21;154:12;156:4; 157:3,3;159:7,9; 160:7,22;162:17; 163:1,2;164:15; 165:6;170:3,8,8,9,15, 23;171:7;173:7,10,	7:19;107:6,7; 137:22;143:24; 160:23;214:8,22; 220:7;221:1 1.3 (1) 109:18 1.7 (1) 109:19 1:15 (1) 127:2 1:21 (1) 127:4 10 (51) 68:15;103:10; 129:11;134:18;	1-10 (1) 94:13 1103 (1) 128:5 11-5 (1) 124:2 116 (1) 231:22 118 (55) 113:8;114:11,13; 115:22,23;116:10; 117:6,8;122:23; 123:1;124:12;125:3; 132:13;133:12; 148:11;154:4,8;	150:16,24 13-point-something (1) 208:8 13-year (2) 209:17;210:1 14 (10) 54:14;132:23; 134:4,13;135:5; 136:6;139:6;161:21; 184:16;231:2 14.6 (1) 161:16 14-155 (2) 241:21;256:24 14-180 (1)	228:11;230:5 16-393 (1) 229:8 165 (1) 169:8 165;193,965 (2) 181:12,15 16-560 (1) 84:11 16-812 (4) 240:9;243:3;249:5; 255:10 17 (4) 217:16;218:1,6,22 17-048 (1)
years (126) 44:3,8;60:8;67:22; 77:19;85:10;109:17; 111:7;134:18,18,18, 22,24;140:21; 141:22;142:20; 146:8,23;147:5; 148:9,13,18;149:10, 11;151:17,21;152:3, 20,21;154:12;156:4; 157:3,3;159:7,9; 160:7,22;162:17; 163:1,2;164:15; 165:6;170:3,8,8,9,15, 23;171:7;173:7,10, 15,23,24;175:16,18,	7:19;107:6,7; 137:22;143:24; 160:23;214:8,22; 220:7;221:1 1.3 (1) 109:18 1.7 (1) 109:19 1:15 (1) 127:2 1:21 (1) 127:4 10 (51) 68:15;103:10; 129:11;134:18; 135:3;143:15;144:9;	1-10 (1) 94:13 1103 (1) 128:5 11-5 (1) 124:2 116 (1) 231:22 118 (55) 113:8;114:11,13; 115:22,23;116:10; 117:6,8;122:23; 123:1;124:12;125:3; 132:13;133:12; 148:11;154:4,8; 156:6;157:1;161:11;	150:16,24 13-point-something (1) 208:8 13-year (2) 209:17;210:1 14 (10) 54:14;132:23; 134:4,13;135:5; 136:6;139:6;161:21; 184:16;231:2 14.6 (1) 161:16 14-155 (2) 241:21;256:24 14-180 (1) 225:12	228:11;230:5 16-393 (1) 229:8 165 (1) 169:8 165;193,965 (2) 181:12,15 16-560 (1) 84:11 16-812 (4) 240:9;243:3;249:5; 255:10 17 (4) 217:16;218:1,6,22 17-048 (1) 239:6
years (126) 44:3,8;60:8;67:22; 77:19;85:10;109:17; 111:7;134:18,18,18, 22,24;140:21; 141:22;142:20; 146:8,23;147:5; 148:9,13,18;149:10, 11;151:17,21;152:3, 20,21;154:12;156:4; 157:3,3;159:7,9; 160:7,22;162:17; 163:1,2;164:15; 165:6;170:3,8,8,9,15, 23;171:7;173:7,10, 15,23,24;175:16,18, 19,20;177:2,10,12,	7:19;107:6,7; 137:22;143:24; 160:23;214:8,22; 220:7;221:1 1.3 (1) 109:18 1.7 (1) 109:19 1:15 (1) 127:2 1:21 (1) 127:4 10 (51) 68:15;103:10; 129:11;134:18; 135:3;143:15;144:9; 145:4,13;146:8;	1-10 (1) 94:13 1103 (1) 128:5 11-5 (1) 124:2 116 (1) 231:22 118 (55) 113:8;114:11,13; 115:22,23;116:10; 117:6,8;122:23; 123:1;124:12;125:3; 132:13;133:12; 148:11;154:4,8; 156:6;157:1;161:11; 163:10;166:13;	150:16,24 13-point-something (1) 208:8 13-year (2) 209:17;210:1 14 (10) 54:14;132:23; 134:4,13;135:5; 136:6;139:6;161:21; 184:16;231:2 14.6 (1) 161:16 14-155 (2) 241:21;256:24 14-180 (1) 225:12 143 (1)	228:11;230:5 16-393 (1) 229:8 165 (1) 169:8 165;193,965 (2) 181:12,15 16-560 (1) 84:11 16-812 (4) 240:9;243:3;249:5; 255:10 17 (4) 217:16;218:1,6,22 17-048 (1) 239:6 173,000-and (1)
years (126) 44:3,8;60:8;67:22; 77:19;85:10;109:17; 111:7;134:18,18,18, 22,24;140:21; 141:22;142:20; 146:8,23;147:5; 148:9,13,18;149:10, 11;151:17,21;152:3, 20,21;154:12;156:4; 157:3,3;159:7,9; 160:7,22;162:17; 163:1,2;164:15; 165:6;170:3,8,8,9,15, 23;171:7;173:7,10, 15,23,24;175:16,18, 19,20;177:2,10,12, 20,22;178:16,19,20,	7:19;107:6,7; 137:22;143:24; 160:23;214:8,22; 220:7;221:1 1.3 (1) 109:18 1.7 (1) 109:19 1:15 (1) 127:2 1:21 (1) 127:4 10 (51) 68:15;103:10; 129:11;134:18; 135:3;143:15;144:9; 145:4,13;146:8; 148:19;152:7,12;	1-10 (1) 94:13 1103 (1) 128:5 11-5 (1) 124:2 116 (1) 231:22 118 (55) 113:8;114:11,13; 115:22,23;116:10; 117:6,8;122:23; 123:1;124:12;125:3; 132:13;133:12; 148:11;154:4,8; 156:6;157:1;161:11; 163:10;166:13; 167:11;170:6;	150:16,24 13-point-something (1) 208:8 13-year (2) 209:17;210:1 14 (10) 54:14;132:23; 134:4,13;135:5; 136:6;139:6;161:21; 184:16;231:2 14.6 (1) 161:16 14-155 (2) 241:21;256:24 14-180 (1) 225:12 143 (1) 254:9	228:11;230:5 16-393 (1) 229:8 165 (1) 169:8 165;193,965 (2) 181:12,15 16-560 (1) 84:11 16-812 (4) 240:9;243:3;249:5; 255:10 17 (4) 217:16;218:1,6,22 17-048 (1) 239:6 173,000-and (1) 123:15
years (126) 44:3,8;60:8;67:22; 77:19;85:10;109:17; 111:7;134:18,18,18, 22,24;140:21; 141:22;142:20; 146:8,23;147:5; 148:9,13,18;149:10, 11;151:17,21;152:3, 20,21;154:12;156:4; 157:3,3;159:7,9; 160:7,22;162:17; 163:1,2;164:15; 165:6;170:3,8,8,9,15, 23;171:7;173:7,10, 15,23,24;175:16,18, 19,20;177:2,10,12, 20,22;178:16,19,20, 22;180:9,13;182:21,	7:19;107:6,7; 137:22;143:24; 160:23;214:8,22; 220:7;221:1 1.3 (1) 109:18 1.7 (1) 109:19 1:15 (1) 127:2 1:21 (1) 127:4 10 (51) 68:15;103:10; 129:11;134:18; 135:3;143:15;144:9; 145:4,13;146:8; 148:19;152:7,12; 153:20;155:3;157:2;	1-10 (1) 94:13 1103 (1) 128:5 11-5 (1) 124:2 116 (1) 231:22 118 (55) 113:8;114:11,13; 115:22,23;116:10; 117:6,8;122:23; 123:1;124:12;125:3; 132:13;133:12; 148:11;154:4,8; 156:6;157:1;161:11; 163:10;166:13; 167:11;170:6; 179:16;180:12;	150:16,24 13-point-something (1) 208:8 13-year (2) 209:17;210:1 14 (10) 54:14;132:23; 134:4,13;135:5; 136:6;139:6;161:21; 184:16;231:2 14.6 (1) 161:16 14-155 (2) 241:21;256:24 14-180 (1) 225:12 143 (1) 254:9 1-45 (1)	228:11;230:5 16-393 (1) 229:8 165 (1) 169:8 165;193,965 (2) 181:12,15 16-560 (1) 84:11 16-812 (4) 240:9;243:3;249:5; 255:10 17 (4) 217:16;218:1,6,22 17-048 (1) 239:6 173,000-and (1) 123:15 177 (1)
years (126) 44:3,8;60:8;67:22; 77:19;85:10;109:17; 111:7;134:18,18,18, 22,24;140:21; 141:22;142:20; 146:8,23;147:5; 148:9,13,18;149:10, 11;151:17,21;152:3, 20,21;154:12;156:4; 157:3,3;159:7,9; 160:7,22;162:17; 163:1,2;164:15; 165:6;170:3,8,8,9,15, 23;171:7;173:7,10, 15,23,24;175:16,18, 19,20;177:2,10,12, 20,22;178:16,19,20, 22;180:9,13;182:21, 22;183:11,23,24;	7:19;107:6,7; 137:22;143:24; 160:23;214:8,22; 220:7;221:1 1.3 (1) 109:18 1.7 (1) 109:19 1:15 (1) 127:2 1:21 (1) 127:4 10 (51) 68:15;103:10; 129:11;134:18; 135:3;143:15;144:9; 145:4,13;146:8; 148:19;152:7,12; 153:20;155:3;157:2; 160:6;164:7;170:3,	1-10 (1) 94:13 1103 (1) 128:5 11-5 (1) 124:2 116 (1) 231:22 118 (55) 113:8;114:11,13; 115:22,23;116:10; 117:6,8;122:23; 123:1;124:12;125:3; 132:13;133:12; 148:11;154:4,8; 156:6;157:1;161:11; 163:10;166:13; 167:11;170:6; 179:16;180:12; 181:23;182:18;	150:16,24 13-point-something (1) 208:8 13-year (2) 209:17;210:1 14 (10) 54:14;132:23; 134:4,13;135:5; 136:6;139:6;161:21; 184:16;231:2 14.6 (1) 161:16 14-155 (2) 241:21;256:24 14-180 (1) 225:12 143 (1) 254:9 1-45 (1) 204:16	228:11;230:5 16-393 (1) 229:8 165 (1) 169:8 165;193,965 (2) 181:12,15 16-560 (1) 84:11 16-812 (4) 240:9;243:3;249:5; 255:10 17 (4) 217:16;218:1,6,22 17-048 (1) 239:6 173,000-and (1) 123:15 177 (1) 257:24
years (126) 44:3,8;60:8;67:22; 77:19;85:10;109:17; 111:7;134:18,18,18, 22,24;140:21; 141:22;142:20; 146:8,23;147:5; 148:9,13,18;149:10, 11;151:17,21;152:3, 20,21;154:12;156:4; 157:3,3;159:7,9; 160:7,22;162:17; 163:1,2;164:15; 165:6;170:3,8,8,9,15, 23;171:7;173:7,10, 15,23,24;175:16,18, 19,20;177:2,10,12, 20,22;178:16,19,20, 22;180:9,13;182:21, 22;183:11,23,24; 184:3,8,16;186:7,17,	7:19;107:6,7; 137:22;143:24; 160:23;214:8,22; 220:7;221:1 1.3 (1) 109:18 1.7 (1) 109:19 1:15 (1) 127:2 1:21 (1) 127:4 10 (51) 68:15;103:10; 129:11;134:18; 135:3;143:15;144:9; 145:4,13;146:8; 148:19;152:7,12; 153:20;155:3;157:2; 160:6;164:7;170:3, 23;177:12;178:16,	1-10 (1) 94:13 1103 (1) 128:5 11-5 (1) 124:2 116 (1) 231:22 118 (55) 113:8;114:11,13; 115:22,23;116:10; 117:6,8;122:23; 123:1;124:12;125:3; 132:13;133:12; 148:11;154:4,8; 156:6;157:1;161:11; 163:10;166:13; 167:11;170:6; 179:16;180:12; 181:23;182:18; 184:23;189:23;	150:16,24 13-point-something (1) 208:8 13-year (2) 209:17;210:1 14 (10) 54:14;132:23; 134:4,13;135:5; 136:6;139:6;161:21; 184:16;231:2 14.6 (1) 161:16 14-155 (2) 241:21;256:24 14-180 (1) 225:12 143 (1) 254:9 1-45 (1) 204:16 148,000 (1)	228:11;230:5 16-393 (1) 229:8 165 (1) 169:8 165,193,965 (2) 181:12,15 16-560 (1) 84:11 16-812 (4) 240:9;243:3;249:5; 255:10 17 (4) 217:16;218:1,6,22 17-048 (1) 239:6 173,000-and (1) 123:15 177 (1) 257:24 18 (7)
years (126) 44:3,8;60:8;67:22; 77:19;85:10;109:17; 111:7;134:18,18,18, 22,24;140:21; 141:22;142:20; 146:8,23;147:5; 148:9,13,18;149:10, 11;151:17,21;152:3, 20,21;154:12;156:4; 157:3,3;159:7,9; 160:7,22;162:17; 163:1,2;164:15; 165:6;170:3,8,8,9,15, 23;171:7;173:7,10, 15,23,24;175:16,18, 19,20;177:2,10,12, 20,22;178:16,19,20, 22;180:9,13;182:21, 22;183:11,23,24; 184:3,8,16;186:7,17, 19;187:9;188:7;	7:19;107:6,7; 137:22;143:24; 160:23;214:8,22; 220:7;221:1 1.3 (1) 109:18 1.7 (1) 109:19 1:15 (1) 127:2 1:21 (1) 127:4 10 (51) 68:15;103:10; 129:11;134:18; 135:3;143:15;144:9; 145:4,13;146:8; 148:19;152:7,12; 153:20;155:3;157:2; 160:6;164:7;170:3, 23;177:12;178:16, 19;179:12,20;180:16,	1-10 (1) 94:13 1103 (1) 128:5 11-5 (1) 124:2 116 (1) 231:22 118 (55) 113:8;114:11,13; 115:22,23;116:10; 117:6,8;122:23; 123:1;124:12;125:3; 132:13;133:12; 148:11;154:4,8; 156:6;157:1;161:11; 163:10;166:13; 167:11;170:6; 179:16;180:12; 181:23;182:18; 184:23;189:23; 190:20;200:3;201:9;	150:16,24 13-point-something (1) 208:8 13-year (2) 209:17;210:1 14 (10) 54:14;132:23; 134:4,13;135:5; 136:6;139:6;161:21; 184:16;231:2 14.6 (1) 161:16 14-155 (2) 241:21;256:24 14-180 (1) 225:12 143 (1) 254:9 1-45 (1) 204:16 148,000 (1) 255:11	228:11;230:5 16-393 (1) 229:8 165 (1) 169:8 165,193,965 (2) 181:12,15 16-560 (1) 84:11 16-812 (4) 240:9;243:3;249:5; 255:10 17 (4) 217:16;218:1,6,22 17-048 (1) 239:6 173,000-and (1) 123:15 177 (1) 257:24 18 (7) 54:9,10;55:12,22;
years (126) 44:3,8;60:8;67:22; 77:19;85:10;109:17; 111:7;134:18,18,18, 22,24;140:21; 141:22;142:20; 146:8,23;147:5; 148:9,13,18;149:10, 11;151:17,21;152:3, 20,21;154:12;156:4; 157:3,3;159:7,9; 160:7,22;162:17; 163:1,2;164:15; 165:6;170:3,8,8,9,15, 23;171:7;173:7,10, 15,23,24;175:16,18, 19,20;177:2,10,12, 20,22;178:16,19,20, 22;180:9,13;182:21, 22;183:11,23,24; 184:3,8,16;186:7,17, 190:17,21;191:7;	7:19;107:6,7; 137:22;143:24; 160:23;214:8,22; 220:7;221:1 1.3 (1) 109:18 1.7 (1) 109:19 1:15 (1) 127:2 1:21 (1) 127:4 10 (51) 68:15;103:10; 129:11;134:18; 135:3;143:15;144:9; 145:4,13;146:8; 148:19;152:7,12; 153:20;155:3;157:2; 160:6;164:7;170:3, 23;177:12;178:16, 19;179:12,20;180:16, 20,21;181:8,16;	1-10 (1) 94:13 1103 (1) 128:5 11-5 (1) 124:2 116 (1) 231:22 118 (55) 113:8;114:11,13; 115:22,23;116:10; 117:6,8;122:23; 123:1;124:12;125:3; 132:13;133:12; 148:11;154:4,8; 156:6;157:1;161:11; 163:10;166:13; 167:11;170:6; 179:16;180:12; 181:23;182:18; 184:23;189:23; 190:20;200:3;201:9; 202:18;203:1,8;	150:16,24 13-point-something (1) 208:8 13-year (2) 209:17;210:1 14 (10) 54:14;132:23; 134:4,13;135:5; 136:6;139:6;161:21; 184:16;231:2 14.6 (1) 161:16 14-155 (2) 241:21;256:24 14-180 (1) 225:12 143 (1) 254:9 1-45 (1) 204:16 148,000 (1) 255:11 148,410 (1)	228:11;230:5 16-393 (1) 229:8 165 (1) 169:8 165,193,965 (2) 181:12,15 16-560 (1) 84:11 16-812 (4) 240:9;243:3;249:5; 255:10 17 (4) 217:16;218:1,6,22 17-048 (1) 239:6 173,000-and (1) 123:15 177 (1) 257:24 18 (7) 54:9,10;55:12,22; 141:6;197:12;218:8
years (126) 44:3,8;60:8;67:22; 77:19;85:10;109:17; 111:7;134:18,18,18, 22,24;140:21; 141:22;142:20; 146:8,23;147:5; 148:9,13,18;149:10, 11;151:17,21;152:3, 20,21;154:12;156:4; 157:3,3;159:7,9; 160:7,22;162:17; 163:1,2;164:15; 165:6;170:3,8,8,9.15, 23;171:7;173:7,10, 15,23,24;175:16,18, 19,20;177:2,10,12, 20,22;178:16,19,20, 22;180:9,13;182:21, 22;183:11,23,24; 184:3,8,16;186:7,17, 19:17,21;191:7; 190:17,21;191:7; 192:20;193:1,2,9,17;	7:19;107:6,7; 137:22;143:24; 160:23;214:8,22; 220:7;221:1 1.3 (1) 109:18 1.7 (1) 109:19 1:15 (1) 127:2 1:21 (1) 127:4 10 (51) 68:15;103:10; 129:11;134:18; 135:3;143:15;144:9; 145:4,13;146:8; 148:19;152:7,12; 153:20;155:3;157:2; 160:6;164:7;170:3, 23;177:12;178:16, 19;179:12,20;180:16, 20,21;181:8,16; 183:23;184:16;	1-10 (1) 94:13 1103 (1) 128:5 11-5 (1) 124:2 116 (1) 231:22 118 (55) 113:8;114:11,13; 115:22,23;116:10; 117:6,8;122:23; 123:1;124:12;125:3; 132:13;133:12; 148:11;154:4,8; 156:6;157:1;161:11; 163:10;166:13; 167:11;170:6; 179:16;180:12; 181:23;182:18; 184:23;189:23; 190:20;200:3;201:9; 202:18;203:1,8; 206:2,19,19,23;	150:16,24 13-point-something (1) 208:8 13-year (2) 209:17;210:1 14 (10) 54:14;132:23; 134:4,13;135:5; 136:6;139:6;161:21; 184:16;231:2 14.6 (1) 161:16 14-155 (2) 241:21;256:24 14-180 (1) 225:12 143 (1) 254:9 1-45 (1) 204:16 148,000 (1) 255:11 148,410 (1) 238:5	228:11;230:5 16-393 (1) 229:8 165 (1) 169:8 165,193,965 (2) 181:12,15 16-560 (1) 84:11 16-812 (4) 240:9;243:3;249:5; 255:10 17 (4) 217:16;218:1,6,22 17-048 (1) 239:6 173,000-and (1) 123:15 177 (1) 257:24 18 (7) 54:9,10;55:12,22; 141:6;197:12;218:8 188,000 (1)
years (126) 44:3,8;60:8;67:22; 77:19;85:10;109:17; 111:7;134:18,18,18, 22,24;140:21; 141:22;142:20; 146:8,23;147:5; 148:9,13,18;149:10, 11;151:17,21;152:3, 20,21;154:12;156:4; 157:3,3;159:7,9; 160:7,22;162:17; 163:1,2;164:15; 165:6;170:3,8,8,9,15, 23;171:7;173:7,10, 15,23,24;175:16,18, 19,20;177:2,10,12, 20,22;178:16,19,20, 22;180:9,13;182:21, 22;183:11,23,24; 184:3,8,16;186:7,17, 190:17,21;191:7;	7:19;107:6,7; 137:22;143:24; 160:23;214:8,22; 220:7;221:1 1.3 (1) 109:18 1.7 (1) 109:19 1:15 (1) 127:2 1:21 (1) 127:4 10 (51) 68:15;103:10; 129:11;134:18; 135:3;143:15;144:9; 145:4,13;146:8; 148:19;152:7,12; 153:20;155:3;157:2; 160:6;164:7;170:3, 23;177:12;178:16, 19;179:12,20;180:16, 20,21;181:8,16;	1-10 (1) 94:13 1103 (1) 128:5 11-5 (1) 124:2 116 (1) 231:22 118 (55) 113:8;114:11,13; 115:22,23;116:10; 117:6,8;122:23; 123:1;124:12;125:3; 132:13;133:12; 148:11;154:4,8; 156:6;157:1;161:11; 163:10;166:13; 167:11;170:6; 179:16;180:12; 181:23;182:18; 184:23;189:23; 190:20;200:3;201:9; 202:18;203:1,8;	150:16,24 13-point-something (1) 208:8 13-year (2) 209:17;210:1 14 (10) 54:14;132:23; 134:4,13;135:5; 136:6;139:6;161:21; 184:16;231:2 14.6 (1) 161:16 14-155 (2) 241:21;256:24 14-180 (1) 225:12 143 (1) 254:9 1-45 (1) 204:16 148,000 (1) 255:11 148,410 (1)	228:11;230:5 16-393 (1) 229:8 165 (1) 169:8 165,193,965 (2) 181:12,15 16-560 (1) 84:11 16-812 (4) 240:9;243:3;249:5; 255:10 17 (4) 217:16;218:1,6,22 17-048 (1) 239:6 173,000-and (1) 123:15 177 (1) 257:24 18 (7) 54:9,10;55:12,22; 141:6;197:12;218:8

HEARING ON THE M	ERITS			March 26, 2018
250.16.251.4	174.15.101.2.	2 <i>4/</i> 7 (11)	124.10.125.0.	27((1)
250:16;251:4	174:15;191:2;	24/7 (11)	134:18;135:2;	376 (1)
1900 (11)	219:9;226:17	236:2;238:22,23;	142:19;144:9;145:6;	160:13
82:23,24;83:1;	2014-2015 (1)	240:6;243:21;244:3;	146:12;148:12,19	3-8 (1)
85:15,16;91:20;92:2,	253:10	249:10;250:15;	30118 (1)	254:9
23;93:16;232:22;	2015 (15)	258:17;270:10;	144:13	381 (3)
262:7	84:2;89:18;217:12;	275:13	303 (11)	161:4,16;202:7
1940s (1)	219:11;226:19;	2-48 (1)	149:5,6;150:2,5,	381.1 (1)
200:19	236:1;237:19;238:6;	95:19	15;158:9;160:4,5;	161:17
1947 (1)	239:16;244:13;	24-hour/7-day-a-week (1)	198:4;201:20;234:18	3-9 (1)
144:19	250:16;251:8,21;	241:7	30th (2)	256:3
19609 (1)	253:5;256:6	25 (15)	229:21;230:19	3-year (1)
128:6	2015-2016 (2)		31 (4)	154:1
		82:12;121:6;		134:1
1978 (1)	253:11;254:1	123:10;149:19,24;	141:16;197:13;	4
128:23	2016 (28)	150:17,22;151:7,16;	201:13,18	4
-	76:11;83:10;86:4;	152:15;156:19;	3-1 (2)	
2	121:11,23;123:14,17,	203:19;229:24;	252:8,21	4 (2)
	17,24;124:5;129:6;	252:5;262:11	31.86 (1)	123:16;242:2
2 (26)	131:15;171:8;	259 (1)	165:21	4,000 (17)
7:5,8,9,13;82:16;	175:20;178:7;	3:8	31.89 (2)	82:19;227:12;
83:9;85:3;90:14;	219:14;221:1;	26 (11)	166:3,9	229:7,11;230:7,19,
95:23,23;96:24;	231:21;238:4;	149:19,24;150:17,	3-17 (1)	21;232:3,13,17,18;
106:1;123:13;179:7,	244:16,17;245:5;	23;151:7;152:2,15;	157:23	262:4,6,23;263:1,24;
9;224:17;225:5;	254:15;258:11;	160:14;237:8,11;	3-17.1 (1)	265:2
226:12;232:3;233:4,	263:4;265:23;	252:16	158:7	4,095 (1)
5,8;238:4;244:24;	269:17;272:24	27 (8)	32 (9)	231:23
249:4;269:19	2016-2017 (1)	137:22,23;149:19,	141:7,15,17;	4.0 (1)
2,373 (2)	255:13	24;150:17,23;151:7;	162:14;163:23;	149:15
96:11;97:3	2017 (3)	152:15	165:6;166:10,11,17	4:11 (1)
2,756 (1)	240:15;243:6;	2-7 (1)	32,000 (1)	215:16
83:10	255:15	251:6	272:24	40 (1)
2.95 (1)	2018 (2)	2700 (2)	320.1 (1)	180:13
218:15	185:6;250:1	91:7;92:2	142:11	400 (1)
2:29 (1)	2021 (6)	275 (1)	32-year (3)	229:12
176:7	47:7,21;171:9,10;	3:10	162:11;164:2,12	405 (2)
2:50 (1)	175:21;178:12	2756 (2)	33 (4)	176:21;178:19
176:8	2022 (2)	85:2;86:5	166:15;219:6;	41 (1)
20 (7)	171:12;175:23	277 (1)	261:23,24	253:7
20:21;134:18,24;	20-year (3)	3:11	339 (1)	420,000 (2)
145:9;148:19;	134:22;135:6;	278 (1)	213:14	96:19;97:2
164:14;197:19	166:1	3:12	3-4 (2)	4-24 (1)
2000 (1)	2-1 (1)	279 (1)	244:10;253:6	73:23
128:11	249:8	3:13	35 (20)	4-25 (1)
2005 (2)	216 (1)	2-8 (1)	39:16;40:8,10;	77:24
214:16,19	3:7	251:18	58:16,23;94:5;95:18;	4-26 (1)
2006 (5)	22 (6)	29 (2)	142:20;143:4;	75:8
171:17;172:8;	20:21;23:5;137:24;	151:17,19	146:13;162:14;	4-34 (2)
178:3;186:7;189:22	164:24;165:22,23	29.30 (1)	163:23;164:6;	264:6;266:24
2007 (1)	2-2 (1)	145:20	202:20,24;203:2,9,	436 (4)
109:14	249:19		15,18,23	149:6;150:14;
2008 (7)	23 (3)	3	36 (5)	153:8,18
171:21;173:6;	164:24;217:19;		70:5;94:5,11;	440 (1)
174:1,7;191:6;207:7,	218:19	3 (9)	132:23;253:3	142:14
10	2-3 (2)	153:19;155:3;	3-6 (1)	445 (5)
2009 (3)	76:2;250:5	182:22;199:16;	253:19	130:20;133:20;
20:22;22:15,23	237,000 (1)	201:1;237:9;238:5;	367 (9)	135:22,23;138:24
2010 (4)	89:5	244:24;252:5	130:21;133:8,9,13;	448 (1)
25:8;26:6,22;191:2	2-38 (3)	3.0 (1)	134:9,11;141:19;	161:5
2012 (1)	132:9;143:11,12	135:10	142:5;143:7	449 (1)
214:19	24 (3)	3.5-pound (1)	37 (3)	161:6
2013 (4)	137:23;165:1;	270:5	24:15;56:24;	45 (1)
89:18;90:10;	249:14	3:38 (1)	108:24	42:14
174:14;226:17	24,500-something (1)	215:15	3-7 (1)	450 (3)
2014 (4)	123:15	30 (8)	254:2	161:6;162:16;
	140.10		237.2	101.0,102.10,

167:1	153:16;154:15,16;	207:11;212:6	90,000 (1)
451 (1)	156:3;198:12,15;	72 (4)	272:18
161:6	199:14	204:15,18,22;	9-1/2 (1)
46 (3)	60 (12)	207:3	152:7
84:4;85:4;145:19	139:17,18,20;	72.28 (2)	102.7
4600 (2)	140:15,17,21;141:22;	135:11;139:12	
92:3;93:2	160:15;180:9;	73 (1)	
464 (4)	183:23;184:3;186:17	217:10	
168:18,19;180:23,	600,000 (1)	73-77 (1)	
24	92:24	215:18	
471 (1)	60-year (2)	74 (1)	
131:18	131:6;133:15	220:5	
473 (1)	61 (2)	75 (5)	
208:22	7:23;165:24	221:24;222:24;	
208.22	62 (4)	259:6,8;278:12	
5	74:13;75:7;83:1,2	750,000 (1)	
5		218:16	
5 (21)	63 (3) <u>82.2.227.24.228.1</u>		
5 (21)	83:2;237:24;238:1	76 (1)	
95:18;153:20;	64 (5)	241:23	
155:3;157:3;166:14;	121:21;122:2;	77 (2)	
170:8;179:11,20;	123:8,21;237:23	244:5;269:14	
180:16;181:7,16,20;	65 (11)	0	
186:18;199:17;	51:20;52:3;53:2,6,	8	
201:1;202:22;	7;56:1,7,22;58:17;		
203:12;206:6,14;	61:7;108:24	8 (7)	
229:24;242:6	66 (3)	19:11;68:14;	
5.6 (1)	58:11,14;61:7	187:14,18;220:8,8;	
161:19	67 (3)	256:21	
5:37 (1)	61:17,22;127:8	80 (2)	
283:12	67.3 (1)	135:7;160:14	
50 (10)	166:8	80,000 (1)	
7:14;137:14;	68 (2)	96:19	
144:11,24;145:16;	78:1,3	8-12 (1)	
147:23;162:16;	69 (13)	257:24	
165:15;200:17;	70:12,18;71:2;	85 (1)	
219:18	132:12,18;134:3,12;	61:13	
50118 (1)	139:6;143:12;	86 (1)	
144:13	149:17,24;150:8;	148:18	
51-year (1)	165:1	86.5 (1)	
140:10	69.07 (2)	148:9	
55 (2)	146:22;147:17	87,000 (1)	
140:24;160:14		067	
	-	96:7	
56 (1)	7		
75:24		96:7 9	
75:24 57 (1)	7 (12)	9	
75:24 57 (1) 76:1	7 (12) 68:14;149:10;	9 9 (5)	
75:24 57 (1) 76:1 58 (1)	7 (12) 68:14;149:10; 152:20;154:15;	9 9 (5) 160:22;181:2;	
75:24 57 (1) 76:1 58 (1) 77:6	7 (12) 68:14;149:10; 152:20;154:15; 170:8;193:18;	9 9 (5) 160:22;181:2; 187:14;195:3;218:17	
75:24 57 (1) 76:1 58 (1) 77:6 59 (16)	7 (12) 68:14;149:10; 152:20;154:15; 170:8;193:18; 206:17;230:8;231:2,	9 (5) 160:22;181:2; 187:14;195:3;218:17 9,946,778 (2)	
75:24 57 (1) 76:1 58 (1) 77:6 59 (16) 39:12,16,18;40:6;	7 (12) 68:14;149:10; 152:20;154:15; 170:8;193:18; 206:17;230:8;231:2, 8;249:14;256:21	9 (5) 160:22;181:2; 187:14;195:3;218:17 9,946,778 (2) 181:4,14	
75:24 57 (1) 76:1 58 (1) 77:6 59 (16) 39:12,16,18;40:6; 42:14;52:8,16;53:1,	7 (12) 68:14;149:10; 152:20;154:15; 170:8;193:18; 206:17;230:8;231:2, 8;249:14;256:21 7.0 (2)	9 (5) 160:22;181:2; 187:14;195:3;218:17 9,946,778 (2) 181:4,14 9.4 (3)	
75:24 57 (1) 76:1 58 (1) 77:6 59 (16) 39:12,16,18;40:6; 42:14;52:8,16;53:1, 5;54:12;55:12,15;	7 (12) 68:14;149:10; 152:20;154:15; 170:8;193:18; 206:17;230:8;231:2, 8;249:14;256:21 7.0 (2) 156:3,23	9 9 (5) 160:22;181:2; 187:14;195:3;218:17 9,946,778 (2) 181:4,14 9.4 (3) 43:21;274:15;	
75:24 57 (1) 76:1 58 (1) 77:6 59 (16) 39:12,16,18;40:6; 42:14;52:8,16;53:1, 5;54:12;55:12,15; 56:22;61:6;73:16,22	7 (12) 68:14;149:10; 152:20;154:15; 170:8;193:18; 206:17;230:8;231:2, 8;249:14;256:21 7.0 (2) 156:3,23 7.8-something (1)	9 9 (5) 160:22;181:2; 187:14;195:3;218:17 9,946,778 (2) 181:4,14 9.4 (3) 43:21;274:15; 275:2	
75:24 57 (1) 76:1 58 (1) 77:6 59 (16) 39:12,16,18;40:6; 42:14;52:8,16;53:1, 5;54:12;55:12,15; 56:22;61:6;73:16,22 5-year (1)	7 (12) 68:14;149:10; 152:20;154:15; 170:8;193:18; 206:17;230:8;231:2, 8;249:14;256:21 7.0 (2) 156:3,23 7.8-something (1) 206:23	9 9 (5) 160:22;181:2; 187:14;195:3;218:17 9,946,778 (2) 181:4,14 9.4 (3) 43:21;274:15;	
75:24 57 (1) 76:1 58 (1) 77:6 59 (16) 39:12,16,18;40:6; 42:14;52:8,16;53:1, 5;54:12;55:12,15; 56:22;61:6;73:16,22	7 (12) 68:14;149:10; 152:20;154:15; 170:8;193:18; 206:17;230:8;231:2, 8;249:14;256:21 7.0 (2) 156:3,23 7.8-something (1) 206:23 7/24 (1)	9 9 (5) 160:22;181:2; 187:14;195:3;218:17 9,946,778 (2) 181:4,14 9.4 (3) 43:21;274:15; 275:2 9.5 (1) 43:8	
75:24 57 (1) 76:1 58 (1) 77:6 59 (16) 39:12,16,18;40:6; 42:14;52:8,16;53:1, 5;54:12;55:12,15; 56:22;61:6;73:16,22 5-year (1) 154:1	7 (12) 68:14;149:10; 152:20;154:15; 170:8;193:18; 206:17;230:8;231:2, 8;249:14;256:21 7.0 (2) 156:3,23 7.8-something (1) 206:23 7/24 (1) 251:24	9 9 (5) 160:22;181:2; 187:14;195:3;218:17 9,946,778 (2) 181:4,14 9.4 (3) 43:21;274:15; 275:2 9.5 (1) 43:8 9.65 (1)	
75:24 57 (1) 76:1 58 (1) 77:6 59 (16) 39:12,16,18;40:6; 42:14;52:8,16;53:1, 5;54:12;55:12,15; 56:22;61:6;73:16,22 5-year (1)	7 (12) 68:14;149:10; 152:20;154:15; 170:8;193:18; 206:17;230:8;231:2, 8;249:14;256:21 7.0 (2) 156:3,23 7.8-something (1) 206:23 7/24 (1) 251:24 70 (6)	9 9 (5) 160:22;181:2; 187:14;195:3;218:17 9,946,778 (2) 181:4,14 9.4 (3) 43:21;274:15; 275:2 9.5 (1) 43:8 9.65 (1) 151:21	
75:24 57 (1) 76:1 58 (1) 77:6 59 (16) 39:12,16,18;40:6; 42:14;52:8,16;53:1, 5;54:12;55:12,15; 56:22;61:6;73:16,22 5-year (1) 154:1 6	7 (12) 68:14;149:10; 152:20;154:15; 170:8;193:18; 206:17;230:8;231:2, 8;249:14;256:21 7.0 (2) 156:3,23 7.8-something (1) 206:23 7/24 (1) 251:24 70 (6) 140:24;157:23;	9 9 (5) 160:22;181:2; 187:14;195:3;218:17 9,946,778 (2) 181:4,14 9.4 (3) 43:21;274:15; 275:2 9.5 (1) 43:8 9.65 (1) 151:21 9.66 (1)	
75:24 57 (1) 76:1 58 (1) 77:6 59 (16) 39:12,16,18;40:6; 42:14;52:8,16;53:1, 5;54:12;55:12,15; 56:22;61:6;73:16,22 5-year (1) 154:1 6 6 (5)	7 (12) 68:14;149:10; 152:20;154:15; 170:8;193:18; 206:17;230:8;231:2, 8;249:14;256:21 7.0 (2) 156:3,23 7.8-something (1) 206:23 7/24 (1) 251:24 70 (6) 140:24;157:23; 158:2;160:21;	9 9 (5) 160:22;181:2; 187:14;195:3;218:17 9,946,778 (2) 181:4,14 9.4 (3) 43:21;274:15; 275:2 9.5 (1) 43:8 9.65 (1) 151:21 9.66 (1) 152:3	
75:24 57 (1) 76:1 58 (1) 77:6 59 (16) 39:12,16,18;40:6; 42:14;52:8,16;53:1, 5;54:12;55:12,15; 56:22;61:6;73:16,22 5-year (1) 154:1 6 6 6 (5) 5:4;109:15;170:8;	7 (12) 68:14;149:10; 152:20;154:15; 170:8;193:18; 206:17;230:8;231:2, 8;249:14;256:21 7.0 (2) 156:3,23 7.8-something (1) 206:23 7/24 (1) 251:24 70 (6) 140:24;157:23;	9 9 (5) 160:22;181:2; 187:14;195:3;218:17 9,946,778 (2) 181:4,14 9.4 (3) 43:21;274:15; 275:2 9.5 (1) 43:8 9.65 (1) 151:21 9.66 (1)	
75:24 57 (1) 76:1 58 (1) 77:6 59 (16) 39:12,16,18;40:6; 42:14;52:8,16;53:1, 5;54:12;55:12,15; 56:22;61:6;73:16,22 5-year (1) 154:1 6 6 6 (5) 5:4;109:15;170:8; 202:22;283:11	7 (12) 68:14;149:10; 152:20;154:15; 170:8;193:18; 206:17;230:8;231:2, 8;249:14;256:21 7.0 (2) 156:3,23 7.8-something (1) 206:23 7/24 (1) 251:24 70 (6) 140:24;157:23; 158:2;160:21; 207:13,15 71 (8)	9 9 (5) 160:22;181:2; 187:14;195:3;218:17 9,946,778 (2) 181:4,14 9.4 (3) 43:21;274:15; 275:2 9.5 (1) 43:8 9.65 (1) 151:21 9.66 (1) 152:3 9.76 (1) 152:6	
75:24 57 (1) 76:1 58 (1) 77:6 59 (16) 39:12,16,18;40:6; 42:14;52:8,16;53:1, 5;54:12;55:12,15; 56:22;61:6;73:16,22 5-year (1) 154:1 6 6 6 (5) 5:4;109:15;170:8; 202:22;283:11 6.2 (9)	7 (12) 68:14;149:10; 152:20;154:15; 170:8;193:18; 206:17;230:8;231:2, 8;249:14;256:21 7.0 (2) 156:3,23 7.8-something (1) 206:23 7/24 (1) 251:24 70 (6) 140:24;157:23; 158:2;160:21; 207:13,15 71 (8) 176:10,15;179:6;	9 9 (5) 160:22;181:2; 187:14;195:3;218:17 9,946,778 (2) 181:4,14 9.4 (3) 43:21;274:15; 275:2 9.5 (1) 43:8 9.65 (1) 151:21 9.66 (1) 152:3 9.76 (1)	
75:24 57 (1) 76:1 58 (1) 77:6 59 (16) 39:12,16,18;40:6; 42:14;52:8,16;53:1, 5;54:12;55:12,15; 56:22;61:6;73:16,22 5-year (1) 154:1 6 6 6 (5) 5:4;109:15;170:8; 202:22;283:11	7 (12) 68:14;149:10; 152:20;154:15; 170:8;193:18; 206:17;230:8;231:2, 8;249:14;256:21 7.0 (2) 156:3,23 7.8-something (1) 206:23 7/24 (1) 251:24 70 (6) 140:24;157:23; 158:2;160:21; 207:13,15 71 (8)	9 9 (5) 160:22;181:2; 187:14;195:3;218:17 9,946,778 (2) 181:4,14 9.4 (3) 43:21;274:15; 275:2 9.5 (1) 43:8 9.65 (1) 151:21 9.66 (1) 152:3 9.76 (1) 152:6	